Message

From: Szaro, Deb [Szaro.Deb@epa.gov]
Sent: 6/13/2018 7:33:36 PM
To: Cassidy, Meghan [Cassidy.Meghan@epa.gov]; Dunn, Alexandra [dunn.alexandra@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: PFAS Regional Event - Communicating Around PFAS Panel- Lessons Learned

Oops, now I see. Communicating around PFAS. Both sound good and need VT. I think it makes sense to just have states on these panels?

Alex, what do you think?

From: Cassidy, Meghan
Sent: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 3:29 PM
To: Szaro, Deb <Szaro.Deb@epa.gov>; Dunn, Alexandra <dunn.alexandra@epa.gov>
Subject: PFAS Regional Event - Communicating Around PFAS Panel- Lessons Learned

There are three good choices for state panel members.

Request participation on call this afternoon
VT – overview of experience in Bennington and beyond. (We have also already had contact with VT about possible participation).
MA – Mark Smith, Office of Research & Standards, gave a good presentation on a NEWMOA call last week explaining how each state/EPA has come up with their numbers. Mark is on vacation until Monday, but I left him a VM asking if he would be interested.

RI – Amy Parmenter from RI DOH is one of the state's primary PFAS contacts. I've seen her give a good overview of the state's response with the community in Burriville. Amy recently attended EPA's ROCS-Net conference as the Region 1 state partner (topic was PFAS). Do you want me to reach out to her, or does one of you want to reach out to DOH and DEM?

Thanks.

Meghan F. Cassidy, Chief
Superfund Technical & Enforcement Support Section
617-918-1387
cassidy.meghan@epa.gov