issues and recommended determination ("RD") on remedy, the public interest, and bonding in this investigation. The ID found a violation of Section 337 due to infringement of the '490 patent. ID at 197. The ID found no infringement and hence no violation of Section 337 with respect to the '558 patent or the '936 patent. *Id.* The ID found that Qualcomm satisfied the technical and economic prongs of the domestic industry requirement with respect to the '490 patent, but did not satisfy the technical prong with respect to the '558 patent or the '936 patent. *Id.* The ID also found that it was not shown by clear and convincing evidence that any asserted claim was invalid. *Id.* The ALJ further recommended that no limited exclusion order or cease-and-desist order be issued in this investigation due to their prospective effects on competitive conditions in the United States, national security, and other public interest concerns. RD at 199–200. The ALJ recommended that bond be set at zero-percent of entered value during the Presidential review period, if any. *Id.* at 201.

Apple and Qualcomm filed their respective petitions for review on October 15, 2018. The parties, including OUII, filed their respective responses to the petitions on October 23, 2018. The parties also filed their submissions on the public interest on October 31, 2018. Intel Corporation, an interested third party, submitted its comments on the public interest on November 8, 2018.

On December 18, 2018, the Commission determined to review the final ID in part with respect to certain findings regarding the '490 patent. 83 FR 64875 (Dec. 18, 2018). The Commission determined to review the ID's construction of the term "hold" and its findings on infringement and the technical prong of domestic industry to the extent they may be affected by that claim construction. *Id.* at 64876. The Commission further determined to review the ID's findings as to whether claim 31 of the '490 patent is invalid as obvious. *Id.* at 64876–77. The Commission determined not to review any of the ID's findings with respect to the '558 patent, the '936 patent, or the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement. *Id.* at 64876.

In the same notice, the Commission asked the parties to brief issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. *Id.* at 64877. The Commission also invited members of the public and interested government agencies to comment on the RD's findings on the public interest, remedy, and bonding. *Id.* The Commission received a number of public interest statements from third parties, including but not limited to Intel Corporation; ACT/The App Association; the American Antitrust Institute; the American Conservative Union; Americans for Limited Government; the Club for Growth; the Computer and Communications Industry Association; Conservatives for Property Rights; Frances Brevets; Frontiers of Freedom; Innovation Alliance; Inventors Digest; IP Europe; Public Knowledge and Open Markets (a joint submission); R Street Institute, the Electronic Frontier Foundation, Engine Advocacy, and Lincoln Network (a joint submission), *et al.;* RED Technologies; TiVo; certain members of the U.S. Senate and the U.S. House of Representatives; Hon. Paul Michel, former Chief Judge, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit; and various professors of law or economics.

On March 19, 2019, while Commission review was ongoing, the parties informed the Commission of a jury verdict in a parallel lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of California, *Qualcomm Inc.* v. *Apple Inc.,* Case No. 3:17–cv–01375 (S.D. Cal.). *See* Letter of D. Okun to D. Johanson, Chairman, U.S. International Trade Commission of March 19, 2019 ("Qualcomm Letter"); Respondent Apple Inc.'s Request for Leave to Submit a Supplemental Response to Question D of the Commission's Questions on the Public Interest ("Apple Request"). The jury found that the accused Apple iPhones infringe three Qualcomm patents. Qualcomm Letter at 1–2. Two of those three patents, the '490 and '936 patents, are also part of this investigation. *Id.* The jury was not asked to determine, nor did it determine, whether any claim of the '490, '936, or '949 patents is invalid as obvious. *Id.*

In view of the jury's verdict and damages award, Apple requested leave to supplement its response to the Commission's Question D on public interest, as set forth in the Commission's notice of partial review. *See* 83 FR at 64877. Qualcomm filed an opposition to Apple's request. The Commission has determined to grant Apple's request for the limited purpose of supplementing the record with respect to the jury's verdict. Neither Apple's nor Qualcomm's submissions affect the outcome of this investigation or any issue decided by the Commission.

On review of the submissions from the parties and the public, the prior art, the ID, and the evidence of record, the Commission has determined: (1) The term "hold" in claim 31 of the '490 patent means "to prevent data from traveling across the bus, or to store, buffer, or accumulate data"; and (2) Apple has shown by clear and convincing evidence that claim 31 of the '490 patent is invalid as obvious over U.S. Patent No. 9,329,671 (Heinrich) in combination with U.S. Patent No. 8,160,000 (Balasubramanian), which reflects knowledge in the art.

The Commission previously declined to review, and therefore adopted, the ID's finding that there is no infringement of either of the other two patents asserted in this investigation, the '558 patent or the '936 patent. 83 FR at 64876. Accordingly, the Commission has concluded that Complainant has not shown a violation of Section 337 and no remedial orders shall be issued, which renders moot any issues of remedy, the public interest, or bonding.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 26, 2019.

Katherine Hiner,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2019–06209 Filed 3–29–19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act

On March 25, 2019, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed consent decree with the United States District Court for the District of Maine, in the lawsuit entitled *United States* v. *Global Partners, LP, Global Companies LLC, and Chelsea Sandwich LLP,* Civil Action No. 19–cv–00122.

The United States filed this lawsuit under Section 113(a)(1) of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 7413(a)(1), and the Maine state implementation plan. The United States' complaint seeks civil penalties and injunctive relief arising from alleged excess emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOC) at the defendants' petroleum storage facility in South Portland, Maine.

The consent decree requires the defendants to pay a civil penalty of $40,000, plus interest accruing from the date of lodging to the payment date; to perform a supplemental environmental project involving the replacement of old wood stoves with cleaner units, with a minimum expenditure of $150,000; and to perform certain measures at the

facility to address past VOC emissions and to limit future VOC emissions.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States* v. *Global Partners LP, et al.,* D.J. Ref. No. 90–5–2–1–11428. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

To submit comments:	*Send them to:*
By email	*pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.*
By mail	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

During the public comment period, the consent decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department website: *https://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees.* We will provide a paper copy of the consent decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for $6.50 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

Robert Maher,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2019–06257 Filed 3–29–19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Assistant Secretary for Administration and Management; Agency Information Collection Activities; Extension Without Change; Comment Request; DOL Generic Solution for Solicitation for Funding Opportunity Announcement Responses

ACTION: Notice of availability; request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Department of Labor (DOL), as part of continuing Departmental efforts to reduce paperwork and respondent burden in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), is soliciting comments concerning a proposed extension of the authorization to conduct the DOL Generic Solution for Solicitation for Funding Opportunity Announcement Responses information collection.

DATES: Submit written comments on or before May 30, 2019.

ADDRESSES: Contact Michel Smyth by telephone at 202–693–4129 (this is not a toll-free number) or by email at *DOL_PRA_PUBLIC@dol.gov* to request additional information, including requesting a copy of this Information Collection Request (ICR).

Submit comments regarding this ICR, including suggestions for reducing the burden, by sending an email to *DOL_PRA_PUBLIC@dol.gov.* Comments may also be sent to Michel Smyth, Departmental Clearance Officer, U.S. Department of Labor, Office of the Chief Information Officer, 200 Constitution Avenue NW, Room N–1301, Washington, DC 20210.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The DOL, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a pre-clearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies an opportunity to comment on proposed, revised, and continuing information collections before submitting them to the OMB. This program helps to ensure requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements can be properly assessed.

Periodically the DOL solicits grant applications by issuing a Funding Opportunity Announcement. To ensure grants are awarded to the applicant(s) best suited to perform the functions of the grant, applicants are generally required to submit a two-part application. The first part of DOL grant applications consists of submitting Standard Form 424, Application for Federal Assistance. The second part of a grant application usually requires a technical proposal demonstrating the applicant's capabilities in accordance with a statement of work and/or selection criteria. This information collection is subject to the PRA.

A Federal agency generally cannot conduct or sponsor a collection of information, and the public is generally not required to respond to an information collection, unless it is approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the PRA and displays a currently valid OMB Control Number. In addition, notwithstanding any other provisions of law, no person shall generally be subject to penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if the collection of information does not display a valid Control Number. *See* 5 CFR 1320.5(a) and 1320.6. The DOL obtains OMB approval for this information collection under Control Number 1225–0086. The DOL intends to seek continued approval for this collection of information, without change, for an additional three years.

Interested parties are encouraged to provide comments to the individual listed in the **ADDRESSES** section above. Comments must be written to receive consideration, and they will be summarized and may be included in the request for OMB approval of the final ICR. The comments will also become a matter of public record. Comments responsive to this request will be made available on-line, without redaction, as part of the submission to OMB; therefore,

The DOL is particularly interested in comments that:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.,* permitting electronic submission of responses.

Agency: DOL-Office of the Assistant Secretary for Administration and Management.

Type of Review: Extension without change of a currently approved collection.

Title of Collection: DOL Generic Solution for Solicitation for Funding Opportunity Announcement Responses.

OMB Control Number: 1225–0086.

Affected Public: State, Local, and Tribal Governments; Private Sector—businesses or other for-profits and not for-profit institutions.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 5,500.

Frequency: On occasion.

Total Estimated Annual Responses: 6,000.