Message

From: Paddack, Mark [mpaddack@eaest.com]
Sent: 3/21/2019 2:38:00 PM
To: Shewmake, Kenneth [shewmake.kenneth@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Urgent: Final Lane Plating CSM - Figure 7 and Table 3 revisions

Mr. Shewmake:

I have forwarded the below comments to those working on the SCM TM, and have asked them to get on this ASAP. When you called, I had not yet received your e-mail, and thought you were talking about the soil sample location map (Figure A-2, Appendix A) in the SAP, which was adjusted per TCEQ comments to treat detection limits above residential screening values as exceedances.

In addition to the below TCEQ comments for the CSMTM, did you want Figure A-2 in the SAP to be revised as I thought were discussing on the phone call?

Thank You,
Mark Paddack
EA Project Manager

From: Shewmake, Kenneth [mailto:shewmake.kenneth@epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, March 21, 2019 9:06 AM
To: Paddack, Mark
Subject: FW: Urgent: Final Lane Plating CSM - Figure 7 and Table 3 revisions
Importance: High

Mark,

I will try to call this morning to talk about this. Please call me if you see this before I call. We need to talk about this soon. This could be a big problem.

Kenneth Shewmake
US Environmental Protection Agency
Environmental Scientist
RPM Lane Plating

1445 Ross Ave., Suite 1200
Dallas, TX 75202-2733
shewmake.kenneth@epa.gov
work phone- (214) 665-3198

From: Rebecca Storms <Rebecca.Storms@Tceq.Texas.Gov>
Sent: Thursday, March 21, 2019 8:30 AM
To: Shewmake, Kenneth <shewmake.kenneth@epa.gov>
Cc: Sharon Barker <Sharon.Barker@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: Urgent: Final Lane Plating CSM - Figure 7 and Table 3 revisions
Importance: High

Kenneth,

Figure 7 of the Final CSM incorrectly shows COPCs exceedances of TCEQ human health values. Please see the below changes that should be made to this figure and Table 3 and let me know if you have any questions.

1. Sample results that are reported as not detected are shown as exceeding human health screening values if their reporting limits are higher than the screening values (this was an original comment provided by TCEQ on 3/15/19). This is occurring primarily for cyanide, lead, and mercury. These are shown as exceedances of the RBEL for Fish Only/Incidental Fishery values in Figure 7 and in Table 3. When revised, only samples SW-04 and SW-13 should show exceedances of the Fish Only/Incidental Fishery values (see attached markup).

2. When screened against the Water and Fish RBELs, all other sample locations exceed this value and are below the Fish Only/Incidental Fishery values. However, the water and fish RBELs apply to surface water bodies used as a drinking water supply. As this is not the case for the streams and Trinity River near the site, I suggest not screening these values at all on this figure. This would remove the Water and Fish RBELs and associated COPC exceedance note from Figure 7.

3. With these changes, the figure should only show exceedances of the Fish Only/Incidental Fishery RBELs in samples SW-04 and SW-13. The Water and Fish RBELs will be removed from the figure. Additionally, the COPC list will change to only show lead, mercury, and manganese (see attached markup).

4. If you plan to keep the Water and Fish RBELs on Table 3, I suggest not screening the data against it and adding a note that states the following: "*Water and Fish RBELs are not applicable to current data because they are protective of surface water used as a drinking water supply, and the site streams and Trinity River are not used or dedicated as a public supply of drinking water.*"

Please call me if any clarification is needed.

Thanks,

Rebecca Storms, P.G.
Superfund Section, MC-136
Remediation Division
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Phone: 512-239-2466
Email: Rebecca.Storms@tceq.texas.gov