To: Engelman, Alexa[ENGELMAN.ALEXA@EPA.GOV]; Busterud, Gretchen[Busterud.Gretchen@epa.gov]
From: Moffatt, Brett
Sent: Mon 8/4/2014 6:21:47 PM
Subject: FW: DOGGR status

From: Dermer, Michele
Sent: Monday, August 04, 2014 11:21 AM
To: Diamond, Jane
Cc: Montgomery, Michael; Albright, David; Johnson, AudreyL; Woo, Nancy; Moffatt, Brett; Rao, Kate
Subject: DOGGR status

Hi Jane,

This is what I am aware of as far as status since last week:

It was agreed at the meeting with DOGGR on July 28 to meet regularly. Mike was going to try to set up a meeting for the week of Aug 11. I have not heard of a meeting having been scheduled as yet.

Brett talked with Bruce Reeves and received copies of correspondance from Oxy regarding the Santa Margarita Fm in the Kern Front field. This included a new letter from 1987 with another somewhat different list of non HC bearing zones exempt.

EPA HQ promised to send copies of all their records of CA primacy. Records were supposed to arrive in the region last Wednesday but after tracking the package it was determined they were mistkenly not sent two day but regular UPS, and they are expected to arrive today. Brett Kate Rao, and Elvie are on alert to look for the package.

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

LA Times editiorial published.

Pro Publica article published.

If anyone is aware of anything else, please add.

Michele

From: Diamond, Jane
Sent: Monday, August 4, 2014 10:27 AM
To: Blumenfeld, Jared; Mogharabi, Nahal; Johnson, AudreyL; Woo, Nancy
Cc: Dermer, Michele; sun, nelly; Martynowicz, Trina; Zito, Kelly
Subject: FW: LA Times editorial re: Kern UIC situation

I understand an interview with ProPublica is going to be scheduled re NGS. Since he may want to talk about CA UIC, Kelly suggested we schedule time to give you a quick update today, which I'll pursue.

Jane Diamond

Water Director, EPA Region 9

415-947-8707

From: Moffatt, Brett
Sent: Friday, August 01, 2014 7:38 PM
To: Busterud, Gretchen; Diamond, Jane; Dermer, Michele; Montgomery, Michael
Cc: Engelman, Alexa; Moyer, Robert; Albright, David
Subject: FW: LA Times editorial re: Kern UIC situation

The LA Times published an editorial on the Class II well program today, rather than an article.

Brett Moffatt

US EPA, Region 9

(415) 972-3946

From: Reeves, Bruce@DOC [mailto:Bruce.Reeves@conservation.ca.gov]
Sent: Friday, August 01, 2014 4:18 PM
To: Moffatt, Brett
Subject: FW: LA Times editorial re: Kern UIC situation

LA Times this morning

From: Drysdale, Donald@DOC
Sent: Friday, August 1, 2014 8:30 AM
To: Stapler, Richard@CNRA; Nechodom, Mark@DOC; Bohlen, Steven@DOC; Aw-Yang, Cassie@DOC; Craig, Caryn@DOC; Reader, Emily@DOC; Geroch, John@DOC; Gomez, Saul@DOC; Habel, Marilu@DOC; Habel, Rob@DOC; Marshall, Jason@DOC; Perez, Pat@DOC; Pierce, James@DOC; Reeves, Bruce@DOC; St. Michel, Graham@DOC; Turner, Justin@DOC; Venturino, Ralph@DOC; Agusiegbe, Vincent@DOC; Wilson, Ed@DOC; Alves, Leonardo@DOC; Black, Melissa@DOC; Borack, Alexandra@DOC; Perez, Jan@DOC; Shular, Tim@DOC; Speaks, Joshua@DOC; Van Velsor, Kathleen@DOC
Subject: LA Times editorial re: Kern UIC situation

California needs to overhaul its protection of groundwater

Los Angeles Times, 8-1-14

There are many environmentally worrisome aspects of oil and gas production, and one is the injection of wastewater back into the ground. This process — a way of disposing of the contaminated water created during the drilling process — is done in conventional oil and gas drilling, and is even more common in fracking, which uses large amounts of water to fracture rock and release oil. The concern is that the injection process can end up poisoning the aquifers that provide drinking water.

Now, California has ordered oil and gas companies to stop injecting wastewater from their operations into 10 wells in the Bakersfield area, and is looking at about 100 more wells to see whether they should be closed too. It's unknown how many if any of these wells involved fracking operations. But the state's very lack of knowledge shows that it is a long way from the point where it should allow any large-scale expansion of fracking.

Decades ago, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency identified wells where water could be injected without poisoning potentially potable water. In 1981, it transferred the main responsibility for overseeing those wells to the state.

But in 2011, the EPA commissioned a study that found the state was doing an inadequate job. It wasn't monitoring nearly enough wells, and it wasn't inspecting the rest often or thoroughly enough. Some of the responsibility rests with the EPA, which released confusing information over the years about which wells were off limits to wastewater injection. The state Division of Oil, Gas and Geothermal Resources believes that many of the wells now under review were legally off-limits to wastewater injection under the EPA rules, but that the oil companies may have been unaware of that. As a result, the division reported this month, contaminated wastewater may have entered potential groundwater supplies.

The concern is that the injection process can end up poisoning the aquifers that provide drinking water.-

It's deeply disturbing that the state's inadequate oversight, coupled with what might have been confusing information from the EPA, has been allowing this over the course

of years or even decades. But the current drought makes the issue particularly critical. The state is searching for new sources of water, including aquifers that might have been inaccessible in the past, or whose water was previously considered unsuitable for drinking but can now be purified using new technology.

There's a final irony: The division became aware of this problem only because of SB 4, a 2013 law that required some regulation of fracking in California — and also ordered a review of existing disposal wells. What it showed is that the state needs to overhaul its protection of groundwater.