Appointment

From: Davis, Kable [Davis.Kable@epa.gov]
Sent: 1/31/2019 8:49:01 PM
To: Keigwin, Richard [Keigwin.Richard@epa.gov]; Goodis, Michael [Goodis.Michael@epa.gov]; Rosenblatt, Daniel [Rosenblatt.Dan@epa.gov]; Kenny, Daniel [Kenny.Dan@epa.gov]; Maignan, Tawanda [Maignan.Tawanda@epa.gov]; Perlis, Robert [Perlis.Robert@epa.gov]
CC: Garrison, Scott [Garrison.Scott@epa.gov]; Goerke, Ariadne [Goerke.Ariadne@epa.gov]; Knorr, Michele [knorr.michele@epa.gov]; Messina, Edward [Messina.Edward@epa.gov]

Subject: Pre-meeting Conference Concerning our Call with Texas Regarding Dicamba SLNs
Attachments: RE: Dicamba 24c's for Texas .... Any decision yet ?; FW: DIcamba - Texas 24(c)
Location: DCRoomPYS7621B/Potomac-Yard-One

Start: 2/1/2019 6:00:00 PM
End: 2/1/2019 6:30:00 PM
Show Time As: Tentative

This is a pre-meeting for our call with Texas next week (2/5/19) concerning proposed dicamba SLNs.

Conference Number: 202-991-0477
Conference ID: 1030007

1/31/19; Update
I just got off the phone with Texas and they confirmed the following.

They were originally proposing the following changes.
- Increase in application window from 60 days to 90 days after planting
- Allow applications to be made any time of day as long as there are no temperature inversions at the field level
- Increase number of applications per growing season from 2 to 4

They want to propose the following during Tuesday's call.
- Texas wants to increase the application window from 60 days to 90 days after planting. They indicated that 60 days is inadequate for them due to the long growing season, physiology of cotton and Texas weather patterns (long period of drought). They also said that they could live with 75 days if 90 is too long, however they prefer 90.
- They also want to allow applications to be made any time of day as long as there are no temperature inversions at the field level.
- They will not propose increasing the number of applications from 2 to 4.

Texas also confirmed that applications will be made by certified applicators only. Finally, I reminded them that they will need to demonstrate that the product is still protective with the proposed changes. They said they understood and are working on that now and will have information for us during next week's call.