References Send from EPA to Cadmus

Conceptual Site Model References (emailed to Mary Ellen on Nov 27)

1. EPA_AirToxicsRiskAssessment_TechResourceManual_volume_1.pdf
2. NJ_csm_tech_guidance.pdf
3. R8QMP_ConceptualSiteModel.docx

Geochemical Model References (emailed to Mary Ellen on Nov 27)

4. CamecoSRH_MineUnit1_AppendixC_ACL_Application_ML18186A337.pdf
5. EPA-HQ-OAR-2012-0788-DRAFT-0017.pdf
6. g5model-final.pdf
7. Gallegosetal2015.pdf (on Rick's list, too)
8. Groundwater_Geochemistry_A_Practical_Guide.pdf
9. JohnsonFriedelOFR2009-1024.pdf (on Rick's list, too)
10. Johnson-Presentation5-Reactive-transport-modeling-Dewey-Burdock-final.pdf
11. JohnsonTruaxLankfordStoneFeb2016BatchSorption.pdf (on Rick's list, too)
12. JohnsonTutu2013.pdf (on Rick's list, too)
13. JohnsonTutu2015.pdf
14. JohnsonTutu2016.pdf
15. JohnsonTutu2016.pdf
16. WoldeGabriel2014.pdf (on Rick's list, too)

References from Rick Arnold (emailed to Mary Ellen on Nov 28)

1. Anastasi, F.S., and Williams, R.E., 1984, Aquifer restoration at uranium in situ leach sites: International Journal of Mine Water, v. 3 (4), pp. 29–37.
2. Borch, T., Rocheb, N., and Johnson, T.E., 2012, Determination of contaminant levels and remediation efficacy in groundwater at a former in situ recovery uranium mine: Journal of Environmental Monitoring, v. 14, p. 1814–1823.
3. Curtis, G.P., 2015, Post Audit of a Field Scale Reactive Transport Model of Uranium at a Former Mill Site: American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting 2015, Abstract id. H31I-1551.
4. Dong, W., Brooks, S.C., 2006, Determination of the formation constants of ternary complexes of uranyl and carbonate with alkaline earth metals (Mg^{2+}, Ca^{2+}, Sr^{2+}, and Ba^{2+}) using anion exchange method: Environmental Science & Technology, 40 (15), p. 4689–4695.
5. (duplicate) Gallegos, T.J., Campbell, K.M., Zielinski, R.A., Reimus, P.W., Clay, J.T., Janot, N., Bargar, J.R., Benzel, W.M., 2015, Persistent U(IV) and U(VI) following in-situ recovery (ISR) mining of a sandstone uranium deposit, Wyoming, USA: Applied Geochemistry, v. 63, p. 222–234.
6. Gramling, C.M., Harvey, C.F., and Meigs, L.C., 2002, Reactive transport in porous media: A comparison of model prediction with laboratory visualization: Environmental Science & Technology, v. 36 (11), pp. 2508–2514.
7. Guillaumont, R., Fanghanel, T., Fuger, J., Grenthe, I., Neck, V., Palmer, D., Rand, M.H., 2003, Update on the chemical thermodynamics of uranium, neptunium, plutonium, americium, and tecnnetium: Elsevier, Amsterdam, 919 p.

8. Hall, Susan, 2009, Groundwater restoration at uranium in-situ recovery mines, south Texas coastal plain: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2009–1143, 32 p.
9. (duplicate) Johnson, R.H., and Friedel, M.J., 2009, Factoring uncertainty into restoration modeling of in-situ leach uranium mines: USGS Open-File Report 09-1024, 25 p.
10. Johnson, R.H., 2012, Geochemical data from groundwater at the proposed Dewey Burdock uranium in-situ recovery mine, Edgemont, South Dakota: U.S. Geological Survey, Open-File Report 2012–1070, 11 p.
11. Johnson, R.H., Diehl, S.F., Benzel, W.M., 2013, Solid-phase data from cores at the proposed Dewey Burdock uranium in-situ recovery mine, near Edgemont, South Dakota: U.S. Geological Survey, Open-File Report 2013–1093, 13 p.
12. (duplicate)Johnson, R.H., and Tutu, H., 2013, Reactive transport modeling at uranium in-situ recovery sites: uncertainties in uranium sorption on iron hydroxides, *in* Wolkersdorfer, C.H., Brown, A., and Figueroa, L., (eds.), 2013, Reliable mine water technology (vol I), p. 377–382, proceedings of the Annual International Mine Water Association Conference.; Denver, Colorado, USA.
13. (duplicate)Johnson, R.H., Truax, R.A., Lankford, D.A., and Stone, J.J., 2016, Sorption testing and generalized composite surface complexation models for determining uranium sorption parameters at a proposed in-situ recovery site: Mine Water and the Environment, v. 35, pp. 435–446.
14. Karlsen, R.H., Smits, F.J.C., Stuyfzand, P.J., Olsthoorn, T.N., and van Breukelen, B.M., 2012, A post audit and inverse modeling in reactive transport: 50 years of artificial recharge in the Amsterdam Water Supply Dunes: Journal of Hydrology, v. 454–455, p. 7–25.
15. Potter, R.W., II, Clynne, M.A., Thompson, J.M., Thurmond, V.L., Erd, R.C., Nehring, N.L., Smith, K.A., Lamothe, P.J., and Seeley, J.L., 1979, Chemical monitoring of the in-situ leaching of a south Texas uranium orebody: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 79-1144, 54 p.
16. Runkel, R.L., Kimball, B.A., Walton-Day, K., Verplanck, P.L., and Broshears, R.E., 2011, Evaluating remedial alternatives for an acid mine drainage stream: a model post audit: Environmental Science & Technology, v. 46, p. 340–347.
17. Saunders, J.A., Pivetz, B.E., Voorhies, N., and Wilkin, R.T., 2016, Potential aquifer vulnerability in regions down-gradient from uranium in situ recovery (ISR) sites: Journal of Environmental Management, v. 183, pp. 67–83.
18. Stover, D.E., 2004, Smith Ranch ISL uranium facility: the wellfield management programme, *in* International Atomic Energy Agency, 2004, Recent developments in uranium resources and production with emphasis on in situ leach mining: IAEA-TECDOC-1396, p. 189–203.
19. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 2007, Consideration of geochemical issues in groundwater restoration at uranium in-situ leach mining facilities: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission NUREG/CR-6870, 86 p.
20. (duplicate) WoldeGabriel, G., Boukhalfa, H., Ware, S.D., Cheshire, M., Reimus, P., Heikoop, J., Conradson, S.D., Batuk, O., Havrilla, G., House, B., Simmons, A., Clay, J., Basu, A., Christensen, J.N., Brown, S.T., and DePaolo, D.J., 2014, Characterization of cores from an in-situ recovery mined uranium deposit in Wyoming: Implications for post-mining restoration: Chemical Geology, v. 390, p. 32–45.