Message

**From**: Ho, Yenhung [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=0373CF6F13A849F1BB61BB1D1B64F981-YHO]
**Sent**: 2/1/2021 5:03:21 PM
**To**: Shari.Ring@cadmusgroup.com
**CC**: Rao, Kate [Rao.kate@epa.gov]
**Subject**: FW: Follow-up tech review comments letter for CES
**Attachments**: Cadmus evaluation of CES responses to RAI 3 (modeling and operations) 1-26-21(DA).docx; Cadmus evaluation of CES responses to RAI 2 (FR and ERR) 1-26-21(DA).docx; Evaluation of responses to RAI 4 (Construction) 1-26-21(DA).docx; Evaluation of responses to RAI 4 (TM) 1-26-21(DA).docx; Evaluation of CES response RAI 1 (Site characterzation) 1-26-21(DA).docx

Hi Shari,

Please address David's comments in the attachments. It looks like most of his comments are about clarity of the timing of anticipated revised plans/submittals. For examples, in some cases we mean after construction and before authorization to inject while in other cases we mean now, before we can issue an ATC permit.

We don't want CES to have any confusion on our expectations about timing when they see the follow-up tech review letter this week.

Thanks,
Calvin