Message

From: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)
Sent: 4/19/2021 9:28:45 PM
To: Rogers, Rick [rogers.rick@epa.gov]
Subject: Re: Mountaire 2 of 2
Attachments: EPA210419.pdf

Rick,

I have more. I wanted to get these to you so you could see the scale that I'm talking about. If you are filing any of this away, please attach it to EPA case 1298294.

I met another sick woman nearby where I live who has had three forms of cancer and also suffers from vertigo. She lost her bladder and a kidney and had numerous tumors. She is willing to speak to someone from the EPA if asked.

I also met a woman, who has offered to speak to the FDA, who worked at Mountaire's Millsboro plant for many years (I think she said either 16 or 18 years). She quit because she got COVID-19 and didn't want to get it again. She said the outbreaks there were big. She also said when the FDA would come to inspect everyone would clean up. Other than that it was a mess. I spoke to another person who would also likely be willing to come forward who said every day he would come home "smelling like ****". He only lasted two weeks. If Mountaire has active outbreaks of COVID-19 and a filthy plant, they could be shipping that around the country.

On Wed, Apr 14, 2021 at 3:24 PM Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) > wrote:

The attachments in this email include the letter I sent to Secretary Garvin, Attorney General Jennings, and Governor Carney.

I apologize for the dramatic cover and accusatory tone, however, up to this point literally no one in the government from the bottom to the top seemed to care or be able to help. I needed to catch their attention.

I firmly believe what I said about being put at a disadvantage because the State doesn't care to prosecute Mountaire Farms for criminal behavior. Especially since a lot of the illegal activity appears to have taken place on government property. It is bad enough when a corporation pollutes, but that it appears the government was assisting in that is dystopian.

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) and Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) have authorized me to provide their contact information.

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

I highly suggest you speak with them as they also witnessed other disturbing behavior and can provide you eyewitness testimony. Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) husband Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) can also give you eyewitness testimony.

Specific testimony I find disturbing is that government agents appeared at the active dump next to Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) home with access to the gate, but only after she called at the same time I sent my email to the state executives. She had already called the EPA once and no one got back to her. I question why they would have had access.

I called the constable about that location. I was told whoever owns it was given written permission to do crush concrete by a man named Lawrence Lank, a previous director of Planning and Zoning. He retired in 2016. I understand this is a State issue and all of this can be parsed out that way, but given the events surrounding that lot I question why he did not simply have them get the necessary special user permit required.

They also can attest to what I was told by both of them separately was "terroristic" use of guns. It wasn't just that they were firing guns. They did it at night and even aimed their target toward the Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) home.

Both can also attest that bombs were going off as well. Both Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) described it as sounding like metal cannisters exploding. The were called and came out, but they told Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) it is something called Tannerite which is legal so there is nothing they can do. Perhaps that's true, but I find it hard to believe it's legal to terrorize people with guns and bombs. I suspect the bombs were used to create the pit into which the effluent material flows.

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) gave me permission to share your phone number, but I don't see that correspondence written down anywhere, so I don't feel comfortable sharing it. He can provide testimony regarding the airplane flying behind his house spraying foul smelling liquid. His fiancé, Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) can provide the same.

Between us we can provide you with the following witnesses who have either agreed to come forward or could be subpoenaed if necessary:

1) A person who managed the "clean up" department at Mountaire who was given the option of resigning or being fired for "costing Mountaire a lot of money" at the same time all of this occurred.

2) The person who worked in management at the plant who said that person was given the option of resigning or being fired. There is reason to believe this person may know the reason why.

3) A person who worked at the plant who knew illegal behavior was going on so they quit because they didn't want to lose their license. This individual now works in upper management at the Selbyville factory in the same department.

4) A person who said they saw something called an articulating machine injecting into the ground rather than spraying one of the fields involved in the NOV.

5) A person who said they saw county employees regularly entering the Sussex County parcels where dumping was occurring at the same time as that in the northernmost section of settlement Exhibit A.

6) My husband is an international expert in meteorology and was recognized by NOAA as the best in the government (i.e. the world). He will not come forward and say he is right and our attorney's scientists are wrong for scientific reasons. But, if compelled to do so he is perfectly qualified to discuss the scientific aspects regarding the very questionable "science" regarding the air area in the settlement map provided in Exhibit A .

7) Any number of people who could tell you they smell the chicken plant, but were excluded from the air settlement area as well as people who can attest to the water making them itch.

I also have email communication between myself and my husband to both class counsel and the judge regarding the payment for dropping our objections. I would be shocked to find out that is legal and would like an answer from someone who isn't them as to whether or not it is.

Finally, if there is any way the Federal government can address the horrible dump shown on the cover as quickly as possible I would sincerely appreciate it as would Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) I am deeply concerned about their health which is why I purchased the Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) (complete strangers) a whole-house water treatment

system. All three have suffered very serious medical conditions and the site is still in use several times per week. The trench is on the Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) side of the property and I believe it should be dug up as it has been contaminated as well. Unfortunately, there is an enormous landfill that has been placed there which would need to be removed in the process.