Message

From: Lazos, Pamela [Lazos.Pamela@epa.gov]
Sent: 8/31/2020 7:55:22 PM
To: Reinhart, Roger [Reinhart.Roger@epa.gov]; Clark, Jacqueline [clark.jacqueline@epa.gov]; Wilson, Jennifer [wilson.jenniferA@epa.gov]; Rowsey, Kevin [rowsey.kevin@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Little Hocking Water Association -- GenX

Thanks, Roger. Is this report part of what Chemours has claimed CBI on, Jenny?

From: Reinhart, Roger <Reinhart.Roger@epa.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 31, 2020 3:06 PM
To: Lazos, Pamela <Lazos.Pamela@epa.gov>; Clark, Jacqueline <clark.jacqueline@epa.gov>; Wilson, Jennifer <wilson.jenniferA@epa.gov>; Rowsey, Kevin <rowsey.kevin@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Little Hocking Water Association -- GenX

Hi Jacquie and Pam,

I did review the most recent data report for GenX testing which Chemours has conducted over the past two or years. I disagree with Mr. Altman that the raw ground water levels have risen by 700% in the Little Hocking Water Supply. For example, the latest sample taken on 7/06/20, showed a raw water concentration of 0.23 ppb GenX. Approximately one year ago, the raw water concentration for GenX was 0,22 ppb, and on April 15, 2019, the raw water was measured to be 0.20 ppb. Truth is the raw water concentration varies quite a bit from one sampling event to the next. The Little Hocking Water Supply sampling results can be found on pages 22-38 of the attached report. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Roger

From: Lazos, Pamela <Lazos.Pamela@epa.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 31, 2020 2:47 PM
To: Clark, Jacqueline <clark.jacqueline@epa.gov>; Wilson, Jennifer <wilson.jenniferA@epa.gov>; Reinhart, Roger <Reinhart.Roger@epa.gov>; Rowsey, Kevin <rowsey.kevin@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Little Hocking Water Association -- GenX

Sounds like a plan re: handling the info request, Jackie. I have to defer to Roger and Kevin on whether there was a GenX increase in the Little Hocking wells.

From: Clark, Jacqueline <clark.jacqueline@epa.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 31, 2020 2:33 PM
To: Wilson, Jennifer <wilson.jenniferA@epa.gov>; Reinhart, Roger <Reinhart.Roger@epa.gov>; Lazos, Pamela <Lazos.Pamela@epa.gov>; Rowsey, Kevin <rowsey.kevin@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: Little Hocking Water Association -- GenX

FYI team. This reads as a request for information to me, and I'd like to have it converted as such. Should we assign to both regions and pick one region as the lead for responding? Or, other ideas?

More importantly, I'd like to know more about the GenX increase in the Little Hocking wells. Did we get similar information from Chemours?

Sincerely,

Jacqueline Clark

Jacqueline Clark
Associate Regional Counsel
Office of Regional Counsel
U.S. EPA, Region 5 – Chicago
77 West Jackson Boulevard
Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590
312-353-4191 (direct)
clark.jacqueline@epa.gov

CONFIDENTIAL: This email message and any attachment(s) may contain information that is privileged or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. Do not disclose without consulting the Office of Regional Counsel. If you have received this message in error, please contact the sender immediately.

From: Dave Altman <daltman@environlaw.com>
Sent: Monday, August 31, 2020 12:44 PM
To: Clark, Jacqueline <clark.jacqueline@epa.gov>
Cc: Dave Altman <daltman@environlaw.com>
Subject: Little Hocking Water Association -- GenX

Hi Jacquie,

I am looking for information on any GenX restrictions, orders or requirements imposed on the Washington Works facility in West Virginia. Do you know who in the US EPA would have such information? Little Hocking has seen the level in its wellfield grow 700% in recent months and wants to understand if there are any such GenX limitations.

Regards,

Dave

Dave Altman

AltmanNewman Co., LPA
15 E. 8th St., Suite 200W
Cincinnati, OH 45202
(513) 721-2180, Ext 100
(513) 721-2299 fax
daltman@environlaw.com