Message

From: Jones, Aaryn [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=C2BED08A5BD54DC5A9D59C5A345C9892-JONES, AARYN]
Sent: 1/8/2018 3:06:22 PM
To: Annicella, Alan [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=6b6b72f981734f81b6bbcc0de5a6835f-Annicella, Alan]; Newman, Alan [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=ed9a025b947e4ad295f8b5e6b7b4e02b-Newman, Alan]; Kreisler, Eva [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=862bbaae4d2c4b339e68790b2dbb1e94-EKreisle]; Coughlan, Laura [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=3e6fa5ffa7fb40d6908781a1eb5bb656-LCOUGHLA]
CC: Shaw, Jean [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=a451fd0ebcdc479ebdff25f4194afd3e-JShaw]; Lamberth, Larry [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=d76744d344414e8d884e9fa4e3633ea3-Lamberth, Larry]; Buso, Roberto X [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=3130a0460095427da8b850e5585e36c7-Buso, Roberto X]
Subject: RE: EPA Request for information (The Chemours Fayetteville, NC)

Hi Alan,

The EU did a study in 2016 on FRD-902 and FRD-903 (which we in the region are calling HFPO-dimer acid salt and HFPO-dimer acid, respectively) and concluded that the compounds were likely either persistent or "very persistent", and could not be ruled out as not having toxicity or bioaccumulative concerns based on the limited available studies. These two compounds are collectively being called "GenX" in the NC media, and they have been historically released to surface water (were not regulated under the NPDES permit) and were initially found in the Cape Fear River and finished tap water 100 miles downstream of the facility in the city of Wilmington, NC. The salt and the acid both dissociate in water to the same anion, so for environmental and toxicity purposes, FRD-902 and FRD-903 are essentially one in the same. There are also presently concerns that air deposition could also be a contamination mechanism as onsite and offsite groundwater has been impacted up to 3 miles away from the facility. The extent of the groundwater plume has yet to be determined.

As far as controls on the reclamation process, it appears that Chemours handles any waste streams that are hazardous as appropriate under federal RCRA requirements, however, waste streams containing FRD-902 that were not otherwise "hazardous" were likely discharged to the onsite wastewater treatment plant and discharged to the river. I am less certain of the overall air controls in the processing area, although some parts of the facility are subject to BB and CC requirements and appeared to be monitored appropriately, but again, that was only for equipment that is holding/conveying a RCRA hazardous waste. Thanks,

Aaryn Jones
Environmental Scientist
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
61 Forsyth St, S.W.
Atlanta, GA 30303
(404) 562-8969
jones.aaryn@epa.gov

From: Annicella, Alan
Sent: Monday, January 08, 2018 7:16 AM
To: Newman, Alan <Newman.Alan@epa.gov>; Kreisler, Eva <Kreisler.Eva@epa.gov>; Coughlan, Laura <Coughlan.Laura@epa.gov>
Cc: Shaw, Jean <Shaw.Jean@epa.gov>; Jones, Aaryn <Jones.Aaryn@epa.gov>; Lamberth, Larry <Lamberth.Larry@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: EPA Request for information (The Chemours Fayetteville, NC)

Hi Alan,

I feel the Import/Export folks will have some better insights about the global perspective of what has been allowed in the past, but immediate questions I have.

1. Did EPA ever "approve" importation?

2. If Chemours is saying it is not a US regulated waste, why did they write us to begin with?

3. How does the reclamation happen? What controls are in place to prevent pollution from the reclamation process?

4. What happens to the salts and water removed from the process in NC?

5. Why does the EU consider it a hazardous waste? (e.g. listed due to toxicity?)

Thank you,
Alan

Alan A. Annicella, Chief
Hazardous Waste Enforcement and Compliance Section
Resource Conservation and Restoration Division
The US EPA - Region 4 - Sam Nunn Atlanta Federal Center
61 Forsyth St, SW
Atlanta, Georgia 30303
Office phone: 404.562.8610
Fax 404.562.8566

From: Newman, Alan
Sent: Friday, January 05, 2018 4:36 PM
To: Kreisler, Eva <Kreisler.Eva@epa.gov>; Coughlan, Laura <Coughlan.Laura@epa.gov>
Cc: Shaw, Jean <Shaw.Jean@epa.gov>; Annicella, Alan <Annicella.Alan@epa.gov>; Jones, Aaryn <Jones.Aaryn@epa.gov>; Lamberth, Larry <Lamberth.Larry@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: EPA Request for information (The Chemours Fayetteville, NC)

Hey all,

So...

I am hearing that this material mentioned below may be causing a public health concern in NC. Apparently there is some news coverage and some public outcry including the governor and the senators from NC. Since this material is not "hazardous waste" in the USA, can we still object to it coming into the country? (I just heard about this outcry today) The facility is regulated by federal TSCA and some state permits for the facility (NPDES, Air, RCRA).

What would you recommend as a potential path forward? What grounds have we objected before for imports?

Region 4 apparently briefed Susan Bodine last month in the broader contamination issue.

Thanks.

Alan Newman
Kentucky and Tennessee State Coordinator
Import/Export Contact for Region 4
Hazardous Waste Enforcement and Compliance Section
Environmental Protection Agency
61 Forsyth Street
Atlanta, Georgia 30303
(404) 562 – 8589
newman.alan@epa.gov

```
   __o
_-\<,_
(*)/ (*)
```

From: JOHNSON, MICHAEL E [mailto:MICHAEL.E.JOHNSON@chemours.com]
Sent: Thursday, August 04, 2016 2:21 PM
To: Newman, Alan <Newman.Alan@epa.gov>
Cc: Movius, Richard D <RICHARD-D-RICK.MOVIUS@chemours.com>
Subject: RE: EPA Request for information

Alan:

Rick Movius forwarded the emails you and he have been exchanging.

Below is an email that I sent you on December 14, 2105, which includes a March 2014 email to Stanley Tam. The email to Stanley should answer your questions.

Mike

Michael E. Johnson, PE
Environmental Manager
Chemours Company – Fayetteville Works
(910) 678-1155

The Chemours Company FC, LLC
22828 NC Highway 87 W
Fayetteville, NC 28306-7332

From: Newman, Alan [mailto:Newman.Alan@epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, August 03, 2016 10:27 AM
To: Movius, Richard D <RICHARD-D-RICK.MOVIUS@chemours.com>
Subject: RE: SDS for FRD-902NL

Mr. Movius,

Thank you for the quick response to my request.

How will this material be recycled/reclaimed? What usable material will be realized from this reclamation process? What is the end use of this reclaimed material?

Thank you,

Alan Newman
Kentucky and Tennessee State Coordinator
Import/Export Contact for Region 4
Hazardous Waste Enforcement and Compliance Section
Environmental Protection Agency
61 Forsyth Street
Atlanta, Georgia 30303
(404) 562 – 8589
newman.alan@epa.gov

RECYCLING/RECLAMATION OF ORGANIC SUBSTANCES

From: Movius, Richard D [mailto:RICHARD-D-RICK.MOVIUS@chemours.com]
Sent: Wednesday, August 03, 2016 10:14 AM
To: Newman, Alan <Newman.Alan@epa.gov>
Subject: SDS for FRD-902NL

Alan,

Attached you will find the SDS for the above material.

Let me know if there are any questions.

Rick Movius

From: JOHNSON, MICHAEL E
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2015 9:00 AM
To: newman.alan@epa.gov

Cc: Movius, Richard D <RICHARD-D-RICK.MOVIUS@chemours.com>; MAISCH, JOHN J <john.j.maisch@chemours.com>
Subject: Approval of Importation of Waste (EVOA Notification NL603825)

Alan:

As promised, attached is the March 2014 email to Stanley Tam that resolved the importation issue with the surfactant from The Netherlands.

As I mentioned to you, I believe the request you have in front of you is the third importation of the spent surfactant from The Netherlands to my Fayetteville, North Carolina facility.

One clarification for you: On July 1, 2015, the Fluoroproducts business segment of the DuPont Company was separated into a new publicly traded company called The Chemours Company. Therefore you will see in the attached email that both the Fayetteville, NC and the Dordrect, Netherlands facilities are referred to as being "DuPont" whereas now the documents will likely refer to them as "Chemours".

Thank you for your assistance with this request, and do not hesitate to contact me if you have additional questions.

PS – I am working today (12-14) and tomorrow (12-15), and then will be on vacation until January 4, 2106. If you have any questions regarding the EVOA Notification (NL603825), you can contact Rick Movius at 910-678-1515 or John Maisch at 910-678-1597, or email them at their respective addresses (both are cc'd on this email).

Mike

Michael E. Johnson, PE
Environmental Manager
Chemours Company – Fayetteville Works
(910) 678-1155

The Chemours Company FC, LLC
22828 NC Highway 87 W
Fayetteville, NC 28306-7332

From: Johnson, Michael E
Sent: Tuesday, March 11, 2014 10:40 AM
To: tam.stanley@epa.gov
Subject: Notice of Objection (EPA Notice ID: 008812/11/14) of Foreign Notice ID: NL 603282

VIA EMAIL

March 11, 2014

Mr. Stanley Tam
Regional Coordinator
USEPA Region 4

SUBJECT: Notice of Objection (EPA Notice ID: 008812/11/14) of Foreign Notice ID: NL 603282

Dear Mr. Tam:

Thank you for discussing the subject Notice of Objection regarding the DuPont Company's intent to export spent FRD-902 (ammonium or potassium salt of perfluorinated ether carbonic acid) from the DuPont Dordrecht Netherlands facility to the DuPont Company – Fayetteville, North Carolina facility for reclamation.

As background, FRD-902 is a surfactant that is used as a processing aid in the production of fluoropolymers, and as such is not consumed in the process. The FRD-902 is separated from the finished product and recovered as a side stream from the process. As a result of its use, the recovered FRD-902 no longer meets the acceptable water content specification and there is also an undesired collection of organic salts from the process, which results in the surfactant no longer performing satisfactorily as a direct recycle stream back into the polymerization process.

The high value of the FRD-902 makes it economically imperative to reclaim this surfactant. There is also the environmental benefit from recycling a material versus the disposal of that material. The spent FRD-902 is to be reclaimed at the DuPont Company – Fayetteville, North Carolina facility where the unwanted salts are removed and the water content level is returned to within the finished product specification.

EPA has indicated that its objection to the requested importation of the spent FRD-902 for reclamation is that the DuPont Company – Fayetteville, North Carolina facility is not authorized to receive a hazardous waste or hazardous recyclable material from off-site.

The issue at hand is the pronounced differences in the definition of a hazardous waste under the European Union's Waste Management Act of 1996 and the definition of a hazardous waste under 40 CFR Part 261, as is described below.

The spent FRD-902 has been given the European Waste List code 070201*. The "0702" category is for "wastes from the manufacture, formulation, supply and use of plastics, synthetic rubber and man-made fibers". The "070201" subcategory is for "aqueous washing liquids and mother liquors" and the "*" means that subcategory is considered hazardous under the EU's Waste Management Act.

While the spent FRD-902 does meet the broad definition of a hazardous waste under the European Union's regulations, this material does not meet the definition of a hazardous waste under 40 CFR Part 261 as is demonstrated below.

Since the spent FRD-902 is a "spent material" that is being reclaimed, it meets the definition of a "solid waste" under 40 CFR 261.2(c)(3).

On 09-18-2013 a sample of the spent FRD-902 was submitted to a commercial laboratory for analysis of the RCRA hazardous characteristics. The results from this analysis are attached and are explained in the following:

- The spent FRD-902 had no observed flashpoint, therefore the material is not characteristically hazardous for ignitability.

- The pH of the spent FRD-902 was 3.3 SU, therefore the material is not characteristically hazardous for corrosivity.

- All forty of the toxicity characteristic contaminants were less than their maximum concentrations as listed in Table 1 of 40 CFR 261.24(b). Therefore the material is not characteristically hazardous for toxicity.

Since the spent FRD-902 is a water based product, it cannot undergo any reactions with water. The surfactant is stable; it contains neither cyanides nor sulfides; and it is not capable of detonation or explosive reaction. Therefore the material is not characteristically hazardous for reactivity.

The spent FRD-902 is not one of the "F" listed hazardous wastes from non-specific sources per 40 CFR 261.31(a).

The spent FRD-902 is not one of the "K" listed hazardous wastes specific sources per 40 CFR 261.32(a).

The spent FRD-902 is not one of the "P" or "U" listed commercial chemical products or manufacturing chemical intermediates per 40 CFR 261.33(e) and 33(f) respectively.

In conclusion, since the spent FRD-902 is neither a characteristic hazardous waste nor a listed hazardous waste, it is therefore classified as a non-hazardous waste. The DuPont Company – Fayetteville, North Carolina facility is not prohibited from receiving a non-hazardous waste or non-hazardous recyclable material from off-site.

Therefore, DuPont respectfully requests that EPA rescind the subject Notice of Objection as quickly as possible so that the needed import permits can be issued and the reclamation of the spent FRD-902 can begin.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please let me know.

Sincerely,

Michael E. Johnson
Environmental Manager
DuPont Company – Fayetteville Works
(910) 678-1155

This communication is for use by the intended recipient and contains information that may be Privileged, confidential or copyrighted under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby formally notified that any use, copying or distribution of this e-mail, in whole or in part, is strictly prohibited. Please notify the sender by return e-mail and delete this e-mail from your system. Unless explicitly and conspicuously designated as "E-Contract Intended. This e-mail does not constitute a contract offer, a contract amendment, or an acceptance of a contract offer. This e-mail does not constitute a consent to the use of sender's contact information for direct marketing purposes or for transfers of data to third parties.

Francais Deutsch Italiano Espanol Portugues Japanese Chinese Korean

https://www.chemours.com/Chemours_Home/en_US/email_disclaimer.html