Message

From: Stuart, Brittany [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=6320E90A5CCD4554805142BC094C3026-STUART, BRITTANY]
Sent: 11/4/2019 5:22:49 PM
To: Washington, John [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=fdc3e8ce9f1d45c4894881ff420ca104-Washington, John]
CC: john_washington@outlook.com
Subject: RE: QA review of manuscript requested
Attachments: 191101 WashingtonEtAlManuscriptPostReviewChangesAccepted_BGScomments.docx

John,

I have provided some comments for your consideration as you finalize the manuscript. I did note some discrepancies in table 1 in the number of detects vs. non-detects for some of the congeners. These numbers differ from those that are present in table which differ from those in table S4 and on the dataset which I reviewed. I just wanted to point this out for you to take a second look at. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment and to be a contributor to this paper.

Best,

Brittany Stuart
Quality Assurance Manager
U.S. EPA Office of Research and Development
Center for Environmental Measurement and Modeling
Research Planning and Implementation Staff
26 W. Martin Luther King Dr.
Cincinnati, Ohio 45268
Office: 513-569-7971, Room 543A
Email: stuart.brittany@epa.gov

"Coming together is a Beginning, Keeping together is Progress, Working together is a Success."

From: Washington, John <Washington.John@epa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 3:59 PM
To: Stuart, Brittany <Stuart.Brittany@epa.gov>
Cc: john_washington@outlook.com
Subject: RE: QA review of manuscript requested

Sure Brittany, happy weekend. John

From: Stuart, Brittany <Stuart.Brittany@epa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 3:57 PM
To: Washington, John <Washington.John@epa.gov>
Cc: john_washington@outlook.com
Subject: RE: QA review of manuscript requested

John,

Ah! Today got away from me and I got about halfway through the manuscript. I will be out of office tomorrow. Would it be possible to request one additional day for review to COB Monday…pretty please ☺??

Brittany Stuart
Quality Assurance Manager
U.S. EPA Office of Research and Development
Center for Environmental Measurement and Modeling
Research Planning and Implementation Staff
26 W. Martin Luther King Dr.
Cincinnati, Ohio 45268
Office: 513-569-7971, Room 543A
Email: stuart.brittany@epa.gov

"Coming together is a Beginning, Keeping together is Progress, Working together is a Success."

From: Washington, John <Washington.John@epa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 3:19 PM
To: Stuart, Brittany <Stuart.Brittany@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: QA review of manuscript requested

It helps thanks!

From: Stuart, Brittany <Stuart.Brittany@epa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 3:19 PM
To: Washington, John <Washington.John@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: QA review of manuscript requested

Hi John, this would be Category A because it is related to PFAS and high profile. Hope this helps!

Brittany Stuart
Quality Assurance Manager
U.S. EPA Office of Research and Development
Center for Environmental Measurement and Modeling
Research Planning and Implementation Staff
26 W. Martin Luther King Dr.
Cincinnati, Ohio 45268
Office: 513-569-7971, Room 543A
Email: stuart.brittany@epa.gov

"Coming together is a Beginning, Keeping together is Progress, Working together is a Success."

From: Washington, John <Washington.John@epa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 3:18 PM
To: Stuart, Brittany <Stuart.Brittany@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: QA review of manuscript requested

Hey Brittany,

I am filling in SciHub. What QA Cat is this manuscript A or B, or I II III or IV?

Thanks,
John

From: Stuart, Brittany <Stuart.Brittany@epa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 8:21 AM
To: Washington, John <Washington.John@epa.gov>
Cc: Stevens, Caroline <Stevens.Caroline@epa.gov>; Vazquez, Margie <Vazquez.Margie@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: QA review of manuscript requested

John,

If you feel that my contributions to this effort warrant co-authorship then I will gladly accept and am so very grateful for the opportunity to be included. I spoke with Tom Behymer as to whether this would be appropriate and he suggested that I recuse myself from conducting the QA review for the product. So, in order to avoid the appearance of a conflict of interest, I have asked my colleague, Margie Vazquez, to conduct the QA review of the manuscript itself. She will complete the review and provide you with a signed form to include in the STICS package.

I would still like the opportunity to review informally from a more technical standpoint. I will complete this review and provide any comments by no later than COB tomorrow. Is this timeframe acceptable?

At first glance, I am excited to see the unveiling of all the trends that have been discovering over the past year.

Brittany Stuart
Quality Assurance Manager
U.S. EPA Office of Research and Development
Center for Environmental Measurement and Modeling
Research Planning and Implementation Staff
26 W. Martin Luther King Dr.
Cincinnati, Ohio 45268
Office: 513-569-7971, Room 543A
Email: stuart.brittany@epa.gov

"Coming together is a Beginning, Keeping together is Progress, Working together is a Success."

From: Washington, John <Washington.John@epa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, October 30, 2019 4:38 PM
To: Stuart, Brittany <Stuart.Brittany@epa.gov>
Cc: Stevens, Caroline <Stevens.Caroline@epa.gov>
Subject: QA review of manuscript requested

Hi Brittany,

I am attaching a manuscript and Supplemental Materials (to be published on-line). I hope to submit this effort to the journal Science. This version of these files has been through author review and technical review (Collette, Weber) and comments addressed. You have QA reviewed all data in this manuscript. Brianne tells me you usually do a QA review at about this point. Can you do a QA review of this for me?

Also, if you find this manuscript fairly acceptable, except whatever comments you might have as the QA reviewer, would you be interested in being moved from Acknowledgements to an author? Sorry this invitation is late in the process. I have been toiling on it very hard, so major author changes probably are not going to happen at this phase.

Let me know what you think on QA review and on my offer.

Thanks,
John