To: Deschambault, Lynda[Deschambault.Lynda@epa.gov]
Cc: anthony.brown@bp.com[anthony.brown@bp.com]; Riley, Gary[riley.gary@epa.gov]; gr@burlesonconsulting.com[gr@burlesonconsulting.com]; Dave McCarthy[dave.mccarthy@copperenv.com]; Marc Lombardi[Marc.Lombardi@amecfw.com]; jboucher@broadbentinc.com[jboucher@broadbentinc.com]; Carey, Douglas@Waterboards[douglas.carey@waterboards.ca.gov]; Stetler, Chris@waterboards.ca.gov[Chris.Stetler@waterboards.ca.gov]; 'Jef Peake'[jpeake@broadbentinc.com]; Cohen, Adam[Adam.Cohen@dgslaw.com]
From: Mike Johnson
Sent: Thur 2/16/2017 12:02:50 AM
Subject: RE: Leviathan Mine - OW - El Nino Monitoring January 7-9, 2017
Leviathan February Field Observations

Lynda,

Atlantic Richfield's last site visit was conducted on 2/1/2017. Please see the attached email. We currently visit the site approximately once per month for compliance sampling and Aspen Seep Bioreactor Treatment System (ASB) Limited Access Season (LAS) maintenance. Our next site visit is anticipated to occur during the first or second week of March, weather dependent. We will let you know if our schedule changes.

Thanks,

Mike Johnson, PE
Senior Engineer
406 East Park Avenue, Suite 2
Anaconda, MT 59711
Phone (406) 563-2700 ext. 309
Fax (406) 563-2701
Mobile (406) 560-5906
email: mike.johnson@copperenv.com
www.copperenv.com

-----Original Message-----
From: Brown, Anthony R (RM) [mailto:anthony.brown@bp.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2017 11:47 AM
To: McCarthy, Dave T (Copper Environmental Consulting); Johnson, Mike (COPPER ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTING); Lombardi, Marc; Cohen, Adam
Cc: Starr, Robert; Jefferson, Jill
Subject: RE: Leviathan Mine - OW - El Nino Monitoring January 7-9, 2017
Importance: High

Hi Dave and Mike, please see Lynda's note below and answer questions regarding time of the most recent site visit and the plan/date/time for the next upcoming site visit – including maybe resending the last report of observations. Cc: Water Board. Thanks… Tony…

From: Deschambault, Lynda [mailto:Deschambault.Lynda@epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2017 9:25 AM
To: Lombardi, Marc; Riley, Gary; Doug Carey
Cc: Greg Reller; Cory Koger; Cohen, Adam; Brown, Anthony R (RM); Starr, Robert; Jefferson, Jill

Subject: RE: Leviathan Mine - OW - El Nino Monitoring January 7-9, 2017

Thank you for the quick response!

Could you / lease confirm last ARC visit, Last RWQCB visit to check on a. beaver dams/culverts and b. ponds And confirm next planned ARC visit / RWQCB visit.

Thanks!

From: Lombardi, Marc [mailto:Marc.Lombardi@amecfw.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2017 6:18 PM
To: Deschambault, Lynda <Deschambault.Lynda@epa.gov<mailto:Deschambault.Lynda@epa.gov>>; Riley, Gary <riley.gary@epa.gov<mailto:riley.gary@epa.gov>>
Cc: Greg Reller <gr@burlesonconsulting.com<mailto:gr@burlesonconsulting.com>>; Cory Koger <Cory.S.Koger@usace.army.mil<mailto:Cory.S.Koger@usace.army.mil>>; Cohen, Adam <Adam.Cohen@dgslaw.com<mailto:Adam.Cohen@dgslaw.com>>; Brown, Anthony R (RM) <anthony.brown@bp.com<mailto:anthony.brown@bp.com>>; Starr, Robert <Robert.Starr@amecfw.com<mailto:Robert.Starr@amecfw.com>>; Jefferson, Jill <Jill.Jefferson@amecfw.com<mailto:Jill.Jefferson@amecfw.com>>
Subject: RE: Leviathan Mine - OW - El Nino Monitoring January 7-9, 2017

Lynda/Gary,

Below is a summary of precipitation at Monitor Pass, and streamflow and water quality for Leviathan Creek at Station 15 during the most recent period of winter storms (February 6 – 13, 2017), with a comparison on longer term results for mid-December through mid-February.

Precipitation
Precipitation measured by the Monitor Pass SNOTEL station shows that precipitation fell most days in this 8-day period. Precipitation exceeded 1 inch on only one day. The total precipitation during this period was 4.0 inches.

[cid:image001.png@01D28778.864B6900]

Streamflow
Streamflow in Leviathan Creek is measured at Station 15, the USGS gaging station downstream of the beaver dam/pond complex but upstream of the confluence with Aspen Creek. Streamflow was approximately 0.5 cubic feet per second (cfs) on February 6, followed by a higher flow event that began on February 7. Streamflow increased to approximately 10 cfs on February 7, declined to approximately 5 cfs overnight, increased to approximately 20 cfs on February 8, declined to approximately 10 cfs overnight, reached a maximum of 38 cfs at midnight February 9 and 0100 February 10, and steadily declined to approximately 4 cfs at 1000 February 13.

[cid:image002.png@01D28778.864B6900]

The February 9-10 38 cfs (17,000) peak is the third largest flow event recorded at this site. The largest flow, 68 cfs (30,500 gpm) was measured December 31, 2006, and the second, 40 cfs (18,000 gpm), was measured January 8, 2017. Other relatively large flowrates >20 cfs occurred in 1999, 2010, 2011, and 2016.

[cid:image003.png@01D28778.864B6900]

[cid:image004.png@01D28778.864B6900]

[cid:image005.png@01D28778.864B6900]

Water Quality

Water quality parameters specific conductance (SC) and pH (as well as other parameters) are measured by U.S. EPA's water quality monitor adjacent to the streamflow station. During the high flow event that began February 7, SC declined from a pre-event value of approximately 800 uS/cm to approximately 400 uS/cm on February 7, was relatively stable at approximately 400 uS/cm until mid-day February 10, and then gradually increased to approximately 600 uS/cm on February 13.

[cid:image006.png@01D28778.864B6900]
pH prior to the high flow event that began February 7 was approximately 7.0 standard units. pH gradually declined to approximately 5.3 standard units over the course of two days (February 7 – 9), increased to approximately 5.6 standard units and declined back to approximately 5.3 on February 10, and has remained fairly stable since.

[cid:image007.png@01D28778.864B6900]

Streamflow and Water Quality Mid-December through Mid-February

The following plots show streamflow, SC, and pH from mid-December through mid-February.

Streamflow exceeded 1 cfs during the weeks of December 10, January 7, January 21, and February 4, and there was a smaller (<1 cfs) event the week of December 31. The January 21 flow event was much shorter than the others.

[cid:image008.png@01D28778.864B6900]

SC showed an abrupt decline during each flow event (except the short duration event the week of January 21) followed by a rebound – but to a lower value that the pre-flow event value.

[cid:image009.png@01D28778.864B6900]

pH variations during this period are more complicated than the SC variations. During mid-December, pH gradually increased from about 5 to 6.5 standard units and had a short term increase to about 6.1 associated with the December flow event during this gradual increase. pH was relatively stable at approximately 6.3 to 6.5 until the flow event the week of December 31. During the flow events that occurred the weeks of December 31 and January 7, pH increased from about 6.3 to 6.7, and then declined and fluctuated between about 6.3 and 6.5. pH increased from about 6.3 on January 11 to 7.0 on January 14 and remained fairly constant at 6.8 to 7.0 until February 7, then declined to about 5.3 on February 9 during the most recent high flow event, and remained at approximately 5.3 to 5.5 through February 13.

[cid:image010.png@01D28778.864B6900]

If you have any questions or comments, please contact Tony Brown at (714) 228-6770 or anthony.brown@bp.com<mailto:anthony.brown@bp.com>.

Thanks,
Marc

Marc R. Lombardi, CEM, PG
Principal Geologist / Office Manager, Environment & Infrastructure Americas, Amec Foster Wheeler
10940 White Rock Road, Suite 190, Rancho Cordova, CA 95670, USA
D (916) 853-8903 M (916) 302-6326

marc.lombardi@amecfw.com<mailto:marc.lombardi@amecfw.com> amecfw.com

From: Deschambault, Lynda [mailto:Deschambault.Lynda@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2017 8:01 AM
To: Brown, Anthony R (RM) <anthony.brown@bp.com<mailto:anthony.brown@bp.com>>; Lombardi, Marc <Marc.Lombardi@amecfw.com<mailto:Marc.Lombardi@amecfw.com>>
Cc: Riley, Gary <riley.gary@epa.gov<mailto:riley.gary@epa.gov>>; Greg Reller <gr@burlesonconsulting.com<mailto:gr@burlesonconsulting.com>>; Cory Koger <Cory.S.Koger@usace.army.mil<mailto:Cory.S.Koger@usace.army.mil>>
Subject: FW: Leviathan Mine - OW - El Nino Monitoring January 7-9, 2017

Please provide an update.

From: Lombardi, Marc [mailto:Marc.Lombardi@amecfw.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 24, 2017 1:23 PM
To: Deschambault, Lynda <Deschambault.Lynda@epa.gov<mailto:Deschambault.Lynda@epa.gov>>; Riley, Gary <riley.gary@epa.gov<mailto:riley.gary@epa.gov>>
Cc: Brown, Anthony R (RM) <anthony.brown@bp.com<mailto:anthony.brown@bp.com>>; Sanchez, Yolanda <Sanchez.Yolanda@epa.gov<mailto:Sanchez.Yolanda@epa.gov>>; Greg Reller <gr@burlesonconsulting.com<mailto:gr@burlesonconsulting.com>>; Cohen, Adam <Adam.Cohen@dgslaw.com<mailto:Adam.Cohen@dgslaw.com>>
Subject: RE: Leviathan Mine - OW - El Nino Monitoring January 7-9, 2017

Gary / Lynda,

Below is a summary of observations of precipitation at Monitor Pass, and streamflow and water quality for Leviathan Creek at Station 15 for approximately the first three weeks of January 2017.

Precipitation
Precipitation measured by the Monitor Pass SNOTEL station shows that precipitation fell most days, with more than 2 inches falling on three days and 1 inch or more falling on an additional 4 days of this 22 day period. The cumulative precipitation during this period is 14.8 inches.

[cid:image011.png@01D28778.864B6900]

Streamflow
Streamflow in Leviathan Creek is measured at Station 15, the USGS gaging station downstream of the beaver dam/pond complex but upstream of the confluence with Aspen Creek. Three relatively high flow events correspond with the >2 inch precipitation events. The first, on January 4, had a peak flow of approximately 0.8 cubic feet per second (cfs) or approximately 360 gallons per minute (gpm). This relatively small flowrate appears as a peak because it was substantially higher than the flowrate before or after the event, and because flowrate is plotted on a log scale. The second, on January 8, had a much higher flowrate of approximately 40 cfs (~17,950 gpm). The third, on January 22, had a peak flowrate of approximately 7 cfs (~3,140 gpm).

[cid:image012.png@01D28778.864B6900]

The January 8 high flow event is the second largest flow event recorded at this site. The largest flow, 68 cfs (~30,520 gpm), was measured on December 31, 2005.
Other relatively large flowrates >20 cfs (>8,975 gpm) occurred in 1999, 2010, 2011, and 2016.

[cid:image013.png@01D28778.864B6900]
[cid:image014.png@01D28778.864B6900]

Water Quality

Water quality parameters specific conductance and pH (as well as other parameters) are measured by U.S. EPA's water quality monitor adjacent to the streamflow station. SC values showed an abrupt decline from approximately 1300 to 1100 uS/cm that corresponded with the first (~0.8 cfs) peak flow event; an abrupt decline from approximately 1,100 to 300 uS/cm that corresponded with the second (40 cfs) peak flow event, followed by an increase to about 900 uS/cm. SC did not show a significant change in conjunction with the third peak flow event (~7 cfs).

[cid:image015.png@01D28778.864B6900]

pH is a measure of the acid – alkaline condition of water. The pH in early January was ~6.25 standard units. Similar to SC, pH values changed abruptly in conjunction with the first two peak flow events. pH increased from about 6.25 to 6.7 in conjunction with the first peak flow event (~0.8 cfs), and then gradually declined over several days to about 6.5. The pH response during the second peak flow event (40 cfs) was more complicated; an initial increase to 6.75, a decline to 6.25, increase to about 6.5, and then a decline to about 6.3. Beginning late January 10, pH gradually increased to approximately 7 on January 19, and then drifted downward slightly to approximately 6.8 to 6.9 by January 22. There was a small (<0.1 unit) pH response in conjunction with the third peak flow event (7 cfs). Note that the scale of the graph makes these relatively small changes – a few tenths of a pH unit – appear more dramatic than they actually are.

[cid:image016.png@01D28778.864B6900]

If you have any questions or comments, please contact Tony Brown at (714) 228-6770 or anthony.brown@bp.com<mailto:anthony.brown@bp.com>.

Thanks,
Marc

Marc R. Lombardi, CEM, PG
Principal Geologist / Office Manager, Environment & Infrastructure Americas, Amec Foster Wheeler
10940 White Rock Road, Suite 190, Rancho Cordova, CA 95670, USA
D (916) 853-8903 M (916) 302-6326
marc.lombardi@amecfw.com<mailto:marc.lombardi@amecfw.com> amecfw.com

This message is the property of Amec Foster Wheeler plc and/or its subsidiaries and/or affiliates and is intended only for the named recipient(s). Its contents (including any attachments) may be confidential, legally privileged or otherwise protected from disclosure by law. Unauthorised use, copying, distribution or disclosure of any of it may be unlawful and is strictly prohibited. We assume no responsibility to persons other than the intended named recipient(s) and do not accept liability for any errors or omissions which are a result of email transmission. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by reply email to the sender and confirm that the original message and any attachments and copies have been destroyed and deleted from your system. If you do not wish to receive future unsolicited commercial electronic messages from us, please forward this email to: unsubscribe@amecfw.com<mailto:unsubscribe@amecfw.com> and include "Unsubscribe" in the subject line. If applicable, you will continue to receive invoices, project communications and similar factual, non-commercial electronic communications.

Please click http://amecfw.com/email-disclaimer for notices and company information in relation to emails originating in the UK, Italy or France.