Message

From: Shamet, Stefania [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=808832539C3647E98422C0948B471350-SSHAMET]
Sent: 7/17/2019 8:07:23 PM
To: Voigt, Gregory [Voigt.Gregory@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Anacostia Trash TMDL Development
Attachments: Letter_to_DCWater_TrashTMDL_2019-07_17 (002)sds.docx

Ex. 5 AC, AWP, DP

From: Voigt, Gregory
Sent: Wednesday, July 17, 2019 3:58 PM
To: Shamet, Stefania <Shamet.Stefania@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: Anacostia Trash TMDL Development

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Gregory Voigt
USEPA, Region III
1650 Arch Street, Mail Code 3WD42
Philadelphia, PA 19103
215-814-5737

From: Greg Busch -MDE- <gregory.busch@maryland.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, July 17, 2019 3:07 PM
To: Voigt, Gregory <Voigt.Gregory@epa.gov>
Cc: Adair, Jillian <adair.jillian@epa.gov>; Anna Kasko -MDE- <anna.kasko@maryland.gov>; Melissa Chatham -MDE- <melissa.chatham@maryland.gov>; Dinorah Dalmasy -MDE- <dinorah.dalmasy@maryland.gov>
Subject: Re: Anacostia Trash TMDL Development

Greg- We have a few concerns about the letter.

First, the letter doesn't fully describe EPA's role in the TMDL development process. Second, we think the commitment to host a meeting this fall might set a challenging timeline. Could a commitment to a stakeholder meeting be given without a specific time frame? Third, instead of saying "planned approach," it might be more appropriate to call it a "proposed approach." You use this language later in the letter, and it recognizes that we're presenting a proposal in order to get stakeholder feedback. Last, instead of saying that "EPA will make every effort to be sure that," would it be more accurate to say, "An invitation to participate in the stakeholder meeting will be sent," or something along those lines?

Given that you are trying to send this out tomorrow, these are our comments based on a quick review.

Gregory C. Busch, P.E.
Deputy Program Manager, Integrated Water Planning

Maryland Department of the Environment
1800 Washington Blvd, Baltimore, MD 21230
Office: 410-537-3901

Mobile: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

On Wed, Jul 17, 2019 at 12:28 PM Voigt, Gregory <Voigt.Gregory@epa.gov> wrote:

Ed, Greg,

As discussed, attached is the letter that we received from DC Water back in March, plus our draft response. We'd like to get this out the door by COB tomorrow, if possible. Let me know if you guys have any comments. Thanks.

-Greg

Gregory Voigt

USEPA, Region III

1650 Arch Street, Mail Code 3WD42

Philadelphia, PA 19103

215-814-5737

-----Original Appointment-----
From: Adair, Jillian
Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2019 5:39 PM
To: Adair, Jillian; Anna Kasko -MDE-; Dunne, Ed (DOEE; jeffrey.seltzer@dc.gov; Price-Fay, Michelle; Champion, Jonathan (DOEE); Matthew Standeven -MDE-; Robinson, Matthew (DOEE; Onyullo, George (DOEE; Greg Busch; Melissa Chatham; Peck, Michelle; Voigt, Gregory
Subject: Anacostia Trash TMDL Development
When: Wednesday, July 17, 2019 11:00 AM-12:00 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada).
Where: Ex. 6 conf line

Agenda

- Share EPA's 6/28/2019 status report to the Courts (attached)
- Public Solicitation Document (attached)
    - Has MDE/DOEE reviewed?
- Discuss TMDL Endpoint Detail Table (attached)
    - Strategy
    - Implementation
    - Monitoring
    - Compliance Determination

I've also attached the meeting notes from our last meeting in case we needed a refresher.

Click here to complete a three question customer experience survey.