Message

From: Chergo, Jennifer [Chergo.Jennifer@epa.gov]
Sent: 8/19/2019 4:51:00 PM
To: Aviles, Jesse [Aviles.Jesse@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: August 20th CAG Meeting

Actually, I do have some suggested edits. Take 'em or leave 'em.

-jc

From: Chergo, Jennifer
Sent: Monday, August 19, 2019 10:43 AM
To: Aviles, Jesse <Aviles.Jesse@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: August 20th CAG Meeting

Hi Jesse. This looks very good to me.
Thank you,
-jc

From: Aviles, Jesse
Sent: Monday, August 19, 2019 8:30 AM
To: Chergo, Jennifer <Chergo.Jennifer@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: August 20th CAG Meeting

Draft response

Hello Kim:

I will not assist to tomorrow's meeting. I will be out of Denver the rest of the week, and Jennifer will be out of the state working at another site this week. I participate on the meetings based on site work. Current work at the site is the scope for the remedial investigation. When I have the final draft, I will share it with the CAG. I said so at the last quarterly meeting. Speaking of, I know that Jennifer is planning on contacting you soon to coordinate with you and the CAG regarding our next Quarterly stakeholder/CAG meeting on September 17.

Regarding your example, you are incorrect. I shared the draft version of the operations and maintenance plan. In September 2018, the CAG provided comments to it.

I will be back in Denver next week. What time of the day will you like to meet? Will you like to meet at my office or someplace else? Who you think should participate in the meeting?

Jesse

From: CAG Administrator <vbi170cag@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 18, 2019 19:09
To: Chergo, Jennifer <Chergo.Jennifer@epa.gov>; Aviles, Jesse <Aviles.Jesse@epa.gov>
Subject: August 20th CAG Meeting

Jesse, Jennifer,

I appreciate you letting me know of your plans regarding the August 20th CAG meeting.

Being that we moved our meetings to the 3rd Tuesday of each month to accommodate your schedule Jesse, it is disappointing that we've not seen you at a recent meeting.

EPA desired for this CAG to focus its work on the OU2 land mass within the VB/I-70 Superfund Site. In Q2 of this year, our group turned its attention back to OU2. We've requested information and to participate in the work that is being done to re-evaluate the site and eventually make decisions about the remedy. We've been completely cut out from that process. For example, late last year, you, Jesse, released the final O&M for GLO without any opportunity for the CAG to participate in conversations and potentially influence the final O&M instructions for the site.

Our group is active and growing and we would like to participate in the Superfund process as per EPA CAG guidelines. I'd like to speak with you about how we go about working together as a team rather than working in isolation. What is a good time for us to connect on this matter?

Regards,

Kim