Message

From: Garbarini, Doug [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=37249265586C49F88A0E5D7D191D9306-GARBARINI, DOUG]
Sent: 2/4/2016 7:44:53 PM
To: Stan Carey [scarey@massapequawater.com]
Subject: RE: Meeting Yesterday

Great. Thanks

I will send an invite out later for a call from 3:00 to 4:30 on Tuesday.

From: Stan Carey [mailto:scarey@massapequawater.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 04, 2016 2:37 PM
To: Garbarini, Doug <Garbarini.Doug@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Meeting Yesterday

Tuesday afternoon is fine for both of us.

Stan Carey, Superintendent
Massapequa Water District

From: Garbarini, Doug [mailto:Garbarini.Doug@epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 04, 2016 2:35 PM
To: Stan Carey
Subject: RE: Meeting Yesterday

Stan

Lora just got back to me saying Navy is available Tuesday afternoon. Your email from yesterday morning said you were available Monday or Tuesday afternoon and today you indicated that you and Patrick were available on Monday.

Would I be correct in assuming that Patrick is not available on Tuesday aft?

If he is available, I'll schedule the call for Tuesday aft. If he is not I will ask Lora to see if they can't be available Monday.

From: Stan Carey [mailto:scarey@massapequawater.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 04, 2016 2:22 PM
To: Garbarini, Doug <Garbarini.Doug@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Meeting Yesterday

Thank you for the invite. I have a call with Patrick scheduled for tomorrow and will see if he is up for it. For now I would confirm the call and then I will let you know tomorrow. FYI- Patrick is in Pittsburgh Pa and I need to be concerned with paying his travel expenses.

Stan Carey, Superintendent
Massapequa Water District

From: Garbarini, Doug [mailto:Garbarini.Doug@epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 04, 2016 2:16 PM
To: Stan Carey
Cc: Patrick McLoughlin
Subject: RE: Meeting Yesterday

Hi Stan

I spoke with Bob this morning and he explained the same. I thought that it would be good to at least have this first call with NG and Navy since this was what we had discussed at the meeting.

NG has gotten back to me with availability for Monday/Tuesday aft; I am still waiting to hear back from Lora. I told them that I wanted to send an invite out today.

If you and Patrick wanted to come in to the City for the discussion, Jon Gabry could join us here. If you think a conference call will suffice we'll just plan on doing that, but Jon and I wanted to make the offer of a face to face with you and Pat.

If I don't hear from Lora in the next hour or so I'll follow up with her. In any case, I expect to get the invite out today.

Doug

From: Stan Carey [mailto:scarey@massapequawater.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 04, 2016 2:10 PM
To: Garbarini, Doug <Garbarini.Doug@epa.gov>
Cc: Patrick McLoughlin <Patrick.McLoughlin@pacelabs.com>
Subject: RE: Meeting Yesterday

Doug,
I spoke to Bob yesterday and he assured me he would not allow Navy or Grumman to stall the process. Patrick and I are available Monday for a conference call. Just provide the call in info. Thank you.

Stan Carey, Superintendent
Massapequa Water District

From: Garbarini, Doug [mailto:Garbarini.Doug@epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, February 03, 2016 4:22 PM
To: Stan Carey
Cc: Schick, Robert (DEC); Harrington, Jim (DEC); Rich Humann; Patrick McLoughlin; Aaron.Peacock@pacelabs.com
Subject: Re: Meeting Yesterday

Hi Stan

Let me know what comes out of your discussion with Bob.

Before getting your message I had asked Jon Gabry to provide me with dates when he would be available for a call.

We could do a call Monday anytime or Tuesday afternoon. Jon also offered to come in to NYC those times if anyone wanted to be in the same room. We could probably also find time for a call next Thursday the 11th if Monday/Tuesday don't work.

Thanks

Doug

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 3, 2016, at 10:01 AM, Stan Carey <scarey@massapequawater.com> wrote:

> Doug,
> Thank you for organizing the meeting yesterday. We greatly appreciate EPA's participation and your extra efforts.
>
> I do want to clarify what Jim Harrington stated yesterday with regard to CSIA testing for 1,4 dioxane. I believe the statement he made " it can't be used" is incorrect. I reviewed the response from Pace and it does not state that. It states that a portion of the testing method can't be used for 1,4D but it goes on to say 1,4D rarely degrades and this is often sufficient for forensic purposes when over 10 ppb. I had summarized by saying it becomes more challenging when concentrations are lower than 10ppb. So Jim was completely off base with his statements. These are the type of statements that continue to really frustrate the water districts. Why the hell would he misspeak in front of the PRP's and add more questions to the task at hand?
>
> MWD would like to offer a different approach to collecting the CSIA samples. We don't see the need for any PRP involvement. The Executive order (and I can confirm this with the Governor if needed) was that the NYSDEC was to gain access and split samples with MWD. By including the PRP's it greatly complicates matters and will create delays. Hear is MWD's suggestion to move forward:
>
> Have the EPA CSIA expert confer with the MWD expert (Pace) and agree on sampling SOP's and establish an agreed protocol. The DEC can be included in this process so all are in agreement. MWD will submit a sampling plan including locations which the DEC can approve. When the DEC, MWD, and EPA experts

are in agreement we can move forward with collecting the samples. If the PRP's don't like what is being done they can challenge it later. If the PRP's want to do their own CSIA sampling or do additional wells, they can do it on their own. They could have done this over the years but never choose to. We simply will not allow the PRP's to dictate strategy or create obstacles any longer. They have had their fair chance to clean up this plume for decades.

I will be reaching out to Bob Schick later today to discuss this. For now can you please forward the contact info for the EPA CSIA expert? I will have him connect with the folks at Pace. Thank you.

Stan Carey, Superintendent
Massapequa Water District
<image002.jpg>

No virus found in this message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 2015.0.6176 / Virus Database: 4522/11530 - Release Date: 02/01/16

No virus found in this message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 2015.0.6176 / Virus Database: 4522/11547 - Release Date: 02/03/16

No virus found in this message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 2015.0.6176 / Virus Database: 4522/11555 - Release Date: 02/04/16

No virus found in this message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 2015.0.6176 / Virus Database: 4522/11555 - Release Date: 02/04/16

No virus found in this message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 2015.0.6176 / Virus Database: 4522/11555 - Release Date: 02/04/16

No virus found in this message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 2015.0.6176 / Virus Database: 4522/11555 - Release Date: 02/04/16