Message

From: Bridget Walsh [denverbridget@gmail.com]
Sent: 12/13/2018 12:06:07 AM
To: Wharton, Steve [Wharton.Steve@epa.gov]; Chergo, Jennifer [Chergo.Jennifer@epa.gov]; Aviles, Jesse [Aviles.Jesse@epa.gov]; CAG Administrator [vbi170cag@gmail.com]; Kevin Beaty [kbeaty@denverite.com]; Bruce Finley [bfinley@denverpost.com]; Auditor [auditor@denvergov.org]; Penfield W. Tate Iii [Penfield.Tate@kutakrock.com]; Aaron Goldhamer [aarongoldhamer@gmail.com]; Lisa Calderon [lisacalderon41@gmail.com]; Candi CdeBaca [candicdebaca@gmail.com]; Drew Dutcher [drewdutcherdirect@gmail.com]; Burton, Lloyd [Lloyd.Burton@ucdenver.edu]; OrtegaAtLarge@Denvergov.org [OrtegaAtLarge@denvergov.org]; Robin Kniech [kniechatlarge@denvergov.org]; Brooks, Albus - City Council District 8 [Albus.Brooks@denvergov.org]; Herndon, Christopher J. - City Council District 11 [christopher.herndon@denvergov.org]; Kashmann, Paul J. - City Council [paul.kashmann@denvergov.org]; New, Wayne C. - City Council [Wayne.New@denvergov.org]; Josh Schlossberg [josh.schlossberg@gmail.com]; Christine OConnor [mitz_4@mac.com]; Joan Seeman [joanseem@msn.com]; Ross, Andrew - DEH CE2294 Project Manager II [Andrew.Ross@denvergov.org]; Brad Evans [bradk@humanpoweredta.com]; Jamie Giellis [jamie@jamiefordenver.com]; Marcus Giavanni [mangiavanni@gmail.com]; Fonda Apostolopoulos - CDPHE [fonda.apostolopoulos@state.co.us]
Subject: PLENTY OF ADVERSE COMMENTS WILL BE COMING ON DELETION OF OU1

You are aware of the enormous controversy about the deletion of OU1 from the EPA superfund National Priorities List and the considerable questions about the health and safety risks that may still exist as a result of a remediation that may have been based on a faulty theory of the origins of the pollution. EPA and Denver seem to be rushing work in the Site to accommodate concerns other than the health and safety of residents.

A premature deletion could affect the health and safety of people who live in the 4.5 square mile Superfund Site.

I would hope that EPA Region 8 would not try to do a one step deletion and at the very least utilize the two step deletion referenced below where adverse comments are anticipated. . . The community deserves to be heard. If the health and safety of Denver residents was a first priority the deletion would be with drawn by EPA.

The EPA VB/I70 Community Advisory Group (CAG) has been ignored, mistreated, kept in the dark, deprived and maligned by EPA, CDPHE and Denver. The questions and concerns of our highly qualified and experienced technical advisors have been entirely disregarded by EPA staff, Denver and CDPHE, most likely to the

serious disadvantage of the people who live and die in the Environmental Justice site close to the site and to people who live in the region. The statutorily mandated CAG Resolutions to advise EPA about work in OU1 have been routinely ignored by EPA Region 8

Please let me know what type of deletion the EPA is aiming for on OU1.As usual, I ask that you withdraw the deletion application.

REPORT - CLOSE OUT PROCEDURES FOR NATIONAL PRIORITIES LIST SITES - OSWER DIRECTIVE 9320.2-22

5.4.4 Direct Final Rule making Process

The direct final rule making process, also called the direct deletion process, is appropriate for sites where deletion is expected to be non-controversial, and EPA does not anticipate adverse comments during the comment period. If adverse comments are anticipated, it is recommended that the two-step final rule making process (Section 5.3.3) be utilized to ensure ample opportunity to address any adverse comments that are received.

--
Warmest regards,
Bridget Walsh
CAG Member