Message

From: Robinson, Valois [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=A4217A71307D4429B7BDC7C80EB40C7D-SHEA, VALOIS]
Sent: 10/10/2020 6:22:53 PM
To: Robinson, Valois [Robinson.Valois@epa.gov]
Subject: FW: A couple of questions about Powertech comment C58 on the cumulative effects analysis document
Attachments: Electrical Use Yr 1 Construction Phase.pptx

Adding attachment sent to Powertech for admin record.

Valois

Valois Robinson
EPA Region 8
Underground Injection Control Program
MailCode: 8WD-SDU
1595 Wynkoop Street
Denver, CO 80202-1129
(303) 312-6276
robinson.valois@epa.gov

From: John Mays <jmays@powertechuranium.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 7, 2020 1:32 PM
To: Robinson, Valois <Robinson.Valois@epa.gov>; Lisa Scheinost <escheinost@powertechuranium.com>
Subject: [SPAM-Sender] FW: A couple of questions about Powertech comment C58 on the cumulative effects analysis document

Valois,

Went back and looked and not sure why this didn't send. Please see below.

Thanks,

John

Azarga URANIUM

John M. Mays
Chief Operating Officer
Azarga Uranium Corporation
P.O. Box 448
Edgemont, SD, USA 57735
(303) 790-7528 x1
Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) Cell
jmays@powertechuranium.com
www.azargauranium.com

From:
Sent: Friday, September 25, 2020 3:25 PM
To: Robinson, Valois; lscheinost@powertechuranium.com; Lisa Scheinost
Subject: RE: A couple of questions about Powertech comment C58 on the cumulative effects analysis document

Valois,

Good afternoon. Please see our responses to your questions.

My Questions: In Table 30, is the 542 metric tons of CO2 emissions from electrical power consumption during the construction phase all attributable to facilities construction? YES Is all facility construction accounted for in year 1? YES
I was confused because NRC's SEIS Table 2.1-6 (next slide) identified the 542 metric tons as an annual amount for construction phase. I guess that is true, but annual only for the first year? YES If 542 metric tons is from only facilities construction and during the first year, I will update this table in the CEA to reflect that info (not multiply 542 by 9) and include that info the response to comment C58. **How about decommissioning? Is the 542 metric tons an annual amount for 8 years of decommissioning activity?** No **Or is all disassembling of facility structures accounted for in one year & I shouldn't multiply 542 by 8?** Yes. The eight years of decommissioning for the most part represents sequential decommissioning of wellfields. 542 represents the entire total for decommissioning of facilities portion (everything outside WF), which would be similar to construction. This occurs at the very end of the decommissioning phase. Multiply by 1.

Thanks. Have a nice weekend

John

Azarga URANIUM

John M. Mays
Chief Operating Officer
Azarga Uranium Corporation
P.O. Box 448
Edgemont, SD, USA 57735
(303) 790-7528 x1
Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) Cell
jmays@powertechuranium.com
www.azargauranium.com

From: Robinson, Valois
Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2020 6:44 PM
To: John Mays; lscheinost@powertechuranium.com
Subject: A couple of questions about Powertech comment C58 on the cumulative effects analysis document

Hi Lisa and John,
The attached PowerPoint file includes info related to a couple of questions I have on Powertech comment C58 about GHG emission from electrical power usage for construction of facilities during the first year of construction. I know that Dewey facility construction may be delayed, but for the sake of this exercise all facility construction was accounted for in the first year.

I hope that this explanation is clear – at least more clear than my CEA statement that prompted the comment! Please let me know if you have any questions or if it would be easier to discuss this during a phone call.

Thanks!

Valois

Valois Robinson
EPA Region 8
Underground Injection Control Program
MailCode: 8WD-SDU
1595 Wynkoop Street
Denver, CO 80202-1129
(303) 312-6276
robinson.valois@epa.gov