From:	Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)
To:	Robinson, Valois
Subject:	Comment on Dewey-Burdock Proposed Changes by Donald Earl Matt
Date:	Sunday, December 8, 2019 5:12:50 PM
Attachments:	Draft Proposals.odt

Ms. Robinson - Thank you for your consideration. These matters deserve profound, serious thought.

Sincerely,

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

Draft Proposals In Mockery

Years upon years have gone into reassuring public safety; Azarga, Powertech, the NRC and others have spent years hearing safety and scientific concerns, and reassuring all that public safety has been properly, and professionally studied and regarded.

Once the NRC granted a permit, these new proposed changes seek to make an immediate mockery of all the years of work done in good faith by professional regulators, scientists, and the NRC preceding them.

Decisions made in these proceedings are physically irreversible for multiple lifetimes. The works of previous regulators, officials, and scientists should not be overturned simply because a permit has almost been granted.

It's About the Leaks Dang it!

From the very beginning there have been questions and concerns about karst irregularities, geology, cracks, and well holes to create toxic leaks.

These are not just ideas from the uninformed public.

Page 18 of the 2013 Draft Proposal states that there must be a network of monitoring wells to insure safety.

Page 20 of the further Draft Proposal states, "Results of an aquifer pump test ---indicated a hydraulic connection---." This, "Question(s) the integrity of the Fusion Shale as an upper confining zone ---."

(Thus manufacturing toxic leaks!)

"If a confining zone breach is caused by an improperly plugged historic exploratory drill hole or a well cause a pathway through a confining zone, --- permit requires Powertech to take corrective action to prevent the breach from resulting in the vertical migration of the injection interval fluids out of the injection interval." This well network monitoring system was designed to keep safety in check.

Latest Proposed Changes Eliminate Actual Wellfield Monitoring System

Page 1 of the latest Reissued Proposed Changes removes a wellfield monitoring system under Part II, Section D. 4e.

Removing the possibility of any evidence gathering by real world physical monitoring wells removes any credible evidence of dangers.

Azarga could therefore easily argue there is no real proof of any problem. It could rationalize any problems as mere errors in the computer model. Then simply adjusting any problems by using smoke and mirrors. Where's the proof???

The problems with this project are legion, but this symbolizes a complete disregard for all who have studied, investigated, and regulated public safety.

Please do not disregard years and years of public safety work, regulation, and investigation.

Your decision in this matter is irreversible.

Please think.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)