**To:** Marr, Suzanne[Marr.Suzanne@epa.gov]
**From:** Yelensky, Erica
**Sent:** Thur 5/25/2017 5:32:39 PM
**Subject:** RE: could you ask Tom at SMBNEP to double check that NEPmap matches his study area assumptions?

Yes, I noticed that they do not match. Who is "we?"

I have a call with Tom today, so I'll ask him. Thanks for checking.

**From:** Marr, Suzanne
**Sent:** Thursday, May 25, 2017 9:46 AM
**To:** Yelensky, Erica <Yelensky.Erica@epa.gov>
**Subject:** could you ask Tom at SMBNEP to double check that NEPmap matches his study area assumptions?

Hey Erica – we found that the NEPmap did not exactly match the MB NEP version of study area so we are having a discussion to rectify the difference.

Seems that some of the USGS-designated HUCs were updated since the study area was first drawn.

That made me wonder if SMB NEP has looked at NEPmap to make sure that the study area depicted there matches the SMB NEP's expectation of their study area?

Could you please ask tom to verify with you that it is ok and let me know?

If he feels NEP map needs changing, then I can get him in touch with the right folks.

Heads up that changing it may require justification on the NEP's part that is convincing enough for the HQ reviewers.

Here is the link: https://catalog.data.gov/dataset/nepmap-national-estuary-program-mapper

You can find the study area designated on the NEP Boundaries file on this web site, linked from that NEPmap page:

https://gispub2.epa.gov/NEPmap/

Thanks

Suzanne Marr

Watersheds Office (WTR-2-2)

US EPA Region 9

San Francisco, CA

415-972-3468

marr.suzanne@epa.gov