Message

From: LEE, LILY [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=D6085A744F9347E6836C54C0E85B97B2-LLEE06]
Sent: 6/18/2015 4:21:55 PM
To: Reyes, Deldi [Reyes.Deldi@epa.gov]
Subject: FW: 5/12 - NBC 11 news - Regulators Question Hunters Point Radiation Testing
Attachments: Q&A's Hunters Point Scan Speed Radiation 5-13-2015.docx

Lily Lee
Cleanup Project Manager
Superfund Division
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9
75 Hawthorne St. (SFD-8-3)
San Francisco, CA 94105
Tel: 415-947-4187, Fax: 415-947-3518
www.epa.gov/region9/superfund

From: LEE, LILY
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2015 10:29 AM
To: Chesnutt, John
Subject: 5/12 - NBC 11 news - Regulators Question Hunters Point Radiation Testing

John,

Thanks for doing this for Angeles & Enrique last wk. Great summary. I assume that they would have forwarded to Jared if necessary. Since he specifically asked me in the Jan briefing to send him relevant news articles, I just wanted to double check if we needed to do anything else. I have attached the more specific Q&A's for this article to this version of the email. I also corrected my mistake in the subject line to NBC instead of ABC.

- Lily

Lily Lee
Cleanup Project Manager
Superfund Division
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9
75 Hawthorne St. (SFD-8-3)
San Francisco, CA 94105
Tel: 415-947-4187, Fax: 415-947-3518
www.epa.gov/region9/superfund

From: Chesnutt, John
Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2015 11:24 AM
To: Herrera, Angeles; Manzanilla, Enrique
Cc: LEE, LILY; Harris-Bishop, Rusty
Subject: FW: Last NIght's ABC 11 news - Regulators Question Hunters Point Radiation Testing

Angeles and Enrique, NBC Investigates aired a story last night on radiation issues at Hunters Pt. A link to the 6 minute segment appears below. EPA was not referenced in the story. We've been in communication with NBC a bit before this. The main issue they raise is CDPHs refusal to grant a free release for 22 buildings which the Navy's contractor

scanned too quickly. Lily, Rob Terry, and I have attended meetings with Navy and CDPH management over this issue. While the Navy admits the scan speed was a bit too fast, the Navy doesn't believe there are any false negatives. Lily had proposed a streamlined way to verify some results, but CDPH wants the Navy to rescan all the buildings. To do so would take over a year and millions of dollars. The Navy and CDPH have agreed on an approach to redoing the first few buildings. Senior CDPH (Steve Woods) and Navy (Lawrence Lansdale) personnel toured the site yesterday to observe the rescans. If the Navy's results are consistent with prior surveys, they'll push to streamline the remaining process. CDPH may push for full redo regardless. We're carefully evaluating the situation and have been providing input to all parties. It should also be noted that all these buildings will eventually be torn down before redevelopment anyway. The Navy probably now wishes they have just torn them down and disposed as waste, rather than having done (and potentially redo) all the testing. But they still plan on leaving deconstruction (and the cost of) to the developers.

We're in close coordination with Rusty and Suzanne, who is also with the Administrator in case issues come up. We have also developed a list of Qs and As (attached) that could be used for this, and which was also just reworked for a similar inquiry from the Chronicle this week.

Let me know if you have any questions,

John

From: LEE, LILY
Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2015 10:14 AM
To: Skadowski, Suzanne; Harris-Bishop, Rusty; Chesnutt, John; Lane, Jackie
Subject: FW: Last NIght's ABC 11 news - Regulators Question Hunters Point Radiation Testing

See article -NBC bay area news story last night. Attached as reminder are earlier Q&A's . I'll look at this particular story to pull out the most relevant ones into one place for convenience & maybe add some Q&A's as needed.

http://www.nbcbayarea.com/investigations/

I cut & pasted part of the material below:

Regulators Question Hunters Point Radiation Testing

State health regulators delay the development of 22 Hunters Point buildings because they can't be certain that the contractor performed radiation tests properly

By **Vicky Nguyen, Liz Wagner** and **Felipe Escamilla**

State health regulators are questioning the radiation testing of huge areas of a former naval shipyard in the south eastern part of San Francisco, the NBC Bay Area Investigative Unit has learned.

In an unprecedented move last fall, the California Department of Public Health suspended unrestricted release recommendations for nearly two dozen buildings on the Hunters Point Naval Shipyard. The buildings are currently on government-owned land that will be eventually transferred to private ownership after radiation cleanup. The recommendations act as the state's approvals that any radiation which may have existed on a site has been cleaned up, doesn't pose a health threat and can be turned over for redevelopment.

- Former Contractors Claim Hunters Point Cleanup is Botched

The 800-acre shipyard and federal Superfund sitevwsoalphabetic/Hunters+Point+Naval+Shipyard?OpenDocument once housed the Navy's Nuclear Radiological Defense Lab (NRDL), where ships exposed to atomic weapons tests were decontaminated and radiation experiments were performed on animals. For the past decade the shipyard has undergone a massive cleanup. It will eventually make way for one of the largest new developments in the Bay Area, complete with shops, parks and thousands of housing units.

The Navy contracted Tetra Tech to test for the presence of radiation—and get rid of it. The company's work has cost taxpayers hundreds of millions of dollars. But last year's move by state regulators calls into question whether the company's work can be trusted.

The health department originally granted the unrestricted release of 22 buildings on four large parcels of the shipyard beginning in 2009. The buildings are spread across parcels B, C, E and G. According to Navy documents some of the buildings in question were once part of the NRDL and contained elevated levels of radium and cesium—radioactive elements that have been linked to cancer if people are exposed to them in high levels over time.

Last summer, the Navy discovered Tetra Tech did not perform radiation tests correctly. According to internal state emails obtained through a public records request, regulators said the final radiological "scans were collected too fast." The tests were supposed to confirm that any radiological contamination once present on a site no longer exists.

"This is the final proof in the process," said Tracy Ikenberry, a health physicist with three decades of radiological remediation experience.

His firm, Dade Moeller, located in southern Washington, has performed radiation scans and cleanup work at contaminated sites across the country. He said a building or piece of land cannot be released for redevelopment if final radiological scans are performed too quickly.

"It gets more and more difficult the faster a scan is made to detect any radioactivity that may be left behind," Ikenberry said.

NBC Bay Area first revealed concerns about Tetra Tech last May, when two former employees spoke out alleging they were fired after raising safety concerns. They filed a lawsuit against the company in 2012. The case was recently settled, according to a California Superior Court document filed earlier this month.

Susan Andrews, a radiation safety worker, claimed Tetra Tech decreased the sensitivity of the monitors used to detect radiation. She said the company allowed truckloads of potentially contaminated dirt to be hauled away from Hunters Point and through the city of San Francisco without being properly contained.

"I was worried about the wrong being done to the city of San Francisco," Andrews said in an interview last May.