VICTIMS TOWN OF HINKLEY

Temporary Mailing Address

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

TRANSMITTAL

Dated: July 13, 2015

Hon. Barbara Boxer, U.S. Senator
U.S. Senate Committee on Environment
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510

Attached hereto and incorporated for future reference are:

SIXTH REQUEST FOR IMMEDIATE INVESTIGATION BY FBI
SIGNATURES PAGES
MAILING LIST

BEFORE: FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
SIXTH REQUEST FOR IMMEDIATE INVESTIGATION BY FBI

Dated: July 13, 2015

ATTN: FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FBI Los Angeles 11000 Wilshire Blvd. Suite 1700, Los Angeles, CA 90024-3672
Tel (310) 477-6565 Attn: Terry Wade, Special Agent

I.
PARTIES

Victims from the town of Hinkley, CA 92347, per attached Signatures Pages (Victims)

Dr. John Izbicki, United States Geological Survey (USGS)

State Water Resources Control Board, Research, Planning and Performance (Cal/EPA)

State of California Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB)

United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA)

II.
ALLEGATIONS

1. The Victims alleges, that the purported as "necessary", "critical", and "conclusive", study and reports by Dr. John Izbicki from USGS, in identifying, distinguishing and reporting the naturally occurring verses anthropogenic (caused by PG&E) occurrence of Hexavalent Chromium in the aquifers beneath the town of Hinkley, California 92347 is a **tactical ploy** and a **conspiracy**, aimed to substantially and fraudulently diminish the strict liabilities of Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E), in addition to circumventing federal and state laws, including but not limited to the Federal Law "Violation of United States Safe Drinking Water Act (U.S. SDWA).

2. The Victims alleges, that unjust enrichment has occurred by the State of California, specifically by the Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board, and by United States Geological Survey (USGS) and Dr. John Izbicki, evidenced by $3,500,000 (rounded) paid to the State of California Water Board by Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E), and $ 1,000,000 (rounded) paid by United States of America to the State of California Water Board, all under conspiracy theory, at the expense of the Victims, suffering total economic losses to real properties and noneconomic losses, including but not limited to sustained health injuries and/or premature wrongful dead.

3. As a direct result thereof acts alleged in Paragraph 2, the acts of Paragraph 1, has occurred. Millions of dollars paid by PG&E has influenced Regulatory Agency's acts, as exhibited herein.

THEREFORE, THE VICTIMS HEREBY DEMAND IMMEDIATE INVESTIGATION BY FBI.

ED_001557_00014286-00002

BEFORE: FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
SIXTH REQUEST FOR IMMEDIATE INVESTIGATION BY FBI
Dated: July 13, 2015

III.
EVIDENTIARY EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT "A"

Attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference is the link to the State of California Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board website, entitled "Copies of Peer Review Comment Letters"
http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/lahontan/water_issues/projects/pge/docs/encl_1appnd1.pdf
(Extrapolated therefrom Pier Review, are assertions by piers, in quote marks, at Water Board website)

By: James A. Jacobs, Chief Hydrogeologist
P.G.#4815, C.H.G.#88
CLEARWATER GROUP
229 Tewksbury Ave.,
Pt. Richmond, CA 94801
Tel: 510-307-9943
"James A. Jacobs, PG, CHG, is a Fulbright Scholar and has practiced geology for 30 years, teaches Sustainable Remediation Methods for Soils and Water at the UC Berkeley Extension and co-authored The Chromium (VI) Handbook, 2005, CRC Press."

SAMPLING AND LABORATORY TESTING, CONDUCTED BY DR. JOHN IZBICKI FROM USGS, OF ANY WELL IN HINKLEY, CA 92347 IS ABSOLUTELY UNWARANTED, THUS THE TASK OF IDENTIFYING NATURALLY OCCURRING, VERSUS ANTROPOGENIC (CAUSED BY PG&E) OCCURRENCE OF HEXAVALENT CHROMIUM IS ALLEGED TO BE A TACTICAL PLOY, AND SUCH ALLEGATION IS BASED UPON THE POINTS AND AUTHORITIES EXHIBITED HEREIN BELOW.
http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/lahontan/water_issues/projects/pge/docs/encl_1appnd1.pdf

"For the purposes of a detailed geochemical background study, however, no useful geologic information on background concentrations can be obtained from mixed well waters that are available in a well which is screened over two aquifer zones. The laboratory sample results from wells containing mixed aquifer' waters cannot be considered reflective of any specific aquifer and, therefore, they should not be used in a scientifically based background study of Cr(T) and Cr(VI)". (Page 5, middle)
"Depending on the water pressures associated with each aquifer, the Upper Aquifer Cr(T) and Cr(VI) concentrations in _mixed aquifer' wells will likely be diluted by the cleaner Lower Aquifer. If the Lower Aquifer has significantly higher pressure than the Upper Aquifer, the www.clearwatergroup.com Since 1990 229 Tewksbury Ave., Pt. Richmond, CA 94801 TEL: 510-307-9943; FAX: 510-232-2823 6 overall concentrations of Cr(T) and Cr(VI) will be more diluted". (Page 5, bottom / Page 6, top)

"These concentrations of the well water reflect the overall water quality from the individual wells and the overall Cr(T) and Cr(VI) exposure potential to humans or the environment. However, these wells have almost no value in showing background levels of Cr(T),Cr(VI),or other chemicals"
(Page 6, middle)

"In summary, the natural Cr(VI) and Cr(T) levels will be difficult to assess since the entire area has had intense agricultural pumping from both Upper and Lower Aquifers for up to eight decades. Artificial recharge has also been occurring in certain locations, affecting the natural background conditions of Cr(T) and Cr(VI). The background study for both Cr(T) and Cr(VI) in the current form is inadequate and inaccurate for reasons given above". (Page 9, bottom)

PURPORTED LOCKHAT EARTHQUAKE FAULT, AS BEING AN IMPEDIMET TO WATER PERCULATING THRU IT, IS HEREBY ALLEGED AS INTENTIONAL DISTORTION AND MISLEADED FACTS. IN FACT, THE HIGH PERMABILITY ZONES DUE TO LITHOLOGIC CHARACTERISTICS OR GEOLOGIC FAULTS (LOCKHART), TRIGGERS CONTRADICTION, THUS THE LOCKHART EARTHQUAKE FAULT IS NOT AN IMPEDIMEND, FURTHER BASED UPON THE FOLLOWING HEREIN BELOW POINTS AND AUTHORITIES.

"As noted, well data should reflect specific aquifer zones, not mixed zones. Given the eight decades of intense agricultural pumping, it is possible that with preferred flow pathways (high permeability zones due to lithologic characteristics or geologic faults (Lockhart) or other potential conduits), some of the Cr(T) and Cr(VI) from the Core Area may have migrated over the past decades toward the east or west into the East Cross Gradient Area or the West Cross Gradient Area, respectively". (Page 11, middle)

ASSERTIONS BY THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA LAHONTAN REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BORD THAT THE AGRICULTURAL OPERATIONS BY THE DAIRY'S FARMERS ARE EXACTLY THE SAME AS AGRICULTURAL OPERATIONS CONDUCTED BY PG&E, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO OTHER UNSUBSTANTIATED ASSERTIONS, ALLEGED TO SHIELD PG&E FROM LIABILITY, ARE CONTRADICTED BY HEREIN BELOW POINTS

"c) Role of irrigation with Cr(VI) water in the increase of the plume Deposition of Cr(VI) throughout the basin land surface has not been mapped. Correlation between land irrigation of Cr(T) and Cr(VI) impacted groundwater at the Land Treatment Units and the presence of chromium in the underlying soil and groundwater needs more focused investigation. The mechanism of remediation of spraying Cr(VI) onto the soil and the conversion of the oxidized Cr(VI) into the reduced Cr(III) and ultimately into chromium hydroxide using soil as a treatment media are not well documented or verified. Peroxide and acids may clean the drip or irrigation lines, but may also help to mobilize and carry the Cr(T) and Cr(VI) deeper into the subsurface environment if the acids or peroxide are spilled onto the soil. d) Lack of control of groundwater extraction throughout the basin There has been none, and there is currently no hydraulic control over the groundwater basin, so the plume will continue to migrate. The Cr(VI) plume is expanding both laterally to the north, as well as vertically, as evidenced by plume maps from 2001 to current consultant studies. e) Historic patterns of Cr(VI) migration There may be historic patterns of Cr(VI) migration which have left residue available for future recapture and migration." (Page 11, middle)

"Heavy groundwater extraction since the 1930's supports this concept that the Cr(T) and Cr(VI) plume has migrated cross gradient through preferred flow pathways. Major geochemical changes in the Hinkley Valley caused by large water movements, including extraction, are likely to have occurred over the past several decades, altering background levels of Cr(T) and Cr(VI)." (Page 14, middle)

THE HUGE AGRICULTURAL OPERATIONS CONDUCTED BY PG&E, BEING VIRTUALLY ADJACENT TO THE MOJAVE RIVER, WILL PREVENT ANY MEANINGFUL BACKGROUND STUDY OF NATURALLY OCCURRING HEXAVALENT CHROMIUM, THUS THE ENTIRE STUDY BY DR. JOHN IZBICKI IS ALLEGED TO BE A SHAM AND A TACTICAL PLOY, AIMED TO SHIELD PG&E FROM FURTHER INVESTIGATION AND PROSECUTION

"..undisturbed hydrogeologic areas in the Hinkley, California, area do not exist due to the excessive groundwater pumping in the area. Samples upgradient toward the Mojave River may provide the best chance at finding what might be considered background Cr(T) and Cr(VI) concentrations.
(Page 16, middle)

By: Prof. Yoram Rubin, Ph.D
UC Berkeley
627 Davis Hall Berkeley,
California 94720-1710
Tel. 510-642-2282 e-mail: rubin@ce.berkeley.edu

Page 4, middle. Assertions by Prof. Yoram Rubin, Ph.D *"The practice of hypothesis testing brings another issue to the surface. In hypothesis testing, the common thinking is that the null hypothesis should be a "safe" assumption, meaning an assumption that would not lead to damage if it is not rejected. This is because it is difficult to reject the null hypothesis: it is rejected only in the face of overwhelming evidence against it. Let me explain this with an example from the criminal law. I am not a jurist, but this example is commonly used and I think I understand it pretty well. The point is that legally a person is assumed innocent until proven guilty. So the null hypothesis in the legal system is that the person is innocent. The assumption of innocence is selected to be the safe assumption (null hypothesis) in most legal systems, and it will be rejected only in the face of overwhelming evidence to the contrary. How is that related to the Background Study? The question is whether the assumption of normality is the safe assumption and should it be used as the null hypothesis. In my opinion it is not a safe assumption because it could underestimate the probabilities of high concentrations. For example, a lognormal distribution has a longer "tail" and it assigns higher probabilities to the high concentrations, and so it could possibly be a safer assumption. This option and perhaps others need to be considered."*

THE EFFORTS TO CONCEAL TRUE FACTS ARE MORE THAN EVIDENT. WHEN CORPORATE INTEREST (PG&E) PAYS FOR ANYTING, PARTICULARLY IN MILLIONS OF DOLLARS, AND WHEN FEDERAL GOVERNMENT PAYS FOR, ALSO MILLION DOLLARS, FOR A STUDY, ALLEGED TO BE INCOMPREHENSIBLE, VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS, FURTHER ALLEGED AS FRAUDULENT, PURPORTED THAT SUCH STUDY WILL ENABLE TO FIND HOW MUCH NATURALLY OCCURRING CHROMIUM (VI) IS IN THE AQUIFERS BENEATH THE TOWN OF HINKLEY, THUS ATTEMPTING TO CIRCUMVENT THE TRUE FACTS, AND THEREFORE CONCEAL THE TRUE FACTS, ALL IN AN EFFORT TO DETER INVESTIGATION AND PROSECUTION OF PG&E, STATE OF CALIFORNIA WATER BOARD MUST TAKE A NOTICE.

THE ACTS OF PG&E, USGS, AND WATER BOARD, MUST BE INVESTIGATED BY FBI.

ED_001557_00014286-00007

VICTIMS FROM HINKLEY, CA 92347

NO.	Victim's Name	Signature or Signature on Behalf of	Victim's Mailing Address

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

VICTIMS FROM HINKLEY, CA 92347

NO.	Victim's Name	Signature or Signature on Behalf of	Victim's Mailing Address

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

VICTIMS FROM HINKLEY, CA 92347

NO.	Victim's Name	Signature or Signature on Behalf of	Victim's Mailing Address

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

VICTIMS FROM HINKLEY, CA 92347

NO.	Victim's Name	Signature or Signature on Behalf of	Victim's Mailing Address

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

VICTIMS FROM HINKLEY, CA 92347

NO.	Victim's Name	Signature or Signature on Behalf of	Victim's Mailing Address

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

VICTIMS FROM HINKLEY, CA 92347

NO.	Victim's Name	Signature or Signature on Behalf of	Victim's Mailing Address

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

VICTIMS FROM HINKLEY, CA 92347

NO.	Victim's Name	Signature or Signature on Behalf of	Victim's Mailing Address

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

VICTIMS FROM HINKLEY, CA 92347

NO.	Victim's Name	Signature or Signature on Behalf of	Victim's Mailing Address

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

MAILING LIST

California Environmental Protection Agency Cal/EPA Law Enforcement and Counsel Office 1001 "I" Street Sacramento, California 95814	The Honorable Dianne Feinstein, Senator United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary 224 Dirksen Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20510-6050
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) Prop 65 ARSENIC Attn: Cynthia Oshita, (Disclosure) P.O. Box 4010 Sacramento, California 95812	Hon. Barbara Boxer, U.S. Senator U.S. Senate Committee on Environment 112 Hart Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510
Hon. Jerry Hill, Chair California Senate EQC Oversight State Capitol, Room 2205 Sacramento, California 95814	Hon. Nancy Patricia D'Alesandro Pelosi U. S. Congresswoman United States House of Representatives 233 Cannon H.O.B. Washington, DC 20515
Hon. Luis A. Alejo, Assembly Member Environmental Safety and Toxic Materials Committee 1020 N Street, Room 171 Sacramento, California 95814	Hon. Paul Cook, U.S. Congressman United States House of Representatives 1222 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515-0508
Attn: Gary Edward Tavetian, Esq. Supervising Deputy Attorney General OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL, DOJ Natural Resources Law Section 300 S. Spring Street, #5000 Los Angeles, California 90013	Attn: Julie Jordan; Dan Drazan; Tracy Back US EPA Criminal Investigation Division (CID) Los Angeles Resident Office 600 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 900 Los Angeles, CA 90017
Ross Sevy, District Director Office of Jay Obernolte, Assemblyman 15900 Smoke Tree Street, Suite 125 Hesperia, California 92345	Attn: Deborah L. Harris; W. Benjamin Fisherow U.S. DOJ / Environmental Enforcement Environment and Natural Resources Division 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20530-0001
Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting Attention: Prop 65 Coordinator 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Post Office Box 70550 Oakland, California 94612-0550	Attn: Bill L. Lewis; Kendrick D. Williams; Terry Wade; Joseph O. Johns; Patrick Bohrer FBI Investigation Division 11000 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1700 Los Angeles, CA 90024

ED_001557_00014286-00024

California Attorney General Office, DOJ Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Oakland, California 94612-0550	OGWDW - 4601M Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water U. S. EPA Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20460
Diane Trujilo, Enforcement Agent CAL / EPA ENFORCEMENT 1001 "I" Street Sacramento, CA 95814	White House President Obama CEQ Attn: Hon. Mike Boots, Acting Chair 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20500
The Honorable Leondra R. Kruger Supreme Court of California 350 McAllister Street San Francisco, CA 94102-4797	Clark Hansen, Chief Deputy District Attorney **SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY** BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION – ENVIRONMENTAL CRIMES 14455 Civic Dr., Suite 300 Victorville, CA 92392
The Honorable Joanne B. O'Donnell The California Supreme Court Committee on Judicial Ethics Opinions 350 McAllister Street, Room 1144A San Francisco, California 94102	Hon. Bob Wieckowski, Senator State Capitol, Room 3086, Sacramento, CA 95814-4900 Tel (916) 651-4010