this tag at the compressor. These tags provide assurance that the compressors are functioning properly.

II. Special Issues for Comment

OSHA has a particular interest in comments on the following issues:

- Whether the proposed information collection requirements are necessary for the proper performance of the Agency's functions, including whether the information is useful;
- The accuracy of OSHA's estimate of the burden (time and costs) of the information collection requirements, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- The quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and
- Ways to minimize the burden on employers who must comply; for example, by using automated or other technological information collection and transmission techniques.

III. Proposed Actions

OSHA is requesting that OMB extend its approval of the information collection requirements contained in the Respiratory Protection Standard (29 CFR 1910.134). The Agency is requesting an adjustment decrease in the number of burden hours from 6,801,711 hours to 6,643,220 hours, a total decrease of 158,491 burden hours. This decrease is based on updated data showing a decrease in the number of covered establishments. In addition, OSHA is requesting an adjustment increase of $3,265,756 in operation and maintenance costs (from $185,578,935 to $188,844,691) associated with increased estimated costs for employee medical exams, fit-testing materials and fit-tests. The Agency will summarize the comments submitted in response to this notice and will include this summary in the request to OMB.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Title: Respiratory Protection Standard (29 CFR 1910.134).

OMB Control Number: 1218–0099.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profits.

Number of Respondents: 610,213.

Frequency of Responses: Initially; annually; on occasion.

Total Responses: 21,447,539.

Average Time per Response: Varies from 5 minutes (.08 hour) to mark a storage compartment or protective cover to 8 hours for large employers to gather and prepare information to develop a written plan.

Estimated Total Burden Hours: 6,643,220.

Estimated Cost (*Operation and Maintenance*): $188,844,691.

IV. Public Participation—Submission of Comments on this Notice and Internet Access to Comments and Submissions

You may submit comments in response to this document as follows: (1) Electronically at *http://www.regulations.gov,* which is the Federal eRulemaking Portal; (2) by facsimile (fax); or (3) by hard copy. All comments, attachments, and other material must identify the Agency name and the OSHA docket number (Docket No. OSHA–2011–0027) for the ICR. You may supplement electronic submissions by uploading document files electronically. If you wish to mail additional materials in reference to an electronic or facsimile submission, you must submit them to the OSHA Docket Office (see the section of this notice titled **ADDRESSES**). The additional materials must clearly identify your electronic comments by your name, date, and the docket number so the Agency can attach them to your comments.

Because of security procedures, the use of regular mail may cause a significant delay in the receipt of comments. For information about security procedures concerning the delivery of materials by hand, express delivery, messenger, or courier service, please contact the OSHA Docket Office at (202) 693–2350, (TTY (877) 889–5627).

Comments and submissions are posted without change at *http://www.regulations.gov.* Therefore, OSHA cautions commenters about submitting personal information such as social security numbers and date of birth. Although all submissions are listed in the *http://www.regulations.gov* index, some information (e.g., copyrighted material) is not publicly available to read or download from this Web site. All submissions, including copyrighted material, are available for inspection and copying at the OSHA Docket Office. Information on using the *http://www.regulations.gov* Web site to submit comments and access the docket is available at the Web site's "User Tips" link. Contact the OSHA Docket Office for information about materials not available from the Web site, and for assistance in using the Internet to locate docket submissions.

V. Authority and Signature

David Michaels, Ph.D., MPH, Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health, directed the preparation of this notice. The authority for this notice is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3506 *et seq.*) and Secretary of Labor's Order No. 1–2012 (77 FR 3912).

Signed at Washington, DC on July 7, 2014.

David Michaels,

Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health.

[FR Doc. 2014–16144 Filed 7–9–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–26–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Hearing

[Docket No. 40–9075–MLA; ASLBP No. 10–898–02–MLA–BD01]

In The Matter of Powertech USA, INC. (Dewey-Burdock In Situ Uranium Recovery Facility)

July 3, 2014.

Before Administrative Judges: William J. Froehlich, Chairman, Dr. Richard F. Cole, Dr. Mark O. Barnett.

Notice of Opportunity To Make Oral and Written Limited Appearance Statements

This Atomic Safety and Licensing Board hereby gives notice that it will accept oral and written limited appearance statements from members of the public regarding the application of Powertech, USA, Inc. (Powertech) to the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) for a license to construct and operate an in-situ leach uranium recovery facility in Custer and Fall River Counties, South Dakota. Two sessions to hear oral limited appearance statements will be held on August 18, 2014, in Hot Springs, South Dakota.

I. Background and Scope of Proceeding

On January 5, 2010, the NRC published a notice in the **Federal Register** that any interested person could file a challenge to Powertech's application to construct and operate an in-situ leach uranium recovery facility and could request an adjudicatory hearing thereon.[1] In response to that Notice, two groups, the Oglala Sioux Tribe and the Consolidated Intervenors, challenged Powertech's application and requested a hearing.[2] On March 12, 2010, this Board was established to handle the matter and to preside over

[1] 75 FR 467 (January 5, 2010) (ADAMS Accession No. ML100060051).

[2] Consolidated Request for Hearing and Petition for Leave to Intervene (March 8, 2010) (ADAMS Accession No. ML100680010); Petition to Intervene and Request for Hearing of the Oglala Sioux Tribe (Apr. 6, 2010) (ADAMS Accession No. ML100960645).

any contested adjudicatory proceeding relating to the Powertech application.[3] On August 5, 2010, this Board granted the Intervenors' requests, ruling that they had shown standing and had raised at least one admissible contention.[4] The scope of this contested adjudicatory proceeding, and thus the appropriate scope of any limited appearance statements, is defined by the contentions that have been raised by the Intervenors and that have been admitted, i.e., have been ruled to satisfy the requirements set forth in the relevant NRC regulation, 10 CFR 2.309(f)(1).

II. Matters To Be Considered

Contentions 1A, 1B, 2, 3, 4, 6, 9, 14A, and 14B have been admitted and will be at issue in the evidentiary hearing. These contentions generally concern the adequacy of (1) the project's protection of historical and cultural resources, and (2) the agency's Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (FSEIS) analysis of the project's impacts on the water and ecosystem of the surrounding area. The Board seeks public input on these issues, specifically:

Contention 1A: Failure to Meet Applicable Legal Requirements Regarding Protection of Historical and Cultural Resources.

Contention 1B: Failure to Involve or Consult All Interested Tribes as Required by Federal Law.

Contention 2: The FSEIS Fails to Include Necessary Information for Adequate Determination of Baseline Ground Water Quality.

Contention 3: The FSEIS Fails to Include Adequate Hydrogeological Information to Demonstrate Ability to Contain Fluid Migration and Assess Potential Impacts to Groundwater.

Contention 4: The FSEIS Fails to Adequately Analyze Ground Water Quantity Impacts.

Contention 6: The FSEIS Fails to Adequately Describe or Analyze Proposed Mitigation Measures.

Contention 9: The FSEIS Fails to Consider Connected Actions.

Contention 14A: Whether an appropriate consultation was conducted pursuant to the Endangered Species Act and implementing regulations.

Contention 14B: Whether the FSEIS's impact analyses relevant to the greater sage grouse, the whooping crane, and the black-footed ferret are sufficient.

As specified below, members of the public are invited to submit oral or written statements, referred to as "limited appearance statements" related to the contentions listed above.[5]

III. Date, Time, and Location of Oral Limited Appearance Statement Sessions

The purpose of limited appearance statements is to allow members of the public who are not parties to the adjudication to provide the Board with statements setting forth their positions on matters related to the admitted contentions. Such statements may be presented orally during the limited appearance sessions or may be submitted in writing. As suggested by the parties,[6] two oral limited appearance statement sessions will be held. Both will take place on Monday, August 18, 2014 at the Mueller Civic Center, 801 S. 6th Street, Hot Springs, South Dakota. The first limited appearance session will be held from 11:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. MDT and the second limited appearance session will be held from 5:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. MDT.

IV. Participation Guidelines for Oral Limited Appearance Statements

Any person not a party, representative of a party, counsel to a party or witness who has filed testimony in this evidentiary hearing will be permitted to make a brief oral statement of his or her position on a matter of concern relating to the proceeding. Speakers should be aware, however, that the jurisdiction of this Board and the scope of this proceeding are limited to the Powertech application, and, more particularly, to the admitted contentions.[7]

Limited appearance statements enable members of the public to alert the Board to areas relating to the Powertech application and the admitted contentions where evidence may need to be adduced, and to assist the Board in its consideration of these issues. Oral limited appearance statements will be transcribed but are not under oath or affirmation and do not constitute formal testimony or evidence. Though these statements do not constitute testimony or evidence, they nonetheless may aid the Board and the parties in their consideration of the issues involved in this evidentiary hearing.

Oral limited appearance statements will be entertained during the hours specified above. In the event that all scheduled and unscheduled speakers present at the session have made a presentation, the Board reserves the right to terminate a session prior to the ending time listed above. The Board also reserves the right to extend a session if it determines this is required by the number of speakers present.

The time allotted for each limited appearance statement will be five minutes, but may be further limited depending on the number of written requests to make an oral statement or the number of persons present at the designated time, in order to ensure that everyone will have an opportunity to speak.

V. Submitting a Request To Make an Oral Limited Appearance Statement

A request to make an oral limited appearance statement may be submitted either prior to or at the limited appearance sessions. Those who have submitted timely written requests prior to the limited appearance sessions will be given priority over those who have not filed such requests. To be considered timely, a written request to make an oral limited appearance statement must be mailed, faxed or sent by email so as to be received before 5:00 p.m. EDT on Friday, August 8, 2014. The request should specify the session (afternoon or evening) during which the requester wishes to make an oral statement.

Written requests to make an oral statement should be submitted by one of the following methods:

Mail: Office of the Secretary, Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001.

Fax: (301) 415–1101 (verification (301) 415–1966).

Email: hearingdocket@nrc.gov.

In addition, using the same method of service, a copy of the written request to make an oral statement should be sent to the Chairman of this Licensing Board as follows:

Mail: Administrative Judge William J. Froehlich, Chairman, c/o Nicholas Sciretta, Law Clerk, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, Mail Stop T–3F23, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001.

Fax: (301) 415–5599 (verification (301) 415–4128).

Email: Nicholas.Sciretta@nrc.gov and *Twana.Ellis@nrc.gov.*

[3] Establishment of Atomic Safety and Licensing Board (March 12, 2010) (unpublished) ADAMS Accession No. ML100710413); *see also* Powertech (USA) Inc.; Establishment of Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, 75 FR 13141 (March 18, 2010).

[4] See LBP–10–16, 72 NRC 361 (2010) (ADAMS Accession No. ML102170300).

[5] 10 CFR 2.315(a).

[6] Joint Report on Limited Appearance Statement Sessions (June 11, 2014) at 1.

[7] The scope of this proceeding is the admitted contentions. The admitted contentions are attached to this order as Appendix A.

VI. Submitting Written Limited Appearance Statements

As provided in 10 CFR 2.315(a), any person not a party, or a representative of a party, to the proceeding may submit a written statement setting forth his or her position on matters of concern related to this proceeding. Although these statements do not constitute testimony or evidence, they nonetheless may assist the Board or the parties in their consideration of the issues in this proceeding. The Board encourages early submission of written limited appearance statements so that the Board members will be able to consider issues raised in such statements while addressing the issues in the evidentiary proceeding.

Written limited appearance statements may be submitted at any time, and should be sent by mail, fax, or email both to the Chairman of this Licensing Board and also to the Office of the Secretary. This contact information is listed above.

VII. Availability of Documentary Information Regarding the Proceeding

Documents relating to Powertech's application are available on the NRC Web site at *http://www.nrc.gov/info-finder/materials/uranium/licensed-facilities/dewey-burdock.html* (last visited July 2, 2014). These documents are also available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room (PDR), located in One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852 and electronically on the publicly available records component of the NRC's document system (ADAMS). ADAMS is accessible from the NRC Web site at *http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html* (last visited July 2, 2014).[8] Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS should contact the NRC PDR reference staff by telephone between 8:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. Eastern Time, Monday through Friday except federal holidays at (800) 397–4209 or (301) 415–4737 or by sending an email to *pdr.resource@nrc.gov.*

VIII. Potential Updated/Revised Information

Any updated/revised information regarding the limited appearance sessions can be found on the NRC Web site at *http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/aslbp/proceedings/2014/* (last visited July 2, 2014) or by calling the NRC's Public Affairs Office at (301) 415–8200.

It is so *ordered.*

Dated: July 3, 2014.

For the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board. Rockville, Maryland.

William J. Froehlich,

Chair, Administrative Judge.

Appendix A

Contention 1A: Failure to Meet Applicable Legal Requirements Regarding Protection of Historical and Cultural Resources.

Contention 1B: Failure to Involve or Consult All Interested Tribes as Required by Federal Law.

Contention 2: The FSEIS Fails to Include Necessary Information for Adequate Determination of Baseline Ground Water Quality.

Contention 3: The FSEIS Fails to Include Adequate Hydrogeological Information to Demonstrate Ability to Contain Fluid Migration and Assess Potential Impacts to Groundwater.

Contention 4: The FSEIS Fails to Adequately Analyze Ground Water Quantity Impacts.

Contention 6: The FSEIS Fails to Adequately Describe or Analyze Proposed Mitigation Measures.

Contention 9: The FSEIS Fails to Consider Connected Actions.

Contention 14A: Whether an appropriate consultation was conducted pursuant to the Endangered Species Act and implementing regulations.

Contention 14B: Whether the FSEIS's impact analyses relevant to the greater sage grouse, the whooping crane, and the black-footed ferret are sufficient.

[FR Doc. 2014–16171 Filed 7–9–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

[8] Documents which are determined to contain sensitive or proprietary information may only be available in redacted form. All non-sensitive documents are available in their complete form.

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC–2014–0112]

Nuclear Regulatory Commission International Policy Statement

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Policy statement; issuance.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is issuing an International Policy Statement. The International Policy Statement captures a brief history of almost 40 years of involvement in international activities and how this has impacted the NRC. The International Policy Statement also outlines how international activities directly support the NRC's goals and mission, and enumerates specific elements in which the NRC will proactively engage.

DATES: The International Policy Statement is effective July 10, 2014.

ADDRESSES: Please refer to Docket ID NRC–2014–0112 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information for this policy statement. You may access publicly-available information related to this policy statement by any of the following methods:

- Federal Rulemaking Web site: Go to *http://www.regulations.gov* and search for Docket ID NRC–2014–0112. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher; telephone: 301–287–3422; email: *Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov.* For technical questions, contact the individual listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document.
- NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS): You may obtain publicly-available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at *http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html.* To begin the search, select "*ADAMS Public Documents*" and then select "Begin Web-based ADAMS Search." For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737, or by email to *pdr.resource@nrc.gov.* The International Policy Statement is available in ADAMS under Accession No. ML14132A317.
- NRC's PDR: You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC's PDR, Room O1–F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jack Ramsey, Office of International Programs, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001; telephone: 301–415–2744; email: *Jack.Ramsey@nrc.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The NRC's participation in international activities has evolved since the establishment of the agency in 1975. The NRC's international activities are wide-ranging, encompassing treaty implementation, nuclear nonproliferation, export-import licensing for nuclear materials and equipment, international safeguards support and assistance, international safety and security cooperation and assistance, international safety and security information exchange, and cooperative safety research. These activities support the NRC's domestic mission, as well as broader U.S. domestic and international interests.