Message

From: Jeff Mansfield [jlmansfield1981@gmail.com]
Sent: 4/17/2017 5:20:11 AM
To: Ivan FMCV [isfmcv@hopitelecom.net]; sgfmcv@hopitelecom.net; FIRST MESA FMVC [mofmcv@hopitelecom.net]; lpuhuyesva@hopi.nsn.us; Rapicavoli, Emmanuelle [Rapicavoli.Emmanuelle@epa.gov]; Hecht, Hillary [Hecht.Hillary@epa.gov]
Subject: Fwd: Date, location, & time of next meeting discussed at PhX meeting

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: **Jeff Mansfield** <jlmansfield1981@gmail.com>
Date: Sun, Apr 16, 2017 at 10:19 PM
Subject: Re: Date, location, & time of next meeting discussed at PhX meeting
To: Tim Bodell <TBodell@hopi.nsn.us>

Tim,
I have relayed the request for FMCV to facilitate a meeting on either the 18th or 25th of April. I prefer the 18th of April, 2017 @10am. This meeting needs to happen ASAP & I have no problem assisting these future meetings.
As for the *"Hydrogeological Foundational Data"* re-investigation, I do not support the additional utilization of remaining grant funds to finance this "necessity" to the HAMP due to the Reported cost expenses that are given within the 2016 IHS closeout reports of the following projects:

2004 PH04S63 $800K		**IHS Fees Paid=$392,161.08**
2006 PH06D33 $205K*		**Project TOTAL=$3,012,400**
2008 PH08T38 $150K		
2010 PH10E37 $1,857,400**		

* PH06D33 was reported to have paid for a BOHANNAN HUSTON Inc. "*Well Drilling Inspection*" @ **$76,167.80**
** PH10E37 was reported by IHS to have conducted and paid for a KENNEDY JENKS Consultants "HYDROGEOLIC STUDY" at **$86,877.00**

If the Tribe can assist in funding this "re-investigation of the Hydrogeological Survey" I would support that initiative for the simple reason that Village Allocated Grant funds, by the EPA's CDW TSA, are intended for the furtherance of the HAMP in the villages interest.
The quantitative data that would be retrieved by this new "new re-investigation" of the Hydrogeological study of the N-Aquifer, will not only benefit the HAMP, but it can also be utilized by multiple Tribal Departments such as: Dept. of Natural Resouces, Community & Economic Development, Range Managment, HUA, the Water Resource Program, HTEDC, & others.
Therefore the Tribe, & to some extent, IHS, should carry the majority of the financial burden of re-financing an endeavor that was reported to have been conducted and completed, that was used to substantiate the HAMP "regional water system" alternative concept.
EPA has somewhat concurred & has requested additional info from you to explain the significance & importance of this re-investigation of the Hydrogeological Survey. Although EPA did state that they wanted these remaining funds from projects PH10E37 ($1.8m) & PH10E55 ($1.1m which is specified within the MOA, for FMCV) to be utilized towards actual construction, I do support the re-investigation of the N-Aquifer, but not at the Village's expense (via grant funds).
I have had computer problems this past week, my hard drive is currently being repaired, therefore I will be working from my mother's home in Polacca & can be reached at Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) or via email if you need to contact me. If the 18th meeting does get approved by Mr. Sidney, I will be in attendance at the office to participate with the villages & HUA. I will let you know as soon as I find out. Thanks

Jeff Mansfield
FMCV Business Consultant

On Fri, Apr 14, 2017 at 9:31 AM, Tim Bodell <TBodell@hopi.nsn.us> wrote:
Thanks Jeff,

Is FMCV available for a facilitation meeting with other village water systems April 18 or April 25?

The proposed scope of work is not academic research yet to be funded. It is already funded as primary background investigation necessary to make future HAMP planning and design decisions. Omitting the fundamental HAMP pre-planning investigation steps is a professional error and omission. Proceeding on a solution without knowing the foundational data of where the Arsenic is coming from is an extreme management, planning and engineering fatal flaw I can not recommend to our Hopi share holders.

Completing the foundational data collection step first will speed up the process and save many millions of dollars in the long run.

Regarding Mishongovi: If the system has never existed physically or organizationally USEPA should take responsibility and fix their reporting error. Unless Mishongovi wants to become or develop a water system then we can help them do that too. There is an easy step by step professional way to do that, but Hopi is now in the process of consolidating and assembling splinter water systems not creating new ones..

Can you help organize a facilitation meetings with Village Water Systems April 18 or April 25?

Kwak,kwah,

TIM 928 401 4000

PS- Can I help retrieve your car ?

From: Jeff Mansfield [jlmansfield1981@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, April 14, 2017 8:09 AM
To: Tim Bodell; Ivan FMCV; FIRST MESA FMVC; sgfmcv@hopitelecom.net
Subject: Date, location, & time of next meeting discussed at PhX meeting

Tim,
Was a very interesting meeting yesterday and I was very lucky I was able to attend. During the meeting, there was talk of scheduling a meeting sometimes next week, where the villages can have a chance to collectively discuss what HAMP means to their respective villages.
I have no problem helping Mishongavi Village get "up to speed" with where HAMP stands at this time, and hopefully they can have a sense of the importance HAMP, what it means to Mishongavi Village, cost expenses, and other pertainant info.
I think that this $2.2m-$1.7m remaining in Project PH10E73 & PH11E55, per EPA suggestive comments, must be utilize for some type of "ground breaking" construction in addition to EPA's consideration of your analytical data concerning the N aquifer and other quantitative/qualitative data. I do give you personal support to pursue this analytical data, separate from FMCV, but I do believe FMCV & myself also reinforce the notion of our "residential meter" needs prior to HAMP here in Polacca.
I do have some advice on how your research efforts can be funded by multiple Hopi Tribal Departments such as Dept of Natural Resources, HWRP, Range Management,ect... in a Tribal Joint effort to fund and collect data

refereed by you. This reach of the N aquafier does not just have significance for HAMP alone, this "will" be utilize by the above mentioned Tribal Departments in some form or fashion therefore their help in funding would only be reasonable. I hate to see grant monies utilized to re-research such things that the villages were led to believe, by both IHS and the Tribe, were done already.

As far as the Polacca CSAs, FMCV will attempt to schedule a meeting to also bring the 3 CSAs up to speed and FMCV's perspective on HAMP.

I feel that EPA Enforcement Region 9 is not as informed as they should be considering that they are the direct oversight of EPA Compliance (Emmanuelle/Bessie Lee) Region 9. The questions some villages had, particularly Mishongnavi, I could of answered, & hope to clarify. If anything, Sipolovi Water Dept. has been fully involved and has continually participated in HAMP, and should be helping other villages who are not so informed as they are. Which goes to show their lack of transparency, cooperation, and accountability for reasons unknown, therefore FMCV should be acknowledged & perceived as being, or attempting to be, transparent, cooperative & accountable with regards to HAMP. Just something to take into consideration so let me know what you think. Thanks

Jeff Mansfield