From:	Bacey, Juanita@DTSC
To:	Low, Tina@Waterboards
Cc:	Lee, Lily@Waterboards; Naugle, Alec@Waterboards
Subject:	RE: HPNS- Tetra Tech 4/14 internal investigation report
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2016 10:09:07 AM
Attachments:	email to EPA anomalous samples..pdf Memorandum to Parcel G File.docx email 4.7.16.pdf HP Parcel E Fnl Execution Plan-Text.pdf Pages from Investigation of Anomalous Soil Samples at HPNS_text_figures.pdf

Hi Tina,
Lily and I have been researching the history. Sorry we didn't include you in all the emails. Lily has been talking to her management about the issue. Attached is the 2014 TTech report. The new accusation by Smith that was not in the report was that soil samples collected from under building 351a were substituted. So this is what we have been focusing on. Attached is the recent info I sent to Lily. Also, I believe Lily already requested that we discuss the issue at the next BCT meeting. Perhaps we'll have time after the BCT meeting on Thursday for the three of us to discuss (if you are attending).

-----Original Message-----
From: Low, Tina@Waterboards
Sent: Friday, April 08, 2016 3:08 PM
To: Robinson, Derek@VCGCB
Cc: Lee, Lily@Waterboards; Bacey, Juanita@DTSC; Naugle, Alec@Waterboards
Subject: HPNS- Tetra Tech 4/14 internal investigation report

Hi Derek,
Could you email me a copy of the April 2014 Tetra Tech report that documents the internal investigation?
In your email below, you stated that the 2014 investigation did not include information from Mr. Smith because he could not be reached for interviews. I understand that investigations are ongoing. My main question is: How can we be sure that all confirmation sample data used in decision making (i.e., closing out sites) is valid and not falsified? What are the steps in the Navy's investigation process?

Thanks,
Tina

From: LEE, LILY [LEE.LILY@EPA.GOV]
Sent: Wednesday, March 23, 2016 4:29 PM
To: Bacey, Juanita@DTSC; Low, Tina@Waterboards
Subject: Some more details from Navy FW: Tetra Tech & Rad

-----Original Message-----
From: Robinson, Derek J CIV NAVFAC HQ, BRAC PMO [mailto:derek.j.robinson1@navy.mil]
Sent: Wednesday, March 23, 2016 1:31 PM
To: LEE, LILY <LEE.LILY@EPA.GOV>
Cc: Janda, Danielle L CIV NAVFAC SW <danielle.janda@navy.mil>
Subject: RE: Tetra Tech & Rad - confirming my notes

Dear Lily,

Here is what I can officially confirm.

Investigations by multiple agencies are on-going. Mr. Anthony Smith has been interviewed as part of at least some of these investigations.

. The BRAC office has entered unfavorable reviews about Tetra Tech's performance at Hunters Point to the contract actions responsible for anomalous soil sampling. Tetra Tech has not been awarded new contracts in 2015 or 2016 at Hunters Point. However, Tetra Tech is currently completing work under previously awarded contracts.

So far, Mr. Smith has stated the following:

. He worked for Tetra Tech during the period of time that soil samples were in question.

. He was ordered to collect confirmation samples from remediated areas that were later replaced with other soils.

. Confirmation soil samples that were not sent to the lab were used as fill in excavated areas.

. He observed falsification of samples on confirmation sampling after cleanup was conducted.

. At Building 351A, before remediation, a sample in the crawl space under the building was found to be contaminated. The area was remediated. Tetra Tech falsified confirmation sampling that was collected in this area.

. Tetra Tech's motivation was to close out the backfilling of trenches sooner to save resources.

The Tetra Tech internal investigation 4/14

. Included all radiological samples collected by Tetra Tech during this period and under the implicated contracts for radiological projects at Hunters Point.

. Did not include information from Mr. Smith because he could not be reached for interviews during the time of the investigation.

The Navy's Radiation Affairs Support Office (RASO) is evaluating allegations by Mr. Smith.

Radium has not been detected at levels of concern in groundwater at Hunters Point Naval Shipyard. There is no reason to believe that the soil sampling activities alleged by Mr. Smith would cause a groundwater issue.

Derek