Message

From: d'Almeida, Carolyn K. [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=9EC4401AFA1846DD93D52A0DDA973581-CDALMEID]
Sent: 6/26/2017 4:01:03 PM
To: Henning, Loren [Henning.Loren@epa.gov]
CC: Fairbanks, Brianna [fairbanks.brianna@epa.gov]
Subject: FW: Comparison of SEE shutdown criteria to weekly progress reports
Attachments: SEE shutdown criteria as of termination of steam injection 3.9.16 weekly report.docx; SEE shutdown criteria as of termination of extraction system 5.4.16 weekly report.docx

Carolyn d'Almeida
Remedial Project Manager
Federal Facilities Branch (SFD 8-1)
US EPA Region 9
(415) 972-3150

"Because a waste is a terrible thing to mind..."

From: d'Almeida, Carolyn K.
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2016 5:27 PM
To: Butler, Thomas <Butler.Thomas@epa.gov>; Henning, Loren <Henning.Loren@epa.gov>
Cc: Davis, Eva <Davis.Eva@epa.gov>; 'Wayne Miller' <Miller.Wayne@azdeq.gov>
Subject: Comparison of SEE shutdown criteria to weekly progress reports

Thomas

I have summarized the status of attainment of shutdown criteria 1) at the time of termination of steam injection and 2) at the time of termination of extraction system. It is technically dense, but hopefully self explanatory. Let me know if you have any questions.

In thinking about these criteria, they were added into the workplan in response to my request to include shutdown criteria for the SEE system. Somehow amec later started calling these "EBR transition criteria" which has completely different meaning. The difference is subtle, but huge in terms of how it illustrates their intent to skip over agency concurrence on the EBR workplan and ram this remedy with no hope of success down our throats to get AF into 20 year MNA period as soon as possible, and before we caught on to what they were doing.

Carolyn d'Almeida
Remedial Project Manager
Federal Facilites Branch (SFD 8-1)
US EPA Region 9
(415) 972-3150

"Because a waste is a terrible thing to mind..."