Message

From: Smeraldi, Josh [Smeraldi.Josh@epa.gov]
Sent: 6/25/2020 8:30:13 PM
To: Krall, Scott M [skrall@ppg.com]
CC: Pearce, Thomas (NY) [thomas.pearce@lw.com]; Ken Bird [kbird@woodardcurran.com]; Gary.Gengel (Gary.Gengel@lw.com) [Gary.Gengel@lw.com]; Sivak, Michael [Sivak.Michael@epa.gov]; Reilly, WilliamJ [Reilly.WilliamJ@epa.gov]
Subject: EPA follow up

Hi Scott,

As we discussed during the call today, we (EPA) mentioned that we will talk internally and let you know how we would like to proceed with the FS. In particular, EPA appreciates PPG's stated concerns about certain factual statements in the FS which EPA agreed to discuss further internally, as we have done in the past, in the collaborative spirit of the relationship EPA has shared wth PPG throughout the RI/FS process.

Further, as we discussed, and consistent with our collaborative relationship, EPA would like to offer PPG, for their current review period, that PPG accept/incorporated changes in the redline EPA provided, as you see appropriate. For any changes where PPG does not agree, please provide a comment explaining why PPG believes the information to not to be factually accurate. Please keep in mind that we still have time constraints and to please focus your review on your most significant/salient concerns. We believe these were discussed on the call. For example, PPG agreed that issues associated with the delineation are acceptable, with the understanding delineation would be refined in the RD. Keep in mind, the discussions in the FS need to support the decisions made for the delineations.

So to summarize, accept appropriate edits in EPA provided redline FS document, focus your efforts on significant concerns, and provide comments for EPA review. Please send back a redline with your text/comments by Tuesday 6/30.

Thank you,

Josh

--
Josh Smeraldi, Ph.D. Env. Eng
EPA Region 2 Superfund and Emergency Management Division
212 637 4302