HUNTERS POINT NAVAL SHIPYARD
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE CLEANUP TEAM
MEETING NOTES

April 1, 2021

These notes summarize the Navy Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) Cleanup Team (BCT) meeting for Hunters Point Naval Shipyard (HPNS) in San Francisco, California. The meeting was held on April 1, 2021 via teleconference.

I. Introductions and Agenda Review

Mr. Derek Robinson (Navy) performed introductions and reviewed the action items from the March 11, 2021 BCT meeting.

II. Navy Business/Action Items (Navy)

The following is a summary of the status of the action items from the March 11, 2021 BCT meeting, provided by Mr. Robinson (Navy):

Item	Description	Status
1	The Navy legal counsel will engage legal counsel from the USEPA and the State to discuss redaction requirements and proper handling of non-releasable information.	Complete. Legal counsel may need to discuss in the future.
2	The BCT will provide the Navy with preliminary comments on the FFA schedule, as available, pending Navy identification of the new schedule changes in the FFA.	Completed. No additional comments pending.
3	The Navy will report back to the BCT the current background number being used for Cesium at the Building 253/211 remedial action.	Completed. Email submitted on 3/30/21.

III. Document Tracking (Navy)

Ms. Brooks Pauly (Navy) noted documents needing agency review include the draft per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) assessment report and the basewide swale maintenance plan. Radiological reports currently under BCT review include the Parcel C rework plan and the Finger Piers scoping survey. The IR-26 remedial action construction summary report (RACSR) will be issued on April 1, 2021. The Navy will be issuing the Parcel C Phase III remedial action work plan (RAWP) near the end of April 2021 as a revised draft document with a 45-day agency review period. The Navy would like to hold a meeting with the BCT during that 45-day review period to discuss their questions or comments. Ms. Pauly (Navy) noted that the Navy has discussed how the data is presented to the BCT in the remedial action monitoring reports (RAMRs) and will try and provide trend graphs a month prior to issuing the RAMRs.

Ms. Pauly (Navy) noted that the draft Parcel F technical memorandum concerning the evaluation of remedial goals will be delayed and an extension will be sent. Ms. Yolanda Sanchez (USEPA) noted that the Yosemite Slough potential responsible party (PRP) group is very anxious to receive that document and she wants to make sure the Navy is communicating with that group about this

delay. Mr. Derek Robinson (Navy) noted that the Navy does not communicate with the PRP group and the communication has historically come for the USEPA project manager, Ms. Judy Huang. Mr. John Chesnutt (USEPA) noted that the PRPs are unwilling to commit to additional work until they see that the Navy has also committed to their same cleanup goal. The USEPA is going to recommend that the PRP group reach out and coordinate with the Navy directly. Mr. Robinson (Navy) noted that the Parcel F Record of Decision (ROD) won't be finalized until after the PRPs agree to their cleanup goal.

IV. Fieldwork Updates (Navy)

Ms. Brooks Pauly (Navy) noted that fieldwork at Parcel C Building 253 excavation is ongoing and the basewide groundwater monitoring program will begin conducting a water level gauging and sampling event at Parcel C. The sitewide radiological health and safety surveys are ongoing and approximately 60-percent complete. Parcel E phase 1 and 3 are expected to restart in May and sheet pile installation continues as part of phase 2. Shoreline excavation for phase 2 will start in May 2021.

Mr. Leo Larson (Navy) noted that phase 1 and 3 fieldwork is still delayed until contractual issues are resolved with respect to the asbestos containing material (ACM) found onsite.

Ms. Amy Brownell (City of San Francisco) asked about the current status of the discussions regarding the radiological scan of the buildings. Mr. Derek Robinson (Navy) noted that the Navy and BCT met to discuss the issues. The Navy questions if the risk assessment is using realistic assumptions for the exposure pathway. The Navy does not believe that there is removable contamination remaining in-place that could be ingested by future residents. One idea the Navy proposed was to collect data from a building on Parcel G that was not radiologically contaminated and compare that data to data collected from radiologically impacted buildings following remediation.

V. Redactable Information (Navy)

Mr. Derek Robinson (Navy) noted that the Navy is working with their contractors to remove information types that are not needed and would likely need to be redacted prior to release of the document to the public. If properly implemented, the document would not need to be redacted prior to public release. For proprietary information that the agencies want to review, such as laboratory standard operating procedures (SOPs), this information category will be provided to the agencies separately, when requested. They will likely require the agencies to sign a non-disclosure form before releasing the information.

Ms. Yolanda Sanchez (USEPA) appreciates the Navy working on this issue. The USEPA noted that there are still conversation between the legal councils that are pending and there is a difference of opinion in what type of information should be redacted. Mr. Robinson (Navy) clarified that the Navy does not intend to redact any information. Rather, the contractor will not include unnecessary information, such as personal information, in their documents.

VI. Miscellaneous Topics/Updates (Navy)

Ms. Sharon Ohannessian (Navy) noted that the Navy is going to propose a pilot study to remove the dense non-aqueous phase liquid (DNAPL) under Building 253 in Parcel C. The Navy believes this technology will be able to treat groundwater to the remedial goals. The pilot study will evaluate in-situ thermal remediation. The Navy will schedule a technical meeting to discuss the pilot test with the BCT.

VII. Community Outreach (Navy)

Mr. Robinson (Navy) noted that the Navy hosted a virtual community meeting room the week of March 22-26, 2021. The Navy had 214 people visit the meeting room. The Navy hosted two question and answer sessions during that week and has received positive feedback from community members.

Ms. Brownell (City of San Francisco) suggested the Navy hosting a live question and answer rather than people submitting written questions. Ms. Yolanda Sanchez (USEPA) requested that the Navy send out additional reminders to the community members prior to the meeting which might increase attendance. She also recommended requesting attendees to perform an exit survey after the meeting.

VIII. New Action Items/Future Meetings (Navy)

- The Navy will schedule a technical meeting for the proposed pilot test on Parcel C.

Next Meetings:

- The next BCT meeting is scheduled on May 6, 2021 via teleconference.

Meeting participants: (all participating via telephone)

Nina Bacey, DTSC
Liz Basinet, INYA
Karla Brasaemle, TechLaw
Amy Brownell, City
John Chesnutt, USEPA
Maeve Clancy, USEPA
Derrick Coleman, INYA
Doug Delong, Navy
Jamie Egan, Jacobs
Hamlet Hamparsumian, Noreas
Terry Han, CDPH
Leslie Howard, Navy
Leo Larson, Navy
Sean-Ryan McCray, Navy
Sharon Ohannessian, Navy
Hamid Naimi, Navy
Dennis Rourke, Navy
Brooks Pauly, Navy
Wayne Praskins, USEPA
Christina Rain, Langan
Derek Robinson, Navy
Liz Roddy, Navy
Yolanda Sanchez, USEPA
Paul Stoick, Navy
Radhika Sreenivasan, E2 Consulting
David Tanouye, Water Board

Matt Liscio, Navy

Karen Ueno, USEPA

Heather Wochnick, Navy