To: Mannino, Pietro[Mannino.Pietro@epa.gov]
From: LaPoma, Jennifer
Sent: Wed 10/7/2015 1:29:32 PM
Subject: RE: draft PDI work plan for OU1 at new cassel

That is a good point that I had not considered or spoke with Sharon about yet. That gets to an interesting point though because if this is enforcement neutral and if we do the work would we break it up by work elements.

I'm hopeful that as part of our 10 am call about schedule we can discuss this work plan and the upcoming review needed from ORC. I'm scheduling a call tomorrow (or Friday) with the group to go over the in-well vapor stripping and in-situ sections as though had been largely rewritten. Also, I want to talk about reporting. I want to give ORC a cleaner version of the document because its even hard for me to read with all these track changes.

One piece of good news though – Jeff and Jean's field work got pushed back to the 26th so we'll have an extra week for them to work on this.

From: Mannino, Pietro
Sent: Wednesday, October 07, 2015 9:25 AM
To: LaPoma, Jennifer
Subject: draft PDI work plan for OU1 at new cassel

Hi Jen;
I am starting to review the draft work plan and I had an initial question that I wanted to get your feedback on before I get too deep into the document. I am not sure if you have spoken to Sharon about this previously. In the purpose section, it refers to activities to be performed by the Respondents and that the work plan has been performed in accordance with the UAO. I was wondering if this should be written as enforcement neutral. So, we would just say the work to be performed consistent with guidance. Just a thought, if the prps decline, then we would potentially fund this work. thanks