To: MUSANTE, JASON[Musante.Jason@epa.gov]
From: Rogow, Michelle
Sent: Thur 9/22/2016 11:10:26 PM
Subject: FW: Meeting documents
Table 8 - Split Samples 081216.pdf

Here is the table for the AM

From: Green, Ryan [mailto:Ryan.Green@WestonSolutions.com]
Sent: Friday, August 12, 2016 11:28 AM
To: Rogow, Michelle <Rogow.Michelle@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Meeting documents

Omigosh, I'm sorry. I just checked the pdf. All this time I was seeing the dioxins in the excel version but didn't realize it wasn't exporting into the pdf. I updated the print area and now the dioxins are showing up in the pdf after the SVOCs.

From: Rogow, Michelle [mailto:Rogow.Michelle@epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, August 12, 2016 11:17 AM
To: Green, Ryan <Ryan.Green@WestonSolutions.com>
Subject: Re: Meeting documents

Thanks.

Found the furans, but still can't find the dioxin...do we have that data?

Michelle Rogow

On Scene Coordinator

USEPA Region 9

On Aug 12, 2016, at 8:59 AM, Green, Ryan <Ryan.Green@WestonSolutions.com> wrote:

> Here is a revised Table 8 with RSLs. There is some weirdness with a few cell borders that isn't in the Excel file but is happening when converting to pdf. I will clean that up later but I don't want to delay getting the revised table back to you over borders.

From: Rogow, Michelle [mailto:Rogow.Michelle@epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, August 12, 2016 7:41 AM
To: Green, Ryan <Ryan.Green@WestonSolutions.com>
Subject: Re: Meeting documents

Thank you so much Ryan.

I understand to you that it didn't make much difference but I really need to know the RSL versus the TTLC numbers. Do you have time this morning to at least put together a table for the metals of the RSL versus the TTLC? If not, I will try to find someone else to do it, because that is one of the things (haz vs non haz) that we are talking about at this meeting today.

Also, I still do not see any dioxin results. Can you point them out to me I must be missing them? Thanks so much again.

Michelle Rogow

On Scene Coordinator

USEPA Region 9

On Aug 12, 2016, at 2:47 AM, Green, Ryan <Ryan.Green@WestonSolutions.com> wrote:

Michelle,

Attached are the map and photos you requested, with the most recent Table 8.

I began the task of adding RSL's but as I went it wasn't making much difference. Either the TTLC number was more conservative, or in cases such as lead there weren't any sample results exceeding the RSL of 400 (residential) or 800 (industrial) that didn't already exceed the TTLC of 1,000.

Ryan R. Green • Weston Solutions, Inc.

Geoscientist • START Core Response Team

Office: 562-270-3317 • Cell: 330-958-0037

CONFIDENTIALITY: This email and attachments may contain information which is confidential and proprietary. Disclosure or use of any such confidential or proprietary information without the written permission of Weston Solutions, Inc. is strictly prohibited. If you received this email in error, please notify the sender by return e-mail and delete this email from your system. Thank you.

<081216_Split_Sampling.pdf>

<3570 Fruitland Photo Log 081216.docx>

<Table 8 - Split Samples 071416.pdf>

CONFIDENTIALITY: This email and attachments may contain information which is confidential and proprietary. Disclosure or use of any such confidential or proprietary information without the written permission of Weston Solutions, Inc. is strictly prohibited. If you received this email in error, please notify the sender by return e-mail and delete this email from your system. Thank you.

<Table 8 - Split Samples 081216.pdf>

CONFIDENTIALITY: This email and attachments may contain information which is confidential and proprietary. Disclosure or use of any such confidential or proprietary information without the written permission of Weston Solutions, Inc. is strictly prohibited. If you received this email in error, please notify the sender by return e-mail and delete this email from your system. Thank you.