To be Completed by **PROJECT LEADER:**			
Draft Report Title:	Assessment of Resuspended Sediments as a Source of PFAS in the Upper Coosa River Basin		
Project Leader:	Nathan Barlet		
SESD Project ID #	19-0457	**CID Case No. (if criminal):**	NA
Project Category:	**Category I** ☐	**Category II** ☐	**Category III** ☐

Items Included for Review	**Yes**	**No**	**NA**
Draft Report	☐	☐	☐
QAPP	☐	☐	☐
Field Logbooks and/or Calibration Logbooks	☐	☐	☐
Field/Inspection checklist(s)	☐	☐	☐
Chain of Custody records	☐	☐	☐
Receipt of Samples form	☐	☐	☐
Laboratory Analytical Datasheets	☐	☐	☐
SESD Project ID on all records	☐	☐	☐
Raw Data	☐	☐	☐
Calculations	☐	☐	☐
References or Other Information	☐	☐	☐
Comments:			

Draft Report Review Conclusion

Reviewed:	☐	No comments
	☐	Comments provided, re-submittal not requested
	☐	Comments provided and should be addressed, **re-submittal requested**

Reviewer's Name *(Print Above)*		Section Chief's Name *(Print Above)*	
Reviewer Signature	Date	Section Chief Signature	Date

If Re-Submittal is Requested:

	Comments were not fully addressed.		Comments were adequately addressed.
Reviewer Signature	Date	Section Chief Signature	Date

To be Completed by **Reviewer:**			
Report Review			
ITEM	**YES**	**NO**	**N/A**
Were the following included in the report?			
Report Title	☐	☐	☐
Project identification number on each page	☐	☐	☐
Page number and the total number of pages on each page (i.e., page x of y)	☐	☐	☐
On title page: *"The activities depicted in this report are accredited under the US EPA Region 4 Science and Ecosystem Support Division ISO/IEC 17025 accreditation issued by the ANSI-ASQ National Accreditation Board. Refer to certificate and scope of accreditation AT-1644."*	☐	☐	☐
"End of Report" statement	☐	☐	☐
Name and address of:			
SESD	☐	☐	☐
Requestor	☐	☐	☐
Analytical Support	☐	☐	☐
Other Contributors, including their responsibilities	☐	☐	☐
Reference to utilized:			
Project specific QAPP	☐	☐	☐
SESD Operating Procedures	☐	☐	☐
Laboratory analytical method(s)	☐	☐	☐
If applicable:			
Deviations from above reference material noted	☐	☐	☐
Results and discussion of field quality control samples	☐	☐	☐
Statement on the uncertainty of field measurements	☐	☐	☐
Notation of adverse impacts to field measurements and/or sampling	☐	☐	☐
Reference, comparison and discussion of exceedances to most recently published action levels, regulatory limits, regulatory citations, etc.	☐	☐	☐
Non-accredited work clearly identified	☐	☐	☐
Comments:			

Data/Information Review			
ITEM	**YES**	**NO**	**N/A**
Is the following accurately transcribed from the Field and Analytical Records to the Report?			
Field Measurement Results (Including correct units of measurement)	☐	☐	☐
Sample Information	☐	☐	☐
GPS Information	☐	☐	☐
Photographic Log	☐	☐	☐
Laboratory Analytical Results (Including correct units)	☐	☐	☐
Calculations			
Were the calculation(s) sufficiently referenced to allow reproducibility?	☐	☐	☐
Were calculation(s) in spreadsheets/electronic data sources correct?	☐	☐	☐
Were calculations used in the Report Narrative correct?	☐	☐	☐
Were all calibration standards/buffers within their expiration date?	☐	☐	☐
Documentation was sufficiently detailed to allow field measurements to be traceable to their calibration standards.	☐	☐	☐
Overall Percent of Data/Information Reviewed:			%

General Questions			
ITEM	**YES**	**NO**	**N/A**
Were grammar, spelling, and general report format reviewed?	☐	☐	☐
If opinions and interpretations were included, were they clearly identified and their basis discussed?	☐	☐	☐
Was the overall information presented factual and pertinent to the investigation?	☐	☐	☐
Was all the information required to support the findings/conclusion provided? (e.g. field measurements, analytical results, maps, etc.)	☐	☐	☐
In your opinion, were conclusions or results logically derived and valid based on the data presented in the report?	☐	☐	☐
Comments: *Additional Comments On Next Page*			

Additional Comments:

ED_005067B_00010593-00004