Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP)

2019 Program Evaluation

Review Period: July 1, 2013 – June 30, 2018

Governing Board Meeting
June 20, 2019

Thanks!

- Tom, NEP staff, partners, and Management Conference (MC) members for a wonderful visit

- PE Review Team
  - Erica Yelensky, SMBNEP Coordinator, EPA-R9
  - Vince Bacalan, SMBNEP Coordinator, EPA-HQ
  - Jennifer Hecker, Executive Director
    Coastal and Heartland National Estuary Partnership

- Visiting
  - David Smith, Assistant Director, Water Division, EPA-R9

National Estuary Program (NEP)

- Created as an amendment to CWA (Section 320)
- Designated 28 estuaries of national significance
  - Santa Monica Bay became NEP in 1988

- Cornerstones of NEPs
  - Watershed-based approach
  - Science-based decision making
  - Collaborative problem solving
    - Consensus-driven
  - Public participation

- Success is shared at the local and national level

Purpose of NEP Program Evaluation (PE)

- Ensure adequate progress is being made in CCMP implementation and that continued EPA support is warranted.
- Highlight each Program's unique environmental results, strengths and challenges.
- Identify areas where EPA can help provide resources to meet needs or enhance performance.
- Confirm EPA's continued support for the NEP and to seek stakeholders' commitment to the Program.

How EPA Uses Program Evaluations

- Success stories and achievements highlighted on EPA NEP website, used for Congressional briefings, meetings with partners, etc.
- Identified challenges help inform decisions for funding support.
  - Ocean acidification sensor
  - Risk-based climate change vulnerability assessment
  - Organizational assessment
- Makes connections to other EPA offices and programs.
- Share products/approaches/lessons learned across EPA and the NEPs.





Addressing 2014 PE Letter Challenges

- ✓ Financial Management
  - Diversifying funding sources and partners
    - State Bond programs, fundraising, corporate/private donations, additional grants and funding mechanisms at the federal, state, and local levels
  - High leveraging capacity: range of 15:1 to 58:1 (average 29:1)

- ✓ Outreach and Public Involvement
  - MOU/MOA being updated as part of the CCMP revision process
  - Continued public engagement through WAC and public meetings
  - Communication through website, print/social media, meeting-related documents

Preliminary Findings: **Strengths**

- Competent, organized, skilled NEP staff to help implement CCMP action items
- Diverse representation of partnerships and entities that make up the SMBNEP study area
- Demonstrated leadership in topics that are based on sound science:
  - Informing policy/ordinance for trash reduction (use of plastic bags/straws)
  - Addressing stormwater/nutrient issues (rain gardens, bioretention ponds)
  - Mobilizing volunteer groups for restoration efforts (kelp forest, beach, dune)

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Consolidated what's presented to public
Clearly stating what is the NEP
NEP Entity- who makes it up? + governance structure it self
TBF- all of it's work is in support of CCMP=> "in the box"

Org chart: should explain what an NEP is, the role of the SMBNEP, and brief information on the partners of the Management Conference and its committees (basically content from first challenge)

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Consolidated what's presented to public
Clearly stating what is the NEP
NEP Entity- who makes it up? + governance structure it self
TBF- all of it's work is in support of CCMP=> "in the box"

Org chart: should explain what an NEP is, the role of the SMBNEP, and brief information on the partners of the Management Conference and its committees (basically content from first challenge)

Preliminary Findings: **Challenges**

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Accomplishments: both on website and workplan

Preliminary Findings: **Challenges**

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Accomplishments: both on website and workplan

Next Steps for PE Review Team

- Recommend a “pass” to SMBNEP for making significant progress on implementing the CCMP
  - Eligible for future CWA 320 funds as appropriated by Congress
- Draft PE findings letter
- Share with SMBNEP staff for comment
- Incorporate feedback and submit to EPA management for signature
- Send signed copy to NEP Director
- Next scheduled PE: 2024

Questions and Discussions