Appointment

From: ONeill, Sandra [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=49FD0EB16F614FBAA52A3190132BAFCF-ONEILL, SANDRA]
Sent: 5/13/2019 3:09:30 PM
To: ONeill, Sandra [ONeill.Sandra@epa.gov]; Rosenblatt, Daniel [Rosenblatt.Dan@epa.gov]; Kenny, Daniel [Kenny.Dan@epa.gov]; Baris, Reuben [Baris.Reuben@epa.gov]; Meadows, Sarah [Meadows.Sarah@epa.gov]; Schmid, Emily [Schmid.Emily@epa.gov]; Hawkins, Caleb [Hawkins.Caleb@epa.gov]; Kaul, Monisha [Kaul.Monisha@epa.gov]; Chism, William [Chism.Bill@epa.gov]; Corbin, Mark [Corbin.Mark@epa.gov]; Kyle, Lee [Kyle.Lee@epa.gov]; Anderson, Brian [Anderson.Brian@epa.gov]; Chiu, Enid [Chiu.Enid@epa.gov]; Aapco.sfireg@gmail.com; Rose Kachadoorian [rkachadoorian@oda.state.or.us]; Trossbach, Liza [liza.fleeson@vdacs.virginia.gov]; Rogers, Jeffrey [jeffrey.rogers@vdacs.virginia.gov]; Bahr, Gary (AGR) [GBahr@agr.wa.gov]; tony.cofer@agi.alabama.gov; Reed, Leo A [reedla@purdue.edu]; scottde@purdue.edu; Creger, Tim [tim.creger@nebraska.gov]; Jones, Patrick [patrick.jones@ncagr.gov]; Paluch, Gretchen [Gretchen.Paluch@iowaagriculture.gov]; DCRoomPYS7731E/Potomac-Yard-One [DCRoomPYS7731E@epa.gov]; Ambrosino, Helene [Ambrosino.Helene@epa.gov]; Galloway, Carol [Galloway.Carol@epa.gov]; Teter, Royan [Teter.Royan@epa.gov]
CC: Cobb, Christina [cobb.christina@epa.gov]; Dyer, Brian [Dyer.Brian@epa.gov]; williams, jason h [Jason.Williams@ncagr.gov]; Wren, Jennifer [Jennifer.Wren@agr.georgia.gov]; Seal, Dwight [dwight.seal@ncagr.gov]

Subject: AAPCO & EPA 2019 Dicamba Exchange
Attachments: AAPCO - Dicamba Incident Information.docx
Location: PYS 7731E or Conf. #: [redacted] PII

Start: 5/15/2019 5:00:00 PM
End: 5/15/2019 6:00:00 PM
Show Time As: Busy

5-14-19: Attaching a list of information that may help EPA determine the timing, frequency, and severity of reported off-target incidents from OTT dicamba applications.

Agenda:

1. Greetings and introductions
    a. Tim
    b. Pat
    c. Tony
    d. Liza
    e. Rose
    f. Jenny Wren - GA
2. Brief summary of EPA discussions for 2019 dicamba communication
    a. Reduce call frequency to once a month
    b. Refine information to more targeted, verifiable data
3. Brief summary of AAPCO discussions for 2019 dicamba communication

    This will take a lot of work to put together but can do if the info. will be utilized and they know how it will be utilized. Don't want to go through an exercise it isn't impactful. How is this info. going to be used? Do you feel like info. was used last year?

    Dan K: last year, info. was extremely useful, guided us and helped identify the kind of mitigation that would be used. Even if was directly reflected in the communication materials (and original drafts did have acknowledgement of that), in the end the AO focused on data that's relied upon for this info. Qualitative info. that we get does guide the risk management and risk benefit balance that's worked out. It was underrepresented in the communication materials. This is the most reliable source to know what's really happening in the field.

Rose: do you accept AAPCO to send to dicamba states and then send to AAPCO?

Dan: can talk about interim numbers or where we see trends, can talk about the schedule since we have more time.

Tim: list of 16 questions does not represent a small amount of effort, it's significant. Think AAPCO needs to have a more in depth discussion. How much of this is something we already collect data for, what is extra? Terms may be interpreted differently and therefore would lead to inconsistencies in how data is reported. Any effort to provide data to the Agency needs to be seriously considered for future significant label changes. For example, how does #8 relate to label changes?

Caleb: we understand this is a lot of work, that's why we want to have the back and forth with states. #8 was to get at consistency. Even if it gets narrowed down to 4-5 questions, that'd still be helpful.

Tim: #16 is too much for some states. Can look at the list of questions and can do if know it'll result in label changes, have meaningful data.

Caleb: the crop stage, at the time the application occurred, and the calendar day of the application can both be easily translated into a label change if there's a weight of evidence to do so. Anything outside of that is helpful.

Rose: good to have these questions now before the season is too far under way. Glad that getting this now to start having conversations with members.

Liza: agree with states talking about this with what they can provide. Caveat for monthly surveys is that the numbers may not be known at the time of a survey.

Dan: Calls would helpful also for qualitative info.; for example: part of the label that might be misunderstood, outside of the numbers.

Liza: at long as there can be a discussion/dialogue instead of just reporting.

Tony: needs to be recognition that some of the dicamba states have a bad taste in their mouth regarding the special local needs registrations, feel like they're being punished for brining up good solultions to a problem. Now because they're implementing as part of the label, 24(c's) are being looked at. So some states may not want to participate.

Rose: if the 24c is taken away, some states may not even allow dicamba in their state.

Tony: yes, affects willingness in some states to participate in fact gathering.

Rose: sometimes there's a disconnect between federal and state regulators so appreciate needing to gather info. affecting states; but see university researchers collecting a lot of info. who share with state regulators; how much is the data from university making its way to EPA and how much is it influencing label decisions?

Dan: very interested in that info. and would like to see it, reached out to the universities and used it. Most helpful data are data conducted that involve the formulations that are registered and applied according to the label. They used the data to come up with the buffers.

4. Review of dicamba incident information list (attached)
    a. Review of Office of Indiana State Chemist was really helpful
5. AAPCO Questions/Comments on list
6. Identify Next Steps
7. Adjourn

Conference #: [redacted]
Conference ID: [redacted]

Greetings all,

The purpose of this meeting is to discuss how future AAPCO and EPA collaboration can best be used for dicamba information needs in the 2019 growing season. Due to the large number of participants on this call, we may not be able to accommodate all schedules. I will send out any action items to the group after the meeting.

Best,

Sandra O'Neill

Government Liaison

Government and International Services Branch II Field and External Affairs Division II OPP/OCSPP II U.S. EPA II (703) 347-0141