Message

From: Bertrand, Charlotte [Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov]
Sent: 7/18/2019 9:03:18 PM
To: Daguillard, Robert [Daguillard.Robert@epa.gov]
CC: Han, Kaythi [Han.Kaythi@epa.gov]; Keller, Kaitlin [keller.kaitlin@epa.gov]; Dunn, Alexandra [dunn.alexandra@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: CHARLOTTE: For your review: responses to Audubon Mag - Sulfoxaflor

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

From: Daguillard, Robert
Sent: Thursday, July 18, 2019 3:46 PM
To: Bertrand, Charlotte <Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov>
Cc: Han, Kaythi <Han.Kaythi@epa.gov>; Keller, Kaitlin <keller.kaitlin@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: CHARLOTTE: For your review: responses to Audubon Mag - Sulfoxaflor

Charlotte, is this good to send?

Cheers, R.

Robert Daguillard
Communications Officer (Detail)
Office of Chemical Safety
and Pollution Prevention
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Washington, DC
+1 (202) 564-6618 (O)

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

From: Daguillard, Robert
Sent: Thursday, July 18, 2019 11:06 AM
To: Bertrand, Charlotte <Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov>
Cc: Han, Kaythi <Han.Kaythi@epa.gov>; Keller, Kaitlin <keller.kaitlin@epa.gov>
Subject: CHARLOTTE: For your review: responses to Audubon Mag - Sulfoxaflor

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Cheers, R.

Robert Daguillard
Communications Officer (Detail)
Office of Chemical Safety
and Pollution Prevention
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Washington, DC

+1 (202) 564-6618 (O)

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

From: Dinkins, Darlene
Sent: Thursday, July 18, 2019 10:52 AM
To: Daguillard, Robert <Daguillard.Robert@epa.gov>
Cc: Miller, Wynne <Miller.Wynne@epa.gov>; Keigwin, Richard <Keigwin.Richard@epa.gov>; Sisco, Debby <Sisco.Debby@epa.gov>; Messina, Edward <Messina.Edward@epa.gov>; Han, Kaythi <Han.Kaythi@epa.gov>; Dunton, Cheryl <Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov>; Siedschlag, Gregory <Siedschlag.Gregory@epa.gov>; Naimy, Nina <Naimy.Nina@epa.gov>; Borges-Silva, Quentin <Borges-Silva.Quentin@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: For your review: responses to Audubon Mag - Sulfoxaflor

Hi Robert,

Please see below OPP's response to the Audubon Magazine inquiry on sulfoxaflor. OGC reviewed and contributed to these responses. Thanks.

From: Siedschlag, Gregory
Sent: Thursday, July 18, 2019 9:49 AM
To: Dinkins, Darlene <Dinkins.Darlene@epa.gov>
Cc: Borges-Silva, Quentin <Borges-Silva.Quentin@epa.gov>; Naimy, Nina <Naimy.Nina@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: For your review: responses to Audubon Mag - Sulfoxaflor
Importance: High

Hi Darlene,

Here is our response to this inquiry. Meredith Laws (RD), Ryan Mroz (EFED), and Erin Koch (OGC) reviewed and contributed to these responses. The stated deadline is tomorrow, but the reporter asked if we could get something to them this morning.

AUDUBON MAGAZINE
ANDY MCGLASHEN
DDL FRIDAY, JULY 19

1) As EPA's press release notes, "In 2016, following a 2015 decision of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals vacating the registration of sulfoxaflor citing inadequate data on the effects on bees." How is EPA now able to register sulfoxaflor without conflicting with that court ruling? Does this mean new data have become available showing sulfoxaflor is safe for bees?

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

2) If so, are those studies or data public? Where can they be found?

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

3) How does EPA square this registration of sulfoxaflor with the agency's assessment that the insecticide is "very highly toxic" to bees?

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

4) It's my understanding that EPA is reevaluating neonicotinoids and has halted any new approvals until those reviews are complete, but that those reviews are ongoing and the agency has not yet issued any related restrictions of those pesticides. Is that correct?

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Greg Siedschlag
Chief, Communication Services Branch
Office of Pesticide Programs
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Phone: (703) 603-9044
Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)
https://www.epa.gov/pesticides

From: Daguillard, Robert
Sent: Wednesday, July 17, 2019 11:09 AM
To: OPP FEAD CSB <OPP_FEAD_CSB@epa.gov>; Dinkins, Darlene <Dinkins.Darlene@epa.gov>; Sisco, Debby <Sisco.Debby@epa.gov>
Cc: Han, Kaythi <Han.Kaythi@epa.gov>
Subject: OPP CSB: Audubon Mag - Sulfoxaflor

AUDUBON MAGAZINE
ANDY MCGLASHEN
DDL FRIDAY, JULY 19

Team, as discussed. Thanks in advance,

Cheers, R.

Robert Daguillard
Communications Officer (Detail)
Office of Chemical Safety
and Pollution Prevention
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Washington, DC
+1 (202) 564-6618 (O)
Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

++

Greetings,

I'm working on a story for *Audubon* magazine about EPA's registration last week of sulfoxaflor, and hoped you could provide answers to a few questions. If it's at all possible to provide input by Thursday morning, that would be a big help.

My questions are below.

Thank you very much for your help!
-Andy

-As EPA's press release notes, "In 2016, following a 2015 decision of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals vacating the registration of sulfoxaflor citing inadequate data on the effects on bees." How is EPA now able to register sulfoxaflor without conflicting with that court ruling? Does this mean new data have become available showing sulfoxaflor is safe for bees?

-If so, are those studies or data public? Where can they be found?

-How does EPA square this registration of sulfoxaflor with the agency's assessment that the insecticide is "very highly toxic" to bees?

-It's my understanding that EPA is reevaluating neonicotinoids and has halted any new approvals until those reviews are complete, but that those reviews are ongoing and the agency has not yet issued any related restrictions of those pesticides. Is that correct?

—

Andy McGlashen
Associate Editor, Conservation Policy
517.420.1908
Pronouns: he, him, his

National Audubon Society
225 Varick Street, 7th Floor
New York, NY 10014
www.audubon.org