Message

From: Stan Carey [scarey@massapequawater.com]
Sent: 2/3/2016 10:56:56 PM
To: Garbarini, Doug [Garbarini.Doug@epa.gov]
CC: 'Schick, Robert (DEC)' [robert.schick@dec.ny.gov]; 'Harrington, Jim (DEC)' [jim.harrington@dec.ny.gov]; 'Rich Humann' [rhumann@h2m.com]; 'Patrick McLoughlin' [Patrick.McLoughlin@pacelabs.com]; Aaron.Peacock@pacelabs.com
Subject: RE: Meeting Yesterday
Attachments: removed.txt

I am available Monday anytime or Tuesday afternoon to participate in a conf call. Thank you.

Stan Carey, Superintendent
Massapequa Water District

From: Garbarini, Doug [mailto:Garbarini.Doug@epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, February 03, 2016 4:22 PM
To: Stan Carey
Cc: Schick, Robert (DEC); Harrington, Jim (DEC); Rich Humann; Patrick McLoughlin; Aaron.Peacock@pacelabs.com
Subject: Re: Meeting Yesterday

Hi Stan

Let me know what comes out of your discussion with Bob.

Before getting your message I had asked Jon Gabry to provide me with dates when he would be available for a call.

We could do a call Monday anytime or Tuesday afternoon. Jon also offered to come in to NYC those times if anyone wanted to be in the same room. We could probably also find time for a call next Thursday the 11th if Monday/Tuesday don't work.

Thanks

Doug

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 3, 2016, at 10:01 AM, Stan Carey <scarey@massapequawater.com> wrote:

> Doug,
> Thank you for organizing the meeting yesterday. We greatly appreciate EPA's participation and your extra efforts.
>
> I do want to clarify what Jim Harrington stated yesterday with regard to CSIA testing for 1,4 dioxane. I believe the statement he made " it can't be used" is incorrect. I reviewed the response from Pace and it

does not state that. It states that a portion of the testing method can't be used for 1,4D but it goes on to say 1,4D rarely degrades and this is often sufficient for forensic purposes when over 10 ppb. I had summarized by saying it becomes more challenging when concentrations are lower than 10ppb. So Jim was completely off base with his statements. These are the type of statements that continue to really frustrate the water districts. Why the hell would he misspeak in front of the PRP's and add more questions to the task at hand?

MWD would like to offer a different approach to collecting the CSIA samples. We don't see the need for any PRP involvement. The Executive order (and I can confirm this with the Governor if needed) was that the NYSDEC was to gain access and split samples with MWD. By including the PRP's it greatly complicates matters and will create delays. Hear is MWD's suggestion to move forward:

Have the EPA CSIA expert confer with the MWD expert (Pace) and agree on sampling SOP's and establish an agreed protocol. The DEC can be included in this process so all are in agreement. MWD will submit a sampling plan including locations which the DEC can approve. When the DEC, MWD, and EPA experts are in agreement we can move forward with collecting the samples. If the PRP's don't like what is being done they can challenge it later. If the PRP's want to do their own CSIA sampling or do additional wells, they can do it on their own. They could have done this over the years but never choose to. We simply will not allow the PRP's to dictate strategy or create obstacles any longer. They have had their fair chance to clean up this plume for decades.

I will be reaching out to Bob Schick later today to discuss this. For now can you please forward the contact info for the EPA CSIA expert? I will have him connect with the folks at Pace. Thank you.

Stan Carey, Superintendent
Massapequa Water District
<image002.jpg>

No virus found in this message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 2015.0.6176 / Virus Database: 4522/11530 - Release Date: 02/01/16

No virus found in this message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 2015.0.6176 / Virus Database: 4522/11547 - Release Date: 02/03/16