SANTA ANA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD
INSPECTION REPORT

OFFICE NO: 8
INSPECTOR: EGK

PCA SYSTEM TASK NO.: 10230

WDID No.	OWNER NAME	FACILITY NAME
CAG018001 NPDES No.	OWNER ADDRESS	FACILITY ADDRESS
Site ID	OWNER CITY, STATE & ZIP	FACILITY CITY, STATE & ZIP
Actual Date Inspected	OWNER CONTACT	FACILITY CONTACT
	OWNER PHONE NO.	FACILITY PHONE NO.

S Inspection Agency (S=STATE, J=JOINT STATE/USEPA)

INSPECTION TYPE (Check One)

A1___ "A" type compliance--Comprehensive inspection in which samples are taken. (EPA Type S)
B1 X "B" type compliance--A routine nonsampling inspection. (EPA Type C)
02___ Noncompliance follow-up--Inspection made to verify correction of a previously identified violation.
03___ Enforcement follow-up--Inspection made to verify that conditions of an enforcement action are being met.
04___ Complaint--Inspection made in response to a complaint.
05___ Pre-requirement--Inspection made to gather info. relative to preparing, modifying, or rescinding requirements.
06___ Miscellaneous--Any inspection type not mentioned above. If this is an EPA inspection not mentioned above please note type. (e.g. biomonitoring, performance audit, diagnostic, etc.)

N Were violations noted during this inspection? (Yes/No/Pending Sample Results)
N Was this a Quality Assurance-Based inspection?
N Were bioassay samples taken? (N=no) If YES then, S= Static or F= Flow through.
N Were water quality samples collected?

INSPECTION SUMMARY

The overall facility rating, on a 1 (unreliable) to 5 (reliable) scale, was determined to be 3 = Satisfactory.

The corrals contained about XX inches of manure. Describe tanding water and its location (Photos X & X). Stockpiles of manure (estimate size & location) did/did not appear to have been present for more than 180 days (Photos X & X). Describe trash, debris, spilled feed (Photos X).
Freeboard in fullest lagoon was estimated to be X feet. Other problems of interest here.

INITIALS: EGK SIGNATURE: Edward Kashak DATE: Mo/Day/Yr

For Internal Use: Reviewed by: (1)_____ (2)_____ (3) Regional WDS Coordinator

CIWQS Entry Date: _____ CIWQS Inspection ID:

HISTORICAL INFORMATION (MOST RECENT):

Order No.	Adopted Date	Permit Type	Inspect Date	Inspection Type	Inspection Violations	Inspection Violation Type	Violation Date
R8-2013-0001	**6-7-13**	**NPDES**					

REVIEW OF FACILITY'S MOST RECENT ANNUAL REPORT

ANNUAL REPORT FOR: Jan 1, 2011 – Dec 31, 2011

ANIMAL POPULATION

Milk Cows: Dry Cows: Heifers: Calves: Other:

MANURE INFORMATION

Units used: tons

Amount of manure spread on cropland at the facility:

Amount of manure hauled away from the facility:

Name(s) and address(es) of manure destination:

ENGINEERED WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN (EWMP) REVIEW

Did the inspector review the most recent EWMP on file? **YES**

Did the facility operator have a copy of the EWMP available onsite?

Date EWMP originally prepared:

EWMP prepared by:

Regional Board EWMP Acceptance Date:

EWMP Certification Letter Date and Source:

Was EWMP fully implemented?

If not, list structures missing or deficient:

Other information related to the EWMP:

OPERATOR INSPECTION PARTICIPATION AND INPUT, AND DESCRIPTION OF WATER CONTAINMENT SYSTEM

[Use this section to describe operator participation and input, the water containment system scheme (distinguish between dairy with washwater from barn & heifer ranch with none), manure management strategy etc. **Refer to Photos**

INSPECTION OBSERVATIONS

ANIMALS ONSITE DURING INSPECTION;

Milk Cows: # Dry Cows: # Heifers: # Calves: Other: #

INSPECTION SPECIFIC MANURE AND WASTEWATER INFORMATION:

DISCUSSION OF FACILITY HOUSEKEEPING:
[Use this section to describe depth of manure in corrals, standing water, manure stockpiles (location and est. time in place). Also trash, debris, dirt, flies, animal carcasses etc. can be discussed**Refer to Photos**

TYPICAL DEPTH OF MANURE IN CORRALS:
DATE CORRALS WERE LAST SCRAPED:
ESTIMATED FREEBOARD IN FULLEST LAGOON:
DATE OF LAST LAGOON SOLIDS REMOVAL, PER FACILITY REPRESENTATIVE:
DISPOSAL LOCATION FOR LAGOON SOLIDS:

INSPECTION SPECIFIC MANURE AND WASTEWATER INFORMATION (CONTINUED) :

CONDITION OF BERMS AND CONTAINMENT STRUCTURES:

[Give a fairly detailed description here, especially for problems such as improperly constructed berms and ponds, excessive vegetation, rodent damage and problems, erosion, insufficient capacity or any other issue related to these structures **Refer to Photos**

OTHER INSPECTION OBSERVATIONS:

POTENTIAL VIOLATIONS (IF APPLICABLE)

Some common violations are list below, pick and delete or add to this list as needed (delete this line)

- The discharger had never submitted an EWMP for this facility
- The EWMP on file for this facility has never been accepted.
- The discharger had not submitted a certification from the engineer or other qualified individual who prepared the EWMP stating that the facility had been constructed as specified in the EWMP
- The discharger had not fully implemented the facility EWMP
- The discharger was not maintaining containment structures to retain all wastewater within the facility
-Freeboard in the pond(s) was less than 2 feet
- A marker was not placed within each pond or impoundment to indicate the minimum capacity necessary to contain all runoff and direct precipitation from the 25-year, 24 hour rainfall event.
- All containment structures, including, but not limited to, ponds, berms, and wastewater distribution lines and systems, were not inspected or inspections were not documented weekly (or daily during storm event).
- Monitoring data were not maintained for at least five years and were not made available to Regional Board staff (or their contracted representatives) upon request.
- A manifest of the manure hauled away was not prepared in accordance with OrderRB-2007-01 monitoring and reporting program
- Animal carcasses were/were not disposed of in accordance with Permit requirements. The facility contracts with (name) as needed for pick up of animal carcasses.
- The discharger has more than 700 mature cows, but has not analyzed the manure, or does not have a copy of their nutrient analysis to show the inspector
- The discharger applies manure, litter or process wastewater to croplands under their ownership or control, but has not developed a site specific Nutrient Management Plan (NMP) according to 40 CFR requirements.

DATE OF POTENTIAL VIOLATION:_____
DATE OF POTENTIAL VIOLATION DETERMINATION:_____
DESCRIPTION OF VIOLATION: **See above items as well as Inspection Summary, Annual Report Review, EWMP Review, Description of Water Containment System, Inspection Observations, EWMP Review, and Additional Comments,.**

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS, SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS, FUTURE INSPECTION FOLLOW UP ETC.
Discussion. **Refer to Photos**