To: Vogel, Dana[Vogel.Dana@epa.gov]
From: Housenger, Jack
Sent: Tue 2/16/2016 8:47:14 PM
Subject: FW: by 5 pm -- FW: LINDA ACTION: Interview/comment request
2016-0211 EH on roundup final proofs (1).pdf
ATT00001.htm

Is this what you were talking about?

From: Strauss, Linda
Sent: Tuesday, February 16, 2016 3:28 PM
To: Housenger, Jack <Housenger.Jack@epa.gov>; Keigwin, Richard <Keigwin.Richard@epa.gov>; Sisco, Debby <Sisco.Debby@epa.gov>; Overstreet, Anne <overstreet.anne@epa.gov>; Han, Kaythi <Han.Kaythi@epa.gov>; Mojica, Andrea <Mojica.andrea@epa.gov>; Wise, Louise <Wise.Louise@epa.gov>
Subject: by 5 pm -- FW: LINDA ACTION: Interview/comment request

Jack, have we seen this from Environmental Health? Want to say this? Something else?

EPA will review and consider the report along with other information it has received. EPA is finishing up the preliminary human health and ecological risk assessments and expects to publish them for public comment in 2016, along with a weed resistance management proposal. Both draft risk assessments are extremely comprehensive.

From: Daguillard, Robert
Sent: Tuesday, February 16, 2016 3:19 PM
To: Strauss, Linda <Strauss.Linda@epa.gov>
Cc: Milbourn, Cathy <Milbourn.Cathy@epa.gov>
Subject: LINDA ACTION: Interview/comment request

More from the reporter:

Hi Robert, You are correct -- no EPA affiliated scientists. I reached out to the EPA for comment because this group of health scientists is calling on federal agencies, such as the EPA, to re-evaluate the safety and science of glyphosate. So, I wanted to provide a chance to respond to the suggestions from the

report that current federal testing is inadequate and a re-evaluation is needed to ensure the health of people exposed to this ubiquitous herbicide.

The very latest you can get back to me? The story will be published tomorrow morning and I could get a response in anytime before 8 AM ET tomorrow I'd say.

From: Daguillard, Robert
Sent: Tuesday, February 16, 2016 3:06 PM
To: Perry, Dale <Perry.Dale@epa.gov>; Strauss, Linda <Strauss.Linda@epa.gov>; Milbourn, Cathy <Milbourn.Cathy@epa.gov>; Lee, Monica <Lee.Monica@epa.gov>
Subject: MONICA/DALE/LINDA ACTION: Interview/comment request

+ Monica.

From: Daguillard, Robert
Sent: Tuesday, February 16, 2016 3:04 PM
To: Perry, Dale <Perry.Dale@epa.gov>; Strauss, Linda <Strauss.Linda@epa.gov>
Cc: Milbourn, Cathy <Milbourn.Cathy@epa.gov>
Subject: DALE/LINDA ACTION: Interview/comment request

All, I've told this reporter it's unlikely we can get him comment by this afternoon, as we need to review the attached document. Please advise on what you'd like to do. Do we have some general language on Glyphosate review?

I'm waiting to see whether he'll be revisiting the story and whether he can accept a response at a later date.

From: Milbourn, Cathy
Sent: Tuesday, February 16, 2016 1:54 PM
To: Daguillard, Robert <Daguillard.Robert@epa.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Interview/comment request

Hi Robert. Can you please take this one?

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "BBienkowski@ehn.org" <BBienkowski@ehn.org>
To: "Milbourn, Cathy" <Milbourn.Cathy@epa.gov>
Subject: Interview/comment request

Good morning, Cathy. Brian, editor of Environmental Health News, here.

I am writing a story on deadline about a report to be released today at 7pm ET urging federal agencies to take a fresh look at the toxicology/biomonitoring efforts on glyphosate.

I've attached a copy of the review.

I was hoping someone at the EPA could chat with me about the review or comment on its suggestion that federal agencies need to play some catch up when it comes to monitoring the exposure/toxicity of glyphosate based herbicides.

Let me know what might work. Deadline is this afternoon.

Thanks!

Brian
--
Brian Bienkowski
Editor
Environmental Health News, The Daily Climate
(c) 586-322-2795
Email: bbienkowski@ehn.org
Twitter: @BrianBienkowski