Message

From: Kelly, Stephanie [kelly.stephanie@epa.gov]
Sent: 2/6/2020 9:27:30 PM
To: Hurld, Kathy [Hurld.Kathy@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: ASWM PPT 01.07.20 Clean.pptx
Attachments: ASWM PPT 02.06.20 Clean.pptx; ASWM PPT 02.06.20 Kathy Edits SK edits.pptx

Hey Kathy,

Please find attached for your review and final comment the revised clean version of the power point (attachment 1). I have also included a first draft that shows my response to your comments (second attachment).

Let me know if there is anything else I can do.

Have a great weekend! ☺

Sincerely,

Stephanie

From: Kelly, Stephanie
Sent: Thursday, February 6, 2020 2:27 PM
To: Hurld, Kathy <Hurld.Kathy@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: ASWM PPT 01.07.20 Clean.pptx

Hey Kathy,

Thanks for sending along the edits. When you sent the email, I was reviewing the option papers for the meeting so I am just now getting to your comments. I am hoping to have the revised version to you before 4. I am available anytime for a phone call. Just please call my cellphone.

Sincerely,

Stephanie

From: Hurld, Kathy <Hurld.Kathy@epa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, February 6, 2020 11:37 AM
To: Kelly, Stephanie <kelly.stephanie@epa.gov>
Subject: ASWM PPT 01.07.20 Clean.pptx

Hi Stephanie,

Thanks for resending this. I think this may be an old version, but I made comments on it. Correct me if I'm wrong, but this is to be a 101 on assumption so our target audience are those unfamiliar with 404(g) and we should cover the nuts and bolts of requirements and process. If this is the case, Please look at the second attachment to see what should be included in the ASWM PPT with the focus on the questions:

1) what is assumption
2) what are the requirements
3) what is the process
4) what is EPA currently doing

Additionally, this PPT needs to be scrubbed for formatting and editing (e.g., EPA vs Epa, page numbers on all, same bullets and headers etc). I am requesting that the talking points not be as extensive as they are, they should supplement the slide or provide detail on the bullet, but not be in a manner that is to be read out loud as a script – if this makes sense. If it is written as a script, we will receive HEAVY edits by Mindy and OGC. It is also very hard to read these as such as the print is tiny.

Please let me know if there are other slides we should include, which you think those are.

Please note I simply suggested deleting slides but did not do so in case you thought something needed to be retained. Lets talk later this afternoon about your thoughts and approach so we can send a new version forward for approval.

Thanks, Kathy