To: Angelo, Robert[angelo.bob@epa.gov]; DeLashmit, John[Delashmit.John@epa.gov]; Robichaud, Jeffery[Robichaud.Jeffery@epa.gov]; Perkins, Bruce[Perkins.Bruce@epa.gov]
Cc: Lavaty, Ann[Lavaty.Ann@epa.gov]; Bagley, Melissa[Bagley.Melissa@epa.gov]; Yuan, Lester[Yuan.Lester@epa.gov]
From: Sengco, Mario
Sent: Wed 12/7/2016 1:53:54 PM
Subject: RE: Draft Missouri NNC Rationale Document

Thanks, Bob. I look forward to your report out and impressions from today's the stakeholder's meeting.

Mario

From: Angelo, Robert
Sent: Tuesday, December 06, 2016 4:26 PM
To: DeLashmit, John <Delashmit.John@epa.gov>; Robichaud, Jeffery <Robichaud.Jeffery@epa.gov>; Perkins, Bruce <Perkins.Bruce@epa.gov>
Cc: Lavaty, Ann <Lavaty.Ann@epa.gov>; Bagley, Melissa <Bagley.Melissa@epa.gov>; Sengco, Mario <Sengco.Mario@epa.gov>; Yuan, Lester <Yuan.Lester@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: Draft Missouri NNC Rationale Document

Just fyi…

From: Hoke, John [mailto:john.hoke@dnr.mo.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, December 06, 2016 9:02 AM
To: Angelo, Robert <angelo.bob@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Draft Missouri NNC Rationale Document

Hi Bob,

The screening values were set up to not have an allowable excursion frequency. The goal was to make the screening process more conservative in nature, which will allow us to be proactive rather than reactive. We're looking forward to the discussion tomorrow. See you then.

John Hoke

Chief, Watershed Protection Section

Water Protection Program

Missouri Department of Natural Resources

P: 573-526-1446, F: 573-526-6802

Promoting, Protecting and Enjoying our Natural Resources. Learn more at dnr.mo.gov.

From: Angelo, Robert [mailto:angelo.bob@epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, December 02, 2016 7:39 AM
To: Hoke, John
Subject: RE: Draft Missouri NNC Rationale Document

Thanks John. I'll try to read through the document and some of the supporting materials (newly cited studies) before next week's meeting. I briefly perused the document yesterday and noticed that all criteria for chl-*a* are presented as seasonal averages with an allowable excursion frequency of once in three years. The impairment screening thresholds also are presented as seasonal averages but seemingly are not subject to an allowable excursion frequency. Is this the intended interpretation? Thanks. -- Bob

From: Hoke, John [mailto:john.hoke@dnr.mo.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 3:49 PM
To: Angelo, Robert <angelo.bob@epa.gov>
Subject: Draft Missouri NNC Rationale Document

Hi Bob,

In the spirit of Kaizen, I wanted to share the attached rationale document that will be the locus of discussion at next week's stakeholder meeting. I hope that you will find the attached useful in preparing for the meeting. If you should have questions, please let me know. Thanks

John Hoke

Chief, Watershed Protection Section

Water Protection Program

Missouri Department of Natural Resources

P: 573-526-1446, F: 573-526-6802

Promoting, Protecting and Enjoying our Natural Resources. Learn more at dnr.mo.gov.