To: Alexis Strauss[Strauss.Alexis@epa.gov]
Cc: Quast, Sylvia[Quast.Sylvia@epa.gov]; HERRERA, ANGELES[herrera.angeles@epa.gov]; Lewis Maldonado (Maldonado.Lewis@epa.gov)[Maldonado.Lewis@epa.gov]; DOUGLAS LUEHE (luehe.douglas@epa.gov)[luehe.douglas@epa.gov]
Bcc: Harold Ball (Ball.Harold@epa.gov)[Ball.Harold@epa.gov]; Dustin Minor (Minor.Dustin@epa.gov)[Minor.Dustin@epa.gov]
From: Manzanilla, Enrique
Sent: Wed 7/26/2017 11:43:13 PM
Subject: FW: ANACONDA DEFERMENT

FYI

From: Herrera, Angeles
Sent: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 3:08 PM
To: glovato@ndep.nv.gov; Brian Amme <bamme@blm.gov>
Cc: Manzanilla, Enrique <Manzanilla.Enrique@epa.gov>; Ball, Harold <Ball.Harold@epa.gov>
Subject: Fwd: ANACONDA DEFERMENT

FYI...

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: GBRW Reno <john@gbrw.org>
Date: July 26, 2017 at 12:01:01 PM PDT
To: David Seter <Seter.David@epa.gov>, Harold 'Ball <Ball.Harold@epa.gov>, "'Herrera, Angeles" <Herrera.Angeles@epa.gov>
Subject: ANACONDA DEFERMENT

Hello David, Harold , and Angeles,

Your probably already know that the directly affected community is not in favor of the deferment. GBRW is also not in favor, and we are encouraging NDEP to work with EPA but under CERCLA authority.

We don't see that Nevada will have authority to act as EPA can under CERCLA. Nevada does not have CERCLA parallel statutes, but its seems as though some other states do. We view the ARC proposed timeline as very unrealistic. You all should know this with your

knowledge of other sites.

Our read of the law, joint and several clause, should make ARC responsible for the whole site. We were not sure on this previously. Yes, we know that OU8 needs to be dealt with soon, but we feel that in going down the deferment route too much else will be lost. GBRW will be sending a letter to EPA on this, but I wanted you to know our position at this time.

cheers,

john

John Hadder

Great Basin Resource Watch

P.O. Box 207

Reno, NV 89504

john@gbrw.org

775-348-1986

775-345-3575 (f)

www.gbrw.org