Message

From: Shams, Dahnish [Shams.Dahnish@epa.gov]
Sent: 11/2/2016 9:23:09 PM
To: Newhouse, Kathleen [Newhouse.Kathleen@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: BaP-Preface language-noting preamble differences
Attachments: Ammonia_IASD Tox Review_61516_HERO.docx

Ah ok. I know what you are talking about. We actually kept that language in and added that part about looking at the ERD for those comments and EPA's response. Also, we added a similar paragraph about the SAB review:

Do you want me to help you pull the names of the peer reviewers? I feel like that is something small I can do to help you out with. See ammonia document attached for the full word version (page 7).

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) Program
National Center for Environmental Assessment
Office of Research and Development, U.S. EPA
O: (703) 347-0167

From: Newhouse, Kathleen
Sent: Wednesday, November 02, 2016 5:18 PM
To: Shams, Dahnish <Shams.Dahnish@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: BaP-Preface language-noting preamble differences

Yes. Similar text as is in BaP.

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

From: Shams, Dahnish
Sent: Wednesday, November 02, 2016 2:10 PM
To: Newhouse, Kathleen <Newhouse.Kathleen@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: BaP-Preface language-noting preamble differences

Can you show me where and what the text looks like? Is it something like below?

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

-Dahnish

Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) Program
National Center for Environmental Assessment
Office of Research and Development, U.S. EPA
O: (703) 347-0167

From: Newhouse, Kathleen
Sent: Wednesday, November 02, 2016 4:50 PM
To: Shams, Dahnish <Shams.Dahnish@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: BaP-Preface language-noting preamble differences

Hi Dahnish, I plan to send around the polished Samantha vetted tox review and supplemental info later on today, barring any disasters with updating the HERO links.

One thing. Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP) Let me know if you want me to put in something more specific.

-K

From: Shams, Dahnish
Sent: Wednesday, November 02, 2016 11:01 AM
To: Newhouse, Kathleen <Newhouse.Kathleen@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: BaP-Preface language-noting preamble differences

Ok great!! ☺ Let me know if Vicki or I can do anything else to help.

- Dahnish

Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) Program
National Center for Environmental Assessment
Office of Research and Development, U.S. EPA
O: (703) 347-0167

From: Newhouse, Kathleen
Sent: Wednesday, November 02, 2016 12:20 PM
To: Shams, Dahnish <Shams.Dahnish@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: BaP-Preface language-noting preamble differences

Yes. Thank you for bugging him. He sent his suggestions on the preface this morning and I have added them to the assessment. I think the preface is good to go.

I am currently communicating with Samantha and Karen about some other specific comments/revisions. Progress is being made.

-K

From: Shams, Dahnish
Sent: Wednesday, November 02, 2016 9:04 AM
To: Newhouse, Kathleen <Newhouse.Kathleen@epa.gov>
Subject: Fwd: BaP-Preface language-noting preamble differences

Hi Kathleen - thanks for continuing the back and forth with me. We left the BaP paragraph on Vince's desk to review and we reminded him first thing and that worked well. Anyways, did you get all the information and clarification you needed to proceed with the preface?

-Dahnish

Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) Program
National Center for Environmental Assessment
Office of Research and Development, U.S. EPA
O: (703) 347-0167

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Cogliano, Vincent" <cogliano.vincent@epa.gov>
Date: November 2, 2016 at 11:06:52 AM EDT
To: "Newhouse, Kathleen" <Newhouse.Kathleen@epa.gov>
Cc: "Perovich, Gina" <Perovich.Gina@epa.gov>, "Soto, Vicki" <Soto.Vicki@epa.gov>, "Shams, Dahnish"

<Shams.Dahnish@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: BaP-Preface language-noting preamble differences

You're welcome. Sometimes I like to challenge myself to see how much I can cut without losing the message. You write well, so this shorter version will be a better fit for your assessment.

From: Newhouse, Kathleen
Sent: Wednesday, November 02, 2016 11:00 AM
To: Cogliano, Vincent <cogliano.vincent@epa.gov>
Cc: Perovich, Gina <Perovich.Gina@epa.gov>; Soto, Vicki <Soto.Vicki@epa.gov>; Shams, Dahnish <Shams.Dahnish@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: BaP-Preface language-noting preamble differences

Looks good. Thanks!

From: Cogliano, Vincent
Sent: Wednesday, November 02, 2016 7:45 AM
To: Newhouse, Kathleen <Newhouse.Kathleen@epa.gov>
Cc: Perovich, Gina <Perovich.Gina@epa.gov>; Soto, Vicki <Soto.Vicki@epa.gov>; Shams, Dahnish <Shams.Dahnish@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: BaP-Preface language-noting preamble differences

Thank you, Kathleen. The paragraph adapted from Ammonia looks good. I like the statements in the whole-hog paragraph but thought we should trim some of the fat before serving. See what you think of the attached paragraph ... Thanks!—Vince

<< File: PrefaceNRC-vjc.docx >>

From: Newhouse, Kathleen
Sent: Tuesday, November 01, 2016 5:48 PM
To: Cogliano, Vincent <cogliano.vincent@epa.gov>
Cc: Perovich, Gina <Perovich.Gina@epa.gov>; Soto, Vicki <Soto.Vicki@epa.gov>; Shams, Dahnish <Shams.Dahnish@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: BaP-Preface language-noting preamble differences

Hi Vince, do you have thoughts on whether the highlighted text below (adapted from Ammonia) is adequate to add to the BaP preface-or were you looking for something different?

-Kathleen

From: Newhouse, Kathleen
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 4:08 PM
To: Shams, Dahnish <Shams.Dahnish@epa.gov>; Cogliano, Vincent <cogliano.vincent@epa.gov>; Subramaniam, Ravi <Subramaniam.Ravi@epa.gov>; Soto, Vicki <Soto.Vicki@epa.gov>; Perovich, Gina <Perovich.Gina@epa.gov>; Jones, Samantha <Jones.Samantha@epa.gov>; Hogan, Karen <Hogan.Karen@epa.gov>; Ross, Mary <Ross.Mary@epa.gov>
Subject: BaP-Preface language-noting preamble differences

Hi all, my thoughts are below regarding the action item: - Preface Language discussing systematic review and how it differs from preamble.
- ***Kathleen:*** Needs to check language in preface to ensure that there is sufficient detail to describe the methods used in the evaluation of BaP; double check preamble sentence.

I have confirmed that the preamble has the lines stating: **Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)**

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

The existing draft does not have any language currently in the preface which describes how the methods in the BaP assessment differs from those specified in the preamble. **Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)**

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

-K

-----Original Appointment-----
From: Shams, Dahnish
Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2016 12:26 PM
To: Cogliano, Vincent; Newhouse, Kathleen; Subramaniam, Ravi; Soto, Vicki; Perovich, Gina; Jones, Samantha; Hogan, Karen; Ross, Mary
Subject: Getting on the Same Page: BaP
When: Thursday, October 27, 2016 12:00 PM-12:30 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada).
Where: DCRoomPYS11671-Old Town

Update: Adding meeting notes and action items.

Hi all – We are all trying to get on the same page regarding what needs to get done before we can send BaP to Bob hopefully by the end of the week. Below is a list of outstanding issues that we need to touch base on. I've scheduled a short call to discuss with Kathleen and company.

Sorry for the unusual time. Lots of activities are occurring tomorrow and to accommodate the time difference for Kathleen noon EST appeared to work best for everyone involved.

Thanks!

Dahnish

Outstanding Issues/Agenda & Action Items

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Call-In Number:

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)