To: Chu, Rebecca[Chu.Rebecca@epa.gov]; Grandinetti, Cami[Grandinetti.Cami@epa.gov]
From: Chu, Rebecca[chu.rebecca@epa.gov]
Sent: Wed 8/12/2020 7:09:51 PM (UTC)
Subject: Conversation with Chu, Rebecca

Chu, Rebecca 10:05 AM:

Not responsive

Chu, Rebecca 10:05 AM:
She suggested asking John G today on the BB leadership call if he has any interest.

Chu, Rebecca 10:06 AM:
If that works on your end.

Grandinetti, Cami 10:52 AM:
Thanks Becky!

Chu, Rebecca 10:54 AM:
Do you have a second?

Chu, Rebecca 10:54 AM:
Calling you.

Grandinetti, Cami 10:55 AM:
on the phone with Dan O. I've got a pebble brief in 5 minutes. i'll call you later

Chu, Rebecca 10:55 AM:
Your team is asking for a revised pebble letter to run through OW. We don't really have time to do that and stay on our schedule to get the letter to Chris.

Chu, Rebecca 10:56 AM:
I can let Amy know- I just wanted to follow up with OW.

Chu, Rebecca 10:57 AM:
We are okay with the team working on vetting the revisions by Matt L amongst OW. We just need the edits by COB today so Molly and Patty can get the letter revised to go to the RA on Friday.

Grandinetti, Cami 11:01 AM:
okay--I think they are wanting to work on "smoothing" some of the language in line with Dan O direction. I get the time crunch though.

Chu, Rebecca 11:01 AM:
Thanks

Chu, Rebecca 11:02 AM:
Yeah- we are kind of deferring to your team on the CWA specific stuff. I get that there were additional edits that happened after the first go-round.

Chu, Rebecca 11:03 AM:
We just don't have the bandwidth to add an additional step of revising the letter and sending back through OW HQ folks if we're working on getting the letter to Chris on Friday.

Grandinetti, Cami 11:04 AM:
Let's check in on schedule today. I want to make sure Dan O is good with where we are on language.

Grandinetti, Cami 11:47 AM:
are you hearing this? do we have time for what Rob is talking about?

Chu, Rebecca 11:47 AM:
I am not sure how this would work.

Chu, Rebecca 11:48 AM:
It sounds like it would require another re-write, review, and revise.

Grandinetti, Cami 11:48 AM:
this isn't the longest FEIS letter--can't be

Chu, Rebecca 11:54 AM:
It's also not 20 letters.

Chu, Rebecca 11:56 AM:
I mean 20 pages.

Chu, Rebecca 11:56 AM:
Here's my attempt to try and capture the logic why this letter is long- I stuck it in the draft briefing paper. Kind of pasting the concepts together from the discussion if you have thoughts. . . .

Chu, Rebecca 11:56 AM:

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Grandinetti, Cami 11:57 AM:

this looks good to me.