Message

From: Pilant, Drew [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=C9669A68DABB4704BDD7C2EB85F998B2-PILANT, DREW]
Sent: 11/20/2019 12:56:07 PM
To: Buckley, Timothy [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=197a3461d9824a17850f34cc2b0b37fe-Buckley, Timothy]; Lindstrom, Andrew [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=04bf7cf26aa44ce29763fbc1c1b2338e-Lindstrom, Andrew]
CC: Montilla, Alex [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=b148b5335ff44aea8970035668052f01-Montilla, Alex]
Subject: RE: PFAS Discrepancy file for DoD

Sounds good, Tim. We'll ingest the list.

Drew

From: Buckley, Timothy <Buckley.Timothy@epa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, November 20, 2019 7:52 AM
To: Pilant, Drew <Pilant.Drew@epa.gov>; Lindstrom, Andrew <Lindstrom.Andrew@epa.gov>
Cc: Montilla, Alex <Montilla.Alex@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: PFAS Discrepancy file for DoD

Drew and Andy,

See email below. Perhaps you already have but just in case, it sounds like the attached is the latest / best DoD PFAS sites for GeoPFAS.

Tim

From: Barrette, Michael <Barrette.Michael@epa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, November 19, 2019 5:59 PM
To: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) A CIV OSD OUSD A-S (US)' < Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)
Cc: Montilla, Alex <Montilla.Alex@epa.gov>; Gillespie, Andrew <Gillespie.Andrew@epa.gov>; Buckley, Timothy <Buckley.Timothy@epa.gov>; Pruzinsky, Amanda <Pruzinsky.Amanda@epa.gov>; Hanselman, Erik <Hanselman.Erik@epa.gov>; Leff, Karin <Leff.Karin@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: PFAS Discrepancy file for DoD

H

I hope you are well. I wanted to let you know we are getting closer on our National PFAS Data Explorer release. Included in that release will be the file that you provided for our use, with a few minor corrections. I don't think we received any comments from you when we shared to proposed corrections in April.

The handful of facilities that are noted in red are ones that we found in the Report to Congress or that are on the NPL list that were not on the list you sent us. Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)
Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Mike

From Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)
Sent: Wednesday, May 22, 2019 8:44 AM

To: Barrette, Michael <Barrette.Michael@epa.gov>
Cc: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) A CIV OSD OUSD A-S (USA) < Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) >
Subject: FW: [Non-DoD Source] ECOS PFAS Caucus- Caucus & State Standards Calls, U.S. EPA Webinar on Analytic Tools

Michael,

Happy Wednesday. I know I am behind on providing you a review of our information for the PFAS Analytical Tool. It has been a little chaotic here.

I participate on the ECOS PFAS Caucus calls and during the last call it was mentioned EPA is hosting a webinar on the Tool. Is it possible for DoD to participate/listen to the webinar? I feel it may be helpful as we respond to your request for information.

Thanks,

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

From: Barrette, Michael
Sent: Tuesday, April 16, 2019 3:08 PM
To: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) A CIV OSD OUSD A-S (US) < Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) >
Cc: Azad, Ava <Azad.Ava@epa.gov>; Pruzinsky, Amanda <Pruzinsky.Amanda@epa.gov>; Leff, Karin <Leff.Karin@epa.gov>; Dalzell, Sally <Dalzell.Sally@epa.gov>; Burchette, John <Burchette.John@epa.gov>; Baier-Anderson, Caroline <Baier-Anderson.Caroline@epa.gov>; Gaines, Linda <Gaines.Linda@epa.gov>; Freed, Elisabeth <Freed.Elisabeth@epa.gov>
Subject: PFAS Discrepancy file for DoD

H,

Following our call a few weeks ago, I had promised to send you a file noting discrepancies between various lists of PFAS sites. Attached is the file. We discussed having a meeting in the next 3-4 weeks to discuss the PFAS Analytic Tools. I know you have sent the link to the various DoD components. So our next meeting would be to:

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Perhaps you could forward this file along and propose some dates that we could meet. Also, we are happy to work on corrections prior to the meeting via email or phone. Amanda Pruzinsky is our technical contact on the lists. Thanks.

Mike

From: Pruzinsky, Amanda
Sent: Tuesday, April 16, 2019 2:52 PM
To: Barrette, Michael <Barrette.Michael@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: discrepancy file for DoD

Hi Mike,

Attached is the current file.

Background: DoD sent us files with their "known or suspected" sites with PFAS. This was a little over 400 sites and overall seems rather inclusive. I've compared the names of these sites to 3 other sources:

1) DoD's report to Congress, which specifically identifies sites that had PFOA/PFOS detections above the LHA http://www.oea.gov/resource/addressing-perfluorooctane-sulfonate-pfos-and-perfluorooctanoic-acid-pfoa
2) The NPL list of sites with PFAS detections
3) ATSDR's website of PFAS sites https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/pfas/atsdr_sites_involvement.html

In the attached file "FF_check" sheet "Crosswalk" the orange and red names are ones I need help cross-walking. I'm trying to figure out if they are named differently in the DoD known/suspected file or just not in that file at all. Since the DoD known/suspected site list should be rather inclusive, I would think all of the sites on the other lists should be in that file and where they aren't we are trying to determine why. The NPL locations are sometimes very site specific, so it could just be identifying the actual base. I provided a ReadMe sheet for help as well as the NPL and DoD overall files for help.

Basically, for the attached sheet "Crosswalk" why are sites listed in columns C-E (DoD report to congress sites, NPL sites, ATSDR sites), not in column B (DoD's overall known/suspected list)?

Best,
Amanda