To: Duffy, William[William.Duffy@dgslaw.com]
From: Lensink, Andy
Sent: Thur 6/30/2016 10:12:11 PM
Subject: RE: Smelter Hill Uplands RDU 3 NOIC letter

Bill:

Actually, I was able to look this over this over.

I think it's fine and will have no problem responding and agreeing.

Andy

From: Duffy, William [mailto:William.Duffy@dgslaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2016 4:02 PM
To: Lensink, Andy <Lensink.Andy@epa.gov>
Subject: Smelter Hill Uplands RDU 3 NOIC letter

Andy – I am sharing the opening paragraphs of what will be completed as Atlantic Richfield's NOIC letter for the Smelter Hill Uplands UAO. Please take a look at the text which proposes two things: (a) defer AR's submittal of a Para. 52 notice for recording that describes Affected Property to no later than September 30th, and (b) defer EPA's response to the three NOIC letters (CSOU, WSC and Smelter Hill) to January 2017, with the possibility of deferring further so long as we are making progress on the Site-wide issues.

Please let me know if my wording is acceptable, and offer suggestions if you have any that would allow EPA to concur on deferral. The rest of the letter will look a lot like the WSC NOIC letter. Thanks.

Bill

William Duffy **Partner**

P: 303.892.7372 ▪ F: 303.893.1379 ▪ M: 720.234.5971 ▪ vcard

Davis Graham & Stubbs LLP

1550 17th Street, Suite 500 ▪ Denver, CO 80202

This email message, and its attachment(s), is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

A LexMundi Member