Message

From: Yamada, Richard (Yujiro) [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=4C34A1E0345E4D26B361B5031430639D-YAMADA, YUJ]
Sent: 6/8/2018 3:50:00 PM
To: Jackson, Ryan [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=38bc8e18791a47d88a279db2fec8bd60-Jackson, Ry]
CC: Wilcox, Jahan [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=88fd588e97d3405d869bcae98d391984-Wilcox, Jah]; Bolen, Brittany [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=31e872a691114372b5a6a88482a66e48-Bolen, Brit]; Block, Molly [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=60d0c681a16441a0b4fa16aa2dd4b9c5-Block, Moll]; Abboud, Michael [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=b6f5af791a1842f1adcc088cbf9ed3ce-Abboud, Mic]
Subject: Re: APPROVAL ...

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 8, 2018, at 11:42 AM, Jackson, Ryan <jackson.ryan@epa.gov> wrote:

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Ryan Jackson
Chief of Staff
U.S. EPA
Personal Matters / Ex. 6

On Jun 8, 2018, at 11:35 AM, Wilcox, Jahan <wilcox.jahan@epa.gov> wrote:

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

From: Coral Davenport [mailto:coral.davenport@nytimes.com]
Sent: Friday, June 8, 2018 8:56 AM
To: Wilcox, Jahan <wilcox.jahan@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: moment to chat?

Hey, there - can you send? Tks!

Coral Davenport
Energy and Environment Correspondent
The New York Times
Washington Bureau
1627 I St. NW, Suite 700
Washington, DC 20006
coral.davenport@nytimes.com
O 202-862-0359
C 703-618-0645
Twitter @CoralMDavenport

On Jun 7, 2018, at 7:34 PM, Wilcox, Jahan <wilcox.jahan@epa.gov> wrote:

> Just sent to our policy folks. Email again in the morning - deadlines don't matter to me as I will try to get you something as fast as I can (which hopefully will be before 10a).
>
> On Jun 7, 2018, at 5:19 PM, Coral Davenport <coral.davenport@nytimes.com> wrote:
>
> > Awesome, thank you. If someone cld get back to me tonight or tomoro by like 10 am that would be perfect. Story is currently slated to run over the weekend.
> >
> > Coral Davenport
> > Energy and Environment Correspondent
> > The New York Times
> > Washington Bureau
> > 1627 I St. NW, Suite 700
> > Washington, DC 20006
> > coral.davenport@nytimes.com
> > O 202-862-0359
> > C 703-618-0645
> > Twitter @CoralMDavenport
> >
> > On Jun 7, 2018, at 5:14 PM, Wilcox, Jahan <wilcox.jahan@epa.gov> wrote:

What is your deadline? I am going to flag this for our policy shop and circle back.

From: Davenport, Coral [mailto:coral.davenport@nytimes.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 7, 2018 3:53 PM
To: Wilcox, Jahan <wilcox.jahan@epa.gov>
Subject: moment to chat?

Hi, Jahan,

I'm working on a story looking into concerns by the scientific community that the Trump administration is marginalizing and dismissing science. The story looks at examples from across the federal government, but many of them are at the E.P.A.

What are the administrator's responses to the following questions on this? Looking for fresh reax or would welcome your pointing me to specific remarks he's made on these. Overall, interested in his remarks on the allegation that he has reduced or ignored the role of science at the agency.

- Scientists are concerned that Mr. Pruitt has made multiple public statements on climate change that are at odds with decades of research on climate change, including research by the E.P.A.'s own scientists. Mr. Pruitt has said that carbon dioxide is not a primary contributor to global warming, and that scientists don't know how much humans contribute to global warming -- both statements that have been contested by the scientific community. What's his response?

- Critics say the proposed new "secret science" rule would ultimately have the impact of reducing the amount of scientific evidence that is used to formulate

regulations that affect human health. Does this concern him?

- Can he speak to the criticism that in his efforts to change the Scientific Advisory Board, he has reduced the role of academic science and replaced it with industry-funded scientists? And that even with its new composition, the SAB has proposed re-examining the scientific basis for some of his proposed rule-makings?

- Critics say Pruitt's NAAQs memo to the CASAC, which would order the committee to take economic impacts of regulations into account, is both an effort to skirt provisions of the Clean Air Act which require the committee to focus on the public health impacts of regulations, and could lead to looser pollution rules that are not based in scientific evidence on the impact of certain pollutants on human health.

Can you send answers to these and give a call to chat about the story?
Best,
Coral

--
Coral Davenport
Energy and Environment
Correspondent
The New York Times
Washington Bureau
1627 I St. NW, Suite 700
Washington, DC 20006
coral.davenport@nytimes.com
O 202-862-0359
C 703-618-0645
Twitter @CoralMDavenport