Message

**From**: Drinkard, Andrea [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=808A6B7B65BF447F93DAD2F510FEAF61-ADRINKAR]
**Sent**: 7/6/2017 4:16:23 PM
**To**: Senn, John [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=5bf4249fece54675b2d91c8cdaa66280-Jsenn]
**CC**: Grantham, Nancy [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=12a3c2ed7158417fb0bb1b1b72a8cfb0-Grantham, Nancy]; Lincoln, Larry [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=8248d03a1441414db7754db201ebec45-Lincoln, Larry]; Strauss, Linda [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=301660ea0f7845769db2210317516451-Strauss, Linda]
**Subject**: Re: NANCY/AMY: WECT inquiry/Chemours Company

I agree that this will be more a state thing. But I'll check, back to you ASAP.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 6, 2017, at 12:12 PM, Senn, John <Senn.John@epa.gov> wrote:

> Adding Andrea from OW (permitting is more in their lane than ours) and Linda for her awareness. My understanding is that this is a state permit, so they would have direct oversight of that—that said, Larry's folks oversee the NC permitting program.
>
> Thanks,
> John
>
> John Senn
> Acting Communications Director
> Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance
> U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
> (202) 564-8996 - senn.john@epa.gov
> https://twitter.com/EPAJustice
>
> ---
>
> **From:** Grantham, Nancy
> **Sent:** Thursday, July 06, 2017 11:47 AM
> **To:** Senn, John <Senn.John@epa.gov>; Lincoln, Larry <Lincoln.Larry@epa.gov>
> **Subject:** FW: NANCY/AMY: WECT inquiry/Chemours Company
>
> Could we address the highlighted issue easily? Thanks ng
>
> **Nancy Grantham**
> **Office of Public Affairs**
> **US Environmental Protection Agency**
> **202-564-6879 (desk)**
> **202-253-7056 (mobile)**
>
> ---
>
> **From:** Jones, Enesta
> **Sent:** Thursday, July 06, 2017 11:45 AM

**To:** Grantham, Nancy <Grantham.Nancy@epa.gov>; Graham, Amy <graham.amy@epa.gov>
**Subject:** NANCY/AMY: WECT inquiry/Chemours Company

No response.

**Enesta Jones**
U.S. EPA
Office of Media Relations
Office: 202.564.7873
Cell: 202.236.2426

**"The root of all joy is gratefulness."**

---

**From:** Wissbaum, Brandon [mailto:bwissbaum@wect.com]
**Sent:** Thursday, July 06, 2017 11:39 AM
**To:** Jones, Enesta <Jones.Enesta@epa.gov>
**Cc:** Press <Press@epa.gov>
**Subject:** RE: WECT inquiry/Chemours Company

No additional information related to the investigation? Does that include my question about Chemours being permitted to discharge PFOA?

---

**From:** Jones, Enesta [mailto:Jones.Enesta@epa.gov]
**Sent:** Thursday, July 06, 2017 11:35 AM
**To:** Wissbaum, Brandon <bwissbaum@wect.com>
**Cc:** Press <Press@epa.gov>
**Subject:** RE: WECT inquiry/Chemours Company

Hi Brandon,

Please attribute to an EPA spokesperson:

We have no additional information to share at this time.

**Enesta Jones**
U.S. EPA
Office of Media Relations
Office: 202.564.7873
Cell: 202.236.2426

**"The root of all joy is gratefulness."**

---

**From:** Wissbaum, Brandon [mailto:bwissbaum@wect.com]
**Sent:** Thursday, July 06, 2017 11:15 AM
**To:** Jones, Enesta <Jones.Enesta@epa.gov>
**Cc:** Press <Press@epa.gov>
**Subject:** RE: WECT inquiry/Chemours Company

Before 5pm today if possible.

---

**From:** Jones, Enesta [mailto:Jones.Enesta@epa.gov]
**Sent:** Thursday, July 06, 2017 11:11 AM

**To:** Wissbaum, Brandon <bwissbaum@wect.com>
**Cc:** Press <Press@epa.gov>
**Subject:** Re: WECT inquiry/Chemours Company

Hi Brandon,

What's your. firm deadline?

**Enesta Jones**
U.S. EPA
Office of Media Relations
Office: 202.564.7873
Cell: 202.236.2426

**"The root of all joy is gratefulness."**

On Jul 6, 2017, at 11:08 AM, Wissbaum, Brandon <bwissbaum@wect.com> wrote:

Good morning Enesta,

Reaching out in reference to The Chemours Company's – Fayetteville Works NPDES permit (permit NC0003573). It's my understanding that on Chemours' previous permit they were permitted to discharge PFOA at Outfall 002, provided they take monthly samples.

There might be a simple answer to this question, but why was Chemours permitted to discharge PFOA when DuPont in 2005 agreed to phase the chemical out? I've heard concerns that monthly samples are not frequent enough for PFOA, as the company could discharge more amounts of the chemical for three weeks, then shut down production prior to the sample being taken? Can you speak to why the company is only required to do monthly sampling?

Also, I know you previously said the EPA is investigating Chemours' compliance with a 2009 consent order issued under the TSCA for the production of GenX – are Chemours' permits a part of that investigation? Are there any updates you can provide on the investigation?

Appreciate any help/insight you can provide.

Best,

**Brandon Wissbaum**
Assignment Manager
WECT News
Office: 910.386.5477
Cell: 910.899.6035