To: Enck, Judith[Enck.Judith@epa.gov]; Evangelista, Pat[Evangelista.Pat@epa.gov]; Romanowski, Larisa[Romanowski.Larisa@epa.gov]; Metz, Chloe[Metz.Chloe@epa.gov]; McGowan, Michael[McGowan.Michael@epa.gov]
From: Maddaloni, Mark
Sent: Thur 5/5/2016 9:46:37 PM
Subject: RE: perfluoroheptanoic acid guidelines

We (Pat, Chloe, Mike and Mark) spoke with Ms. Marpe this afternoon. Her concern with perfluoroheptanoic acid (C 7) relates to it being found in her well water at 160 ppt. She was concerned about health effects and the ability of the carbon filtration (POET) installed by NYSDEC to remove shorter chain PFCs. Noted that the toxicity of C7 has been less extensively studied but as a class the PFCs exhibit similar effects with the shorter chain molecules generally being less toxic. We also noted that the carbon filtration has proven to be very effective for PFOA and that C 7 (albeit slightly smaller than PFOA) should be effectively removed as well. Chloe provided Ms. Marpe with information from the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) which has a drinking water value of 560 ppt for C7. Ms. Marpe is participating in the NYSDOH Biomonitoring Program for PFOA but has not yet received results. Suggested she inquire as to whether the serum analysis included C 7. Ms. Marpe also raised concerns about the delay in EPA revising its PFOA number and the lack of soil sampling in Petersburg. Re: soil sampling, we had a general discussion about site characterization and also referred her to NYSDEC. Overall, the call went very well and Ms. Marpe appreciated our responsiveness.

-----Original Message-----
From: Enck, Judith
Sent: Tuesday, May 03, 2016 7:01 PM
To: Emily < Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
Cc: Evangelista, Pat <Evangelista.Pat@epa.gov>; Maddaloni, Mark <Maddaloni.Mark@epa.gov>; Romanowski, Larisa <Romanowski.Larisa@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: perfluoroheptanoic acid guidelines

Ms marpe. One of my colleagues copied here will get back to you

Sent from my iPhone

> On May 3, 2016, at 6:09 PM, Emily < Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy > wrote:
>
> Dear Judith,
> I'm writing you to see what you can tell me about perfluoroheptanoic acid akaC7. What is the current acceptable amount for short term long term exposure in drinking water? I have tried looking it up on the website with no luck.
> Sincerely Emily Marpe
>
> "Enck, Judith" <Enck.Judith@epa.gov> wrote:
>
>> Ms marpe. I am sorry that you and your family are going through this I am out of town on travel all this week but via thus email requesting that my colleague Larissa Romanowski contact you and schedule a call at a time that is convenient for you for EPA staff to speak with you.
>>
>> Sent from my iPhone
>>
>>> On Apr 4, 2016, at 2:14 PM, Emily Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy wrote:
>>>
>>> Dear Judith,
>>>
>>> My name is Emily Marpe I grew up in Hoosick Falls, NY and currently reside in Petersburg, NY. I was sent in your direction by Jackie Creedon of Tonawanda, NY. I have two children ages 10 and 15. We moved into our home near Taconic Plastics in September of 2011 after almost a year long process to

get approved for a USDA home loan. Our well recently came back at 2,100ppt of PFOA. As you can imagine being a mother yourself this has shocked and horrified me beyond belief. I feel let down by all parties involved. I would have never considered what I believed to be our dream house ending up being my worst nightmare literally. I'm writing you to get some answers and facts about our current situation. I am concerned about the next round of unregulated toxins being released by Taconic Plastics. I have yet to see any action on the EPA or Dec to further investigate this company. At this time it appears as if they have all the control and as a town we are falling to the wayside. Our town meeting is scheduled for 4/5 at 7pm after almost two months of dealing with this issue. I am hoping to see air sampling taking place as well as soil samples. Right now I feel as if my children were over exposed to a toxin and now wonder what has taken its place. The PFOA is here to stay so my main concern is the next round of chemicals unregulated by the EPA. Also with no firm clear cut answer on an acceptable level by the governor or EPA we are still in limbo for those who are above 20ppt yet under 100ppt. I have a Neighbor with 2,100ppt of PFOS not receiving a system because she currently pays out of pocket for one which I have trouble understanding how again Taconic Plastics gets to decide who gets one what they are going to pay for. This man made chemical has ruined my families most basic need of life none of us look at our water the same even with the filter which has yet to be retested since being installed. My neighbor one of three with highest level has yet to get one even installed. Any information you could pass on at this point would be appreciated.
>>> Sincerely,
>>> Emily, Ethan, and Gwen