Message

From: Miller, David [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=FA0582F5BA6540C687844F9289A4F74F-DAVID J. MILLER]
Sent: 1/29/2021 4:12:09 PM
To: Niman, Aaron [niman.aaron@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: CCPR Priorities lists [SEC=OFFICIAL]

Please call me on my landline now. Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

From: Niman, Aaron <niman.aaron@epa.gov>
Sent: Friday, January 29, 2021 11:10 AM
To: Miller, David <Miller.DavidJ@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: CCPR Priorities lists [SEC=OFFICIAL]

OK – I will schedule a meeting for this afternoon. Note that according to Karina's tracking spreadsheet, Corteva has specifically indicated that they are not supporting chlorpyrifos. Aldicarb, too, has had issues that we have communicated with Ag Logic about.

-Aaron

From: Miller, David <Miller.DavidJ@epa.gov>
Sent: Friday, January 29, 2021 11:03 AM
To: Niman, Aaron <niman.aaron@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: CCPR Priorities lists [SEC=OFFICIAL]

Yes, let's. Worrisome. Let's be sure that we have notified registrants previously of this issue. We did for some, but I don't remember the unsupported compounds list being that long.

Some might say that CCPR should be focusing on catching up after this Covid stuff, rather than doing periodic reviews.

From: Niman, Aaron <niman.aaron@epa.gov>
Sent: Friday, January 29, 2021 9:39 AM
To: Miller, David <Miller.DavidJ@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: CCPR Priorities lists [SEC=OFFICIAL]

Hi David,

Did you want to discuss how to respond to Karina today?

I note that ethoxyquin is one that we have coordinate and was supposed to be supported. We may want to follow-up with the registrant to see if they understand there is an issue.

Karina also makes note of several compounds that may be subject to the 4-year rule (chlorpyrifos, aldicarb (deferral has been requested for a couple of years now), pirimicarb) and several compounds that area unsupported (fenbutatin oxide, bromide ion, carbaryl, 2-phenylphenol, dinocap, methamidophos, bitertanol, terbufos, bromopropylate, amitraz, fenarimol, dichloran). We were aware of issues with some of these, but we will need to determine our position ahead of CCPR-52.

-Aaron

From: Budd, Karina <Karina.Budd@awe.gov.au>
Sent: Thursday, January 28, 2021 4:54 PM
To: Miller, David <Miller.DavidJ@epa.gov>; Niman, Aaron <niman.aaron@epa.gov>
Subject: CCPR Priorities lists [SEC=OFFICIAL]

Dear David and Aaron,

Happy New Year to you both.

I wanted to advise you of some issues that have come up from the 2021 Priorities schedules, particularly related to periodic reviews for the Sept 2021 JMPR meeting.

JMPR has advised me that packages for a couple of compounds for periodic review from the Sept 2021 schedule were incomplete – ethoxyquin and guazatine; no package was submitted for chlorpyrifos. I'm not sure if you have been advised of this previously.

A number of compounds are likely to undergo the 4 year rule commencing at the next CCPR meeting. I suspect that these will include chlorpyrifos, aldicarb (deferral has been requested for a couple of years now), pirimicarb (first request for deferral likely at CCPR52). JMPR has requested that chlorpyrifos-methyl be reviewed at the same time as chlorpyrifos and will raise this at the next meeting.

Some other compounds have been unsupported for some time (fenbutatin oxide, bromide ion, carbaryl, 2-phenylphenol, dinocap, methamidophos, bitertanol, terbufos, bromopropylate, amitraz, fenarimol, dichloran). For these compounds, the 4 year rule should probably have already commenced earlier, but this information was not previously tracked in the spreadsheets. In the future, I plan to mark on the spreadsheets when the 4 year rule commences and is due to end.

Regarding new compounds, JMPR seems to be on track to evaluate all the compounds that were nominated on the 2021 list. For new use evaluations, only a few (6 I think) of those scheduled for the September 2021 meeting have been distributed to experts. The other compounds (17) will need to be deferred to 2022.

And finally, I have received some suggestions that the Procedural Manual should be updated (at least with more clarifying information) because our current approach for scheduling and prioritisation listed in the manual is slightly different to what has been practiced in recent years. The Unsupported Compounds eWG made similar suggestions to include additional practices within CCPR. I'd appreciate your views and past experiences on this suggestion.

You may have already caught up on this information from JMPR, but I thought I should reach out to advise of those compounds that appear to me to be the most vulnerable.

Please let me know if you wish to discuss any of the above, or if your understanding of the situation is different to what I have noted.
Last that I heard from Gracia, a virtual CCPR meeting is planned for 26-31 July 2021 (although the website now indicates location China TBA). Perhaps when we are closer to the date and we have a revised agenda, it might be worth catching up on any concerns that you have with the Priorities and related agenda items.

Kind regards

Karina Budd

Director | Residue Chemistry & Laboratory Performance Evaluation, National Residue Survey | +61 2 6272 5795 | +61 408 695 904

Karina.Budd@awe.gov.au

Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment
Residues & Food Branch | Exports & Veterinary Services Division
18 Marcus Clarke Street, Canberra ACT 2601 Australia
GPO Box 858, Canberra ACT 2601 Australia

------ IMPORTANT - This email and any attachments have been issued by the Australian Government Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment. The material transmitted is for the use of the intended recipient only and may contain confidential, legally privileged, copyright or personal information. You should not copy, use or disclose it without authorisation from the Department. It is your responsibility to check any attachments for viruses and defects before opening or forwarding them. If you are not an intended recipient, please contact the sender of this email at once by return email and then delete both messages. Unintended recipients must not copy, use, disclose, rely on or publish this email or attachments. The Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment is not liable for any loss or damage resulting from unauthorised use or dissemination of, or any reliance on, this email or attachments. If you have received this e-mail as part of a valid mailing list and no longer want to receive a message such as this one, advise the sender by return e-mail accordingly. This notice should not be deleted or altered ------