Message

From: Jim Herrington [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=A08ED68D1B0946C39FA1C4D32982BADA-HERRINGTON, JIM]
Sent: 1/23/2013 6:17:08 PM
To: Kitto, Alison [Kitto.Alison@epa.gov]
CC: Barbara Aldridge [aldridge.barbara@epa.gov]; Sharon Parrish [parrish.sharon@epa.gov]
Subject: Re: US 181 Harbor Bridge

Alison,

Thanks for the summary. Yes, I heard the discussion about the West alternative and I pointed out these potential impacts in the first meeting. It is good that they eliminated the Blue and Tunnel options for safety reasons.

Thanks,
Jim

From: Alison Kitto/R6/USEPA/US
To: Jim Herrington/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Barbara Aldridge/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Sharon Parrish/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 01/23/2013 11:53 AM
Subject: US 181 Harbor Bridge

Jim & Barbara,

As you may have anticipated, there's really no concrete info about wetland/aquatic impacts of this project yet, other than the fact that the Blue and Tunnel alternatives aren't being considered, which would have had the most impacts.

Jim, I think you may have been off the call by then, but one of the project managers said that the West alternative is the one that has the most potential impact - including a portion of the Rincon Channel Wetland Observation area & of course issues with crossing the DMPA. He said wetland delineation for alternatives will start soon & be part of Chapter 4 (Environmental consequences). Chapter 3 will start to address wetlands as part of the affected environment, but more concrete info will be included in Ch 4.

Alison Kitto
ORISE Fellow
USEPA Region 6
Wetlands Section, 6WQ-EM
1445 Ross Ave, Dallas, TX 75202
Phone (214) 665-7482