To: Jonathan Koplos[Jonathan.Koplos@cadmusgroup.com]
From: Rumrill, Nancy
Sent: Fri 6/10/2016 4:53:55 PM
Subject: RE: Technical Direction Memo: Contract No. EP-C-15-022, WA 0-90; Task 1, Subtask 1.2 -- Excelsior Mining Company - Class III UIC Permit # R9UIC-AZ1-FY-16-1, Permit Technical Review Analysis

Yes, I got it. Thanks, Nancy

~~~~~~

United States Environmental Protection Agency

Nancy Rumrill (rumrill.nancy@epa.gov)

Drinking Water Protection Section (WTR-3-2)

US EPA, Region IX

75 Hawthorne St.

San Francisco, CA 94105

Phone (415) 972-3293

From: Jonathan Koplos [mailto:Jonathan.Koplos@cadmusgroup.com]
Sent: Friday, June 10, 2016 9:45 AM
To: Rumrill, Nancy <Rumrill.Nancy@epa.gov>
Cc: Jim Walker <ja **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Subject: FW: Technical Direction Memo: Contract No. EP-C-15-022, WA 0-90; Task 1, Subtask 1.2 -- Excelsior Mining Company - Class III UIC Permit # R9UIC-AZ1-FY-16-1, Permit Technical Review Analysis

Hi Nancy,
~~~~~~

I'm just about to head out for the weekend, but wanted to make sure you received these Excelsior comments.

Jonathan

From: Jonathan Koplos
Sent: Wednesday, June 08, 2016 10:51 PM
To: 'Rao, Kate' <Rao.kate@epa.gov>
Cc: Rumrill, Nancy <Rumrill.Nancy@epa.gov>; Dermer, Michele <Dermer.Michele@epa.gov>; 'Jim Walker' <Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
Subject: RE: Technical Direction Memo: Contract No. EP-C-15-022, WA 0-90; Task 1, Subtask 1.2 -- Excelsior Mining Company - Class III UIC Permit # R9UIC-AZ1-FY-16-1, Permit Technical Review Analysis

Hi Kate and Nancy,

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

Because of the all the details and multiple technical aspects covered in the attached comments, we anticipate one (or more) follow-up calls might be needed so we can answer any questions you have.

Please let us know if you have any questions.

Thank you,

Jonathan

Jonathan Koplos, Ph.D.

The Cadmus Group, Inc.

100 5th Avenue, Suite 100

Waltham, MA 02451

Ph. 617-673-7184

Cell 617-775-5789

www.cadmusgroup.com

From: Rao, Kate [mailto:Rao.kate@epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 6:34 PM
To: Jonathan Koplos <Jonathan.Koplos@cadmusgroup.com>
Cc: Albright, David <Albright.David@epa.gov>; Rumrill, Nancy <Rumrill.Nancy@epa.gov>; Dermer, Michele <Dermer.Michele@epa.gov>; Parrotta, Nancy <Parrotta.Nancy@epa.gov>; Adams, Tammy <Adams.Tammy@epa.gov>
Subject: Technical Direction Memo: Contract No. EP-C-15-022, WA 0-90; Task 1, Subtask 1.2 -- Excelsior Mining Company - Class III UIC Permit # R9UIC-AZ1-FY-16-1, Permit Technical Review Analysis

Hi Jonathan – another work request under WA 0-90. Please let me know who the technical lead

will be on this project. I have one hardcopy application with CDs that I will send to the tech lead and have another set of CDs (includes complete revised application) that I can send to you if needed. Nancy Rumrill is the tech lead for EPA.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks. Kate

Contract No. EP-C-15-022, Workplan Assignment No. 0-90

R9 Tech Support for Implementation of the UIC Program – Excelsior Mining Company - Class III UIC Permit #R9UIC-AZ1-FY-16-1

[Task 1; Subtask 1.2 - Permit Technical Review Analysis]

Technical Direction

Background: Excelsior Mining Company (Excelsior) has submitted a UIC area permit application to operate a Class III ISR copper mining facility in Chochise County, AZ. EPA determined that the application, which includes an aquifer exemption, is administratively complete (see attached letter). The applicant also submitted an Aquifer Protection Permit to the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) and this is also available on CD.

Action: Under Task 1, Subtask 1.2 – Technical Review Analysis, review and provide an evaluation of Excelsior's permit application, including the aquifer exemption proposal, dated *February 2016/revised March 2016*. The following evaluation must be conducted:

- Analyze well log data and testing results submitted by the prospective owner or operator.

- Evaluate local and regional geology, including injection zone and confining zone characteristics.

- Assess area of review (AoR) determinations or zone of endangering influence calculations, including information related to aquifer exemptions (if applicable).

- Provide recommendations for any corrective action required at existing wells within the AoR.

- Identify and recommending technical terms and conditions to be imposed in the draft permit (related to well operation, monitoring, testing, reporting, and other activities required by applicable UIC Program regulations).

- Evaluate proposed plugging and abandonment plans.

- Assess the acceptability of proposed financial assurance procedures/mechanisms.

- Review and evaluation other applicable attachment(s) in the permit application.

Deliverable: Provide a technical analysis summarizing the results of the technical review of the permit application. The analysis should include an itemized list of additional information necessary to clarify, modify, or supplement the permit application materials. Deliverable should be emailed in WORD to Kate Rao and Nancy Rumrill. If necessary, based on further technical direction from Kate Rao, Cadmus may be asked to evaluate subsequent submittals made to address the identified technical deficiencies, until the permit application is determined to be technically sufficient.

Material:

- Hardcopy permit application (dated February 2016/revised March 2016) which includes CDs of a) February 2016 application, b) February 2016/revised March 2016 permit application, and c) ADEQ APP Application January 2016.

- March 24, 2016 Admin Review letter from EPA to Excelsior (attached).

Ex. 4 - CBI

Schedule: Ex. 4 - CBI 2016).

Kick-off Call: Nancy Rumrill with schedule a call with the Cadmus tech lead once the material is received to discuss the TDM. Ex. 4 - CBI

Follow us on social media:

This email message and its contents are for the sole use of the intended recipient or recipients and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.