Message

From: CFD-SRT [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=3D7963607C714138900DD470D99B1174-CFD-SRT]
Sent: 8/1/2019 5:46:53 PM
BCC: grast01@nova.edu; Gibson, Tamue [Gibson.Tamue@epa.gov]
Subject: TIME-SENSITIVE - IMPORTANT TSCA SACC AD HOC Member Request for responses to 4 Questions regarding "Impartiality": 5 chemical risk evaluations that will be reviewed by the TSCA SACC
Attachments: Four questions for multiple chemicals.docx

Importance: High

Greetings!

I've addressed this message to prospective or current TSCA SACC ad hoc members, "bcc," to avoid inadvertent replies to all.

Thank you again for your participation on the TSCA SACC.

The TSCA SACC has a very busy schedule in 2019 with meetings scheduled from June through December 2019. On December 19, 2016, EPA published a list of 10 chemical substances that are the subject of the Agency's initial chemical risk evaluations, as required by TSCA § 6(b)(2)(A). The docket numbers, problem formulations, scope documents and supplemental documents for the 10 chemical substances that EPA initiated the risk evaluation process for in December 2016 can be found here:

- Pigment Violet 29 (TSCA SACC meeting, June 2019)
- 1, 4 Dioxane (TSCA SACC meeting, July 29-Aug 2, 2019)
- Cyclic Aliphatic Bromide Cluster (HBCD) (TSCA SACC meeting, July 29-Aug 2, 2019)
- 1-Bromopropane TBD
- Methylene Chloride TBD
- N-Methylpyrrolidone TBD
- Perchloroethylene TBD
- Trichloroethylene TBD
- Carbon Tetrachloride - TBD
- Asbestos - TBD

Future meetings will focus on the Agency's draft risk evaluation for the remaining seven (7) chemicals: 1-bromopropane, methylene chloride, N-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP), perchloroethylene, trichloroethylene, carbon tetrachloride, and asbestos.

For planning purposes, please indicate your interest in participating as an ad hoc member of the TSCA SACC for review of the Agency's draft risk evaluations for 1-bromopropane, methylene chloride, N-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP), perchloroethylene, trichloroethylene, carbon tetrachloride, and asbestos.

In addition, please indicate your availability for the following dates September 9-13, 2019, October 21-25, 2019, and December 2-6, 2019.

If you are interested and potentially available, please respond to the four questions regarding "impartiality" with respect to 1-bromopropane, methylene chloride, N-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP), perchloroethylene, and trichloroethylene (see Table below and word file attached). A public announcement of the specific draft chemical risk evaluations for the September meeting will be published with the next 2 weeks; however, we need to make sure that there is a quorum of

TSCA SACC members and adequate representation of expertise (additional ad hoc members) to address the Agency's charge before the official announcement is published.

Time is of the essence. Please contact me if you have questions or concerns.

Thank you.

Sharlene (mobile: 240-286-4365 (Fridays); office: 202-564-0130)

Sharlene R. Matten, Ph.D.
Senior Biologist
Office of Science Coordination and Policy
Exposure Assessment, Coordination, and Policy Division
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington D.C. 20460
e-mail: matten.sharlene@epa.gov
Tel: 202-564-0130

Questions	**1-Bromopropane**	**Methylene Chloride**	**N-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP)**	**Perchloroethylene**	**Trichloroethylene**
(1) Do you know of any reason that you might be unable to provide impartial advice on the matter to come before the panel/committee/subcommittee or any reason that your impartiality in the matter might be questioned?					

(2) Have you had any previous involvement with the review document(s) under consideration including authorship, collaboration with the authors, or previous peer review functions? If so, please identify and describe that involvement.					
(3) Have you served on previous advisory panels, committees or subcommittees that have addressed the topic under consideration? If so, please identify those activities.					
(4) Have you made any public statements (written or oral) on the issue that would indicate to an observer that you have taken a position on the issue under consideration? If so, please identify those statements.					